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ABSTRACT The paper proposes the application of a Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR) to enhance the power quality and improve the low voltage ride through (LVRT) capability of a three-phase medium-voltage network connected to a hybrid distribution generation (DG) system. In this system, the photovoltaic (PV) plant and the wind turbine generator (WTG) are connected to the same point of common coupling (PCC) with a sensitive load. The WTG consists of a DFIG generator connected to the network via a step-up transformer. The PV system is connected to the PCC via a two-stage energy conversion (DC-DC converter and DC-AC inverter). This topology allows, first, the extraction of maximum power based on the incremental inductance technique. Second, it allows the connection of the PV system to the public grid through a step-up transformer. In addition, the DVR based on Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) is connected to the same PCC. Different fault condition scenarios are tested for improving the efficiency and the quality of the power supply and compliance with the requirements of the LVRT grid code. The results of the LVRT capability, voltage stability, active power, reactive power, injected current, and DC link voltage, speed of turbine and power factor at the PCC are presented with and without the contribution of the DVR system.

INDEX TERMS Active power, DC-link voltage DFIG, Dynamic Voltage Restorer, LVRT, Power Factor, Photovoltaic, Voltage Stability, Reactive Power.

I. INTRODUCTION

Global demand for electric power is growing and expanding. It is interesting to note the sharp increase in energy consumption in China since 1973, by a factor of 8.4 in 42 years, while the world’s consumption increased by a factor of 4 and the world’s population doubled. But in 2017, more than one billion people still did not have access to electricity. The two major sectors consuming electricity are the residential-tertiary sector and industry, however, this consumption has a huge impact on the environment due to the emission of CO₂ and consequently the global warming and greenhouse effect has forced several countries to sign an agreement to strengthen international action to maintain the global rise in temperature during this century well below 2 degrees Celsius at the 2015 climate change conference in Paris.

In this context, the energy policy of most countries around the world tends towards the exploitation of renewable energies as clean, available and cheaper source of energy in order to reach high levels of integration close to twenty percent by 2020 [1].

However, this resource is characterized by factors limiting its use on a large scale, namely intermittency due to variations in the uncontrollable primary source of energy, and the uncertainty of weather forecasts leading to uncertainty about production [2]. This challenge is more pronounced for wind generation because wind speed forecasts are less reliable than those of the sun irradiance. Another factor limiting the integration of these energies is the geographical location of the renewable energy production sites, which is generally located in remote areas where both wind and surface conditions are favorable in the case of wind and solar energy while the transmission systems are relatively weak which causes new challenges
for the control of the voltage and the compensation of reactive power.

The stochastic nature of these renewable energy sources can have an impact on the dynamic behavior of the grid and its stability during sudden and significant variations in the power delivered by one or more units of high power [2].

Similarly, if a renewable energy power plant operating at full power is suddenly disconnected due to a fault in the internal grid of the installation, the grid should remain stable and the voltage and frequency of the grid must be maintained within their admissible ranges [1-3]. Another factor that negatively impacts the power quality comes from the presence of modern power electronics technology as the output interface of power plants. These devices not only produce harmonics in the system, but are also very sensitive to distorted voltage signals [4].

To overcome these constraints and ensure the stability of the electric power system connected to large amounts of variable energy resources, the operators of energy systems have resorted to explore multiple technical solutions.

The proposed solutions have two components; one is regulatory where the technical grid code, directives and conditions dedicated to the integration of renewable energy sources into the grid required by the grid operators and the other solutions are technical ones.

One of the most important grid code requirements is the Low Voltage Ride Through (LVRT) capability, which means that the renewable energy conversion system must remain connected during grid faults and supply reactive power to support the grid [5]. These requirements have been applied to wind turbines only so far, but recently photovoltaic generators are also required to fulfill these grid codes.

The prospects of power generation from hybrid energy systems are proving to be very promising and reliable [6]. The authors in [7], proposed a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) combined with a small series dynamic braking resistor (SDBR) to enhance the stability of a grid-connected wind farm composed of a fixed-speed wind turbine generator system (WTGS). A DFIG and flywheel energy storage system was studied in [8] and the proposed control scheme was designed to ensure that the grid power is isolated from wind power output fluctuations. The authors in [9] applied a nonlinear control based on a modified bridge type fault current limiter (NCMBFCL) to improve the LVRT performance of a DFIG based wind farm subjected to symmetrical and unsymmetrical faults. In [10,11], the authors analyzed a system consisting of a supercapacitor energy storage coupled to the DFIG for a grid integrated wind generator DFIG and studied the transient behavior and LVRT capability of the system with and without energy storage system (ESS).

To enhance the LVRT capability of a grid-integrated DFIG-based wind farm, a STATCOM and supercapacitor energy storage system have been proposed in [12]. In [13], a new stator damping resistor unit (SDRU) and rotor current control (RCC) for grid-connected DFIG-based wind turbine has been studied. Other devices commonly used in distribution networks to protect critical loads against voltage disturbances are known as D-FACTS and include: D-STATCOM (Static Dispensing Compensator), DVR (Dynamic Voltage Restorer) and UPQC (Unified Power Quality Conditioner).

The DVR has the ability to attenuate voltage disturbances. It is generally installed between the load and the source in the distribution system to provide rapid support of the voltage by injecting the required voltage in series with the mains voltage through an injection transformer [14].

This paper presents a simulation study to demonstrate the effectiveness of the DVR to mitigate voltage disturbances, minimize their impact on the overall stability of the transmission and distribution network, and improve the LVRT capability when the network is connected to a hybrid PV-wind system.

A DVR based on fuzzy logic control and phase compensation technique is designed and evaluated under various fault conditions such as short-circuits and voltage sags.

It is well known that active power recovery and no excessive reactive power absorption are essential for system security and sufficiently quick active power recovery is essential for frequency stability in the overall grid whereas large reactive power absorption could have a negative impact on voltage recovery or even drive the system into a local voltage collapse. This contribution is aimed to demonstrate that the DVR with the proposed control scheme is able to provide these features for the hybrid PV-Wind generators coupled to grid system.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the configuration of the hybrid distributed generation system which consists of photovoltaic and wind power plants and develops a detailed simulation model of the DC/DC and DC/AC converters. Section 3 describes the topology of the DVR and its basic scheme. Section 4 reiterates the need to introduce new grid codes related to the penetration of renewable energy power plants into the grid. Section 5 presents the simulation results, including the assessment of the LVRT capability under various disturbances. Finally, Section 6 concludes this work.

II. PROPOSED SIMULATED SCENAROS AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The choice of photovoltaic energy or wind turbine or both depends on the availability of the renewable resource over time and also is abundance at the site of installation. Currently, solar and wind are the most commonly adopted and most easily exploitable renewable energy sources.

Several studies have shown that solar radiation and wind speed distributions often have complementary behavior,
and consequently in many cases a hybrid configuration combining photovoltaic and wind generators is adopted [4].

Particularly, PV and wind energy technologies have enhanced their integration in hybrid power system configurations. This combination is considered as one of the most efficient configurations which can be used either in grid-connected or standalone modes. Solar and wind energies are complementary in nature [4],[15],[16] and therefore can be utilized to overcome the problem of intermittency and provide a more reliable power with better quality to the electricity grid and remote areas [17], [18].

In recent years, several research studies have been carried out on PV-wind hybrid systems [17]. This study summarizes several research articles in the literature on PV-wind hybrid power system. Among these, a few studies proposed the integration of PV and DFIG-based wind power in a hybrid configuration to supply sustainable power to remote load centers. This configuration has several advantages including simple design, decoupling control of the active and reactive powers, partially rated converters, and maximum wind energy extraction form the turbine [17].

The proposed topology is illustrated in Fig. 1. It consists of a 500 kW PV plant interconnected to a distribution system via a three phase PWM inverter with a three phase AC throttle filter and a step-up transformer.

The DFIG has a nominal output power of 500 kW and is connected to the grid at the PCC via a step-up transformer and supplying the load. Thus, the estimated total power delivered by the hybrid system is 1 MW.

The PV farm is subjected to the solar irradiance described in Fig. 3 and the WTG is running with a wind speed of 12 m/s during the simulation time of 5 seconds.

A. PHOTOVOLTAIC PLANT
The PV plant array consists of 16 series modules and 102 parallel strings (type: SunPower SPR-305-WHT).

**FIGURE 1:** PV-WTG hybrid system with DVR and a load connected to grid.

This is a typical connection of a hybrid PV-WTG however there are other topologies of connections. The comparative study presented in [19] concludes that: (i) In terms of power efficiency, the single stage topology is better, (ii) For the DC link voltage stability and reduced total harmonic distortion in the AC side voltage, the two-stage topology is preferred, (iii) With regard to the maximum power tracking precision, within an acceptable error range of controller oscillation and power losses in the AC-side filter, both topologies can satisfy these requirements.

In [20], transformer-less inverters are employed to enhance the efficiency, reduce the size and lower the cost of the circuit. Because of their high efficiency, transformer-less PV systems, are becoming more popular than conventional PV systems, especially in European markets [20].

The simulation scenarios presented in this paper are carried out as follows:
1. The DVR is disconnected and no fault is applied.
2. The DVR is also disconnected but the fault is applied.
3. Same scenarios as above but with the DVR in operation.

The PV farm is subjected to the solar irradiance described in Fig. 3 and the WTG is running with a wind speed of 12 m/s during the simulation time of 5 seconds.

**FIGURE 2:** PV farm of 500 kW connected to grid via an inverter related to 500kVA-400V/30kV transformer.

**FIGURE 3:** Solar irradiance at 25°C.
The PV model used in the paper is based on the two-diode equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 4.

![FIGURE 4: PV cell circuit model [21].](image)

The PV cell total current in the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 4 is expressed by [21]:

\[
I = I_{ph} - I_1 \left( e^{\frac{V + IR_{p}}{n_1 kT_s}} - 1 \right) - I_2 \left( e^{\frac{V + IR_{p}}{n_2 kT_s}} - 1 \right) - \frac{V + IR_1}{R_p}
\]

(1)

Where \( \eta \) is the ideality factor.

Assuming that all the cells are identical and operating under the same operating conditions [21]:

\[
R_{p,\text{field}} = \frac{N}{N_p} \cdot R_{p,\text{cell}}
\]

(2)

The photovoltaic power conversion control is based on maximum power point tracker (MPPT) which allows high efficiency power transfer and depends on both the solar irradiance and the electrical characteristics of the load [5].

Fig. 5 shows the I-V and P-V characteristics of the PV module for different levels of solar irradiance.

![FIGURE 5: V–I and P-V characteristic of PV module under different solar irradiance and at 25°C with MPPT points in strings.](image)

The PV array model is scaled to include 12 series modules and 102 parallel strings in order to deliver 500 kW at an irradiance of 1000W/m² and a DC voltage of \( V_{DC} = 896 \) V applied to the boost converter as shown in Fig. 6.

FIGURE 6: PV array power and current vs. array voltage.

The PV array model is scaled to include 12 series modules and 102 parallel strings in order to deliver 500 kW at an irradiance of 1000W/m² and a DC voltage of \( V_{DC} = 896 \) V applied to the boost converter as shown in Fig. 6.

![FIGURE 6: PV array power and current vs. array voltage.](image)

There are several MPPT control methods proposed in the literature, some of them are very much similar in terms of their operating principle [22].

A classification of the most popular MPPT algorithms is depicted in Fig. 7. Fractional methods and Hill-climbing are widely used in commercial PV systems. Hill-climbing algorithms are the most popular methods used in practice due to their ease of implementation and tracking performance [23].

![FIGURE 7: MPPT algorithm classification [23].](image)

Among these methods, the Incremental Conductance (INC) Algorithm is selected and used in the present work. The INC approach can be regarded as an improved version of the Perturb and Observe (P&O) [21]. This method is quite similar to the P&O algorithm and is very effective for rapidly changing atmospheric conditions [23].

The slope of the power curve is obtained from:

\[
\frac{dP_{pv}}{dV_{pv}} \bigg|_{V=V_{MP}} = \frac{d(VI)}{dV} \bigg|_{V=V_{MP}} = (I + \frac{dI}{dV}) |_{V=V_{MP}} = 0
\]

(3)

Then \( I_{MP} \) is determined by evaluating Equation 1 at \( V = V_{MP} \) [21].

\[
\frac{dP_{pv}}{dV_{pv}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dI_{pv}}{dV_{pv}} = -\frac{I_{pv}}{V_{pv}}
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{dP_{pv}}{dV_{pv}} = \frac{d(I_{pv}V_{pv})}{dV_{pv}} = I_{pv} + V_{pv} \frac{dI_{pv}}{dV_{pv}}
\]

(5)
Multiplying both sides of Equation (5) by $I_{PV}$ gives:

$$\frac{1}{V_{PV}} \frac{dP_{PV}}{dV_{PV}} = \frac{I_{PV}}{V_{PV}} + \frac{dI_{PV}}{dV_{PV}} = G_{PV} + dG_{PV} \quad (6)$$

Where $G$ and $dG$ denote the conductance and incremental conductance respectively.

A flowchart describing the INC algorithm is shown in Fig. 8. The algorithm can track the MPP and remains there until a change in $dI_{PV}$ or $dV_{PV}$ occurs due to a change in atmospheric conditions.

The behavior of $G$ and $dG$ is shown in Fig. 9.

---

**Fig. 8:** Flowchart of the incremental conductance algorithm [21].

**Fig. 9:** $G_{PV}$ and $dG_{PV}$ behavior during model simulation.

---

Fig. 10 shows the circuit model of the DC-DC boost converter used in this work.

---

The critical values of the inductor and capacitances of the designed boost converter are: $L_1 = 30 \text{ mH}$, $C = 100 \text{ µF}$, $C_1 = C_2 = 120 \text{ mF}$.

To ensure maximum power extraction from the PV source, the converter interfacing the PV system to the grid must be capable of self-adjusting its own parameters in real-time.

The most important application of these converters is the connection of renewable energy sources such as wind generators, photovoltaic modules, etc., to the electric network [24].

The three-level voltage source inverter topology shown in Fig. 11 is simulated in this work.

---

**Fig. 10:** Boost converter circuit.

**Fig. 11:** Three level inverter topology [25].

---

The $V_{th}$ boost converter reference output voltage is set at 714 V and the IGBT 3-level inverter uses PWM technique, (3.3 kHz carrier frequency) converting DC power from 714 Vdc source to 400 Vac, 50 Hz.

The grid is connected to the inverter via an inductive grid filter and a low frequency transformer to step-up the voltage from 0.4 kV to 3 0kV in order to reduce losses when PV energy is transmitted to the grid [23] and to filter out harmonic frequencies.

The 12 pulses required by the inverter are generated by the discrete three phase PWM generator.
For linear modulation \((m_a \leq 1)\), the amplitude of the first harmonics is proportional to the modulation factor, so the expression of the phase voltage is given by [26]:

\[
V_{iLN} = m_a \frac{V_{DC}}{2}
\]

(7)

**B. MODELING OF THE WIND POWER PLANT**

1) **AERODYNAMIC MODELING OF THE WIND TURBINE**

The kinetic energy from the wind is captured by the wind turbine and converted to mechanical power \(P_m\) [23, 30]. The wind power plant consists of a single DFIG-based wind turbine producing 500 kW with 400 V\(_{ac}\) output voltage.

\[
P_m = \frac{1}{2} \pi \rho C_p (\lambda, \beta) R^2 \omega^3
\]

(8)

\[
C_p (\lambda, \beta) = 0.22 \left( \frac{116}{\lambda} - 0.4 \beta - 5 \right) e^{-\frac{21}{\lambda}}
\]

(9)

The mechanical equation of the system is given by [29]:

\[
J_T \frac{d\Omega_{mec}}{dt} = C_{mec} - C_{em} - f \Omega_{mec}
\]

(11)

Where \(J_T\) (kg.m\(^2\)) represents the total inertia of the generator, turbine, two shafts and gearbox, \(\Omega_{mec}\) (rad/s) is the mechanical speed of the generator, \(C_{em}\) (N.m) is the electromagnetic torque produced by the induction generator, \(C_{mec}\) (N.m) is the torque produced by the wind turbine when referred to the shaft of the induction machine, and \(f\) (N.m.s.rad\(^{-1}\)) is the coefficient of viscous friction [23, 29].

2) **DOUBLE FED INDUCTION GENERATOR (DFIG) MODELING**

AC generators for renewable generation are classified into fixed and variable speed applications.

Fixed-speed generators are connected directly to the grid such as the synchronous generator (Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator (PMSG)) and induction generator (Squirrel-cage Induction Generator). Variable-speed generators include induction generator with variable rotor resistance (Wound Rotor Induction Generator), Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) and Generator with Fully Rated Converter (FRC) [29].

One of the major disadvantages of fixed-speed generators besides their fixed speed range is the need for power factor correction at the terminals of the induction generator.

The main features of a wind turbine with fixed speed induction generator are:

- The squirrel cage induction generator is directly connected to the grid (robust and low-cost).
• The speed of the generator cannot be controlled (driven by the grid frequency).
• Wind fluctuations translate to active power fluctuation.
• Typical speed range ~2% of the rated speed.
• Gearbox necessary.

DFIGs have several attractive features such as small size and light weight, high output power and efficiency, low cost and variable-speed operation. Wind turbine based on DFIG have a share of approximately 50 % in the wind energy market and the active and reactive powers can be controlled independently due to d-q vector control of the converter [16], [30].

DFIGs have been reported to suffer reliability problems due to their brushes, slip-rings, and gearbox. They require regular maintenance due to brush wearing and the building-up of carbon on the internal components, which brings extra costs [31]. One of the main drawbacks of using DFIGs is their vulnerability to grid-side short circuits and voltage sags [32].

The DFIG consists of a wound rotor induction generator, two bi-directional voltage source converters with a back-to-back DC link, protection circuits, a gearbox and a turbine as shown in Fig. 13.

The stator of the machine is connected directly to the grid at system frequency while the rotor is fed from a power converter at slip frequency as seen in Fig. 13. The voltage at the stator and rotor terminals can vary depending on the size of the turbine and generator [23, 30].

The space-vector model of the DFIG can be represented in a synchronously rotating frame [23, 32]. The voltage equations for the dynamic model written in d-q Park model are given by:

\[ V_{ds} = R_{ds} I_{ds} + \frac{d\psi_{ds}}{dt} - \omega \psi_{qs} \]  
\[ V_{qs} = R_{qs} I_{qs} + \frac{d\psi_{qs}}{dt} + \omega \psi_{ds} \]

The rotor equations:

\[ V_{dr} = R_{dr} I_{dr} + \frac{d\psi_{dr}}{dt} - \omega \psi_{qr} \]
\[ V_{qr} = R_{qr} I_{qr} + \frac{d\psi_{qr}}{dt} + \omega \psi_{dr} \]

The stator flux linkage equations are given by:

\[ \psi_{ds} = L_s I_{ds} + L_m I_{dr} \]
\[ \psi_{qs} = L_s I_{qs} + L_m I_{qr} \]

And the rotor flux linkages are:

\[ \psi_{dr} = L_r I_{dr} + L_m I_{dr} \]

\[ \psi_{qr} = L_r I_{qr} + L_m I_{qr} \]

\[ P_r = \frac{3}{2} (V_{ds} I_{ds} + V_{qs} I_{qs}) \]
\[ Q_r = \frac{3}{2} (V_{qs} I_{ds} - V_{dr} I_{qr}) \]

Where \( V, I, \psi \) are the voltage, current and flux respectively. \( R \) and \( L \) denote the resistance and inductance respectively.

The Rotor Side Converter (RSC) controller regulates the injected active and reactive power into the PCC. The q-axis current component controls the active power based on the reference provided by the MPPT strategy. The d-axis controls the exchange of reactive power with the power system [28]. A detailed block diagram of the RSC control scheme is depicted in Figure 15.

The Grid Side Converter (GSC) controller aims to keep the DC-link voltage at its desired reference value despite any variations in the rotor power flow and the reactive power exchange with the grid [23], [28], [30]. With reference to Fig. 15, the DC-link voltage is compared with its reference value and the error is passed through a PI controller which generates the reference signal for the d-axis current.

**FIGURE 15:** Power Control diagram of the rotor side converter [28].
Referring to Fig. 16, the PI controller is used to control the d-axis current whose reference value is set to zero in order to ensure a unity power factor on the grid-side. The two cascaded control loops are used to regulate the DC bus voltage. The inner loop controls the q-axis current whereas the outer loop regulates the DC bus voltage. Then the reference voltages outputs of the PI controllers are transformed into abc using dq to abc transformation based on the stator angle obtained from the PLL control loop. The three-phase abc voltages are then compared with a carrier signals to generate the PWM signals to control the GSC switches.

II. DVR TOPOLOGY

Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) are power electronics-based devices which have the ability to control one or more parameters to enhance the capacity and improve the stability of the transmission system. Depending on the desired compensation, FACTS devices can be connected in series, parallel, or a combination of these configurations as shown in Fig. 17.

FACTS technologies offer new opportunities to control power flow and enhance the capacity of existing, as well as new and upgraded transmission lines [34]. These opportunities arise through the flexibility of FACTS devices and their ability to provide fast control of the electric parameters such as voltage, current, phase angle, and line impedances [34].

The first dynamic voltage restorer (DVR) was installed in South Carolina in August 1996 in 12.47 kV substations and was rated at 2 MVA to protect a load of 4 MVA [35].

The DVR consists of a VSC and its switching control, an energy storage device and a coupling transformer which in this case, is connected in series with the AC system, as shown in Fig. 18. This controller is suited for solving various problems related to power quality and reliability including [36]:

- Voltage disturbances such as sags and swells
- Voltage unbalances
- Voltage harmonics
- Power factor correction

The DVR injects a three-phase AC voltage in series and synchronized with the distribution feeder voltage of the AC system. The injected voltage amplitude and phase can be varied to control the bi-directional exchange of active and reactive power between the DVR and AC system [28, 36].

The main role of the DVR is to balance and regulate the voltages and prevent harmonics from the source voltage to reach the load [36].

The basic structure of the DVR shown in Fig. 18 consists of:

1. Injection transformer
2. Harmonic filter
3. Energy storage device
4. VSC
5. DC charging circuit
6. Control system.
The series voltage injected by the DVR can be written as follows:

\[ V_{DVR} = V_L + Z_{TH} I_L - V_{TH} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Where \( V_L \) is the load voltage, \( Z_{TH} \) denotes the load impedance, \( I_L \) represents the load current and \( V_{TH} \) is the system voltage during fault.

The load current \( I_L \) is given by:

\[ I_L = \frac{P_L + jQ_L}{V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Taking \( V_L \) as a reference then the equation can be rewritten as:

\[ V_{DVR}^* = V_L \angle 0 + Z_{TH} \angle (\beta - \theta) - V_{TH} \angle \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

With

\[ \theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Q_L}{P_L}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

The complex power output of the DVR can be written as:

\[ S_{DVR} = V_{DVR}^* I_{DVR}^* \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

A. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER

Power systems are inherently nonlinear and the integration of renewable energy sources tends to make them more nonlinear due to the extensive use of power electronics switching devices. Therefore, nonlinear controllers such as fuzzy logic controllers (FLC) are more suited for power systems control applications [30].

In Fig. 19 is shown the proposed FLC for the DVR.

Conventional control techniques based on linear system theory require a linearized model of the system and cannot guarantee a good performance over a wide operating range.

In addition, model-based linear and nonlinear control solutions developed in the last three decades require precise mathematical models of the systems. However, most of those systems are complex and difficult to describe accurately using conventional mathematical relations; hence, these model-based design approaches may not provide satisfactory solutions. In contrast, FLC does not require a mathematical model of the system and has been successfully applied to various real-world systems characterized by uncertain environments and high nonlinearities [37].

Many studies have presented the DVR based on FLC and other studies have compared FLC controller and conventional PI controller. In [38], a DVR based on fuzzy polar controller is proposed to compensate for balanced voltage sags in distribution networks. The value of the injection voltage to compensate for the voltage drop caused by three phase fault is determined from the fuzzy polar controller parameters. The results have shown that the DVR based on the proposed fuzzy polar controller provides a better compensation of the balanced voltage sag than a conventional PI controller.

In [39], a DVR based on FLC is proposed to address power quality problems and the results are very significant for voltages of sensitive loads like adjustable speed AC drives.

Two control techniques, mainly PI control and FLC were investigated in [40] and [41]. The results for both controllers have demonstrated excellent performance and generated low total harmonic distortion (THD) (< 5%). However, the FLC gave a better performance with a THD of only 0.64% as compared to 1.68% for PI.

B. ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM (ESS)

During deep voltage sags, the ESS is rated to supply active power to the load. Various sources of storage can be used such as Lead-acid batteries, flywheel or Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES).
Alternatively, the required power on the DC side of the VSC could be provided by an auxiliary bridge converter that is fed from an auxiliary AC supply, SMES, supercapacitors and photovoltaic power source [16, 42].

The ESS of a DVR is often composed of a battery which provides the active power necessary to protect against longer interruptions.

Energy storage devices can be divided into three different categories depending on the required power capability: Small devices (<10 MW), medium devices (10 – 100 MW) and large devices (100 MW).

Batteries are included in the small and medium ESS categories since their wide range of capacities can vary from a few watts to a few megawatts. For a DVR system, the energy storage capacity must be large enough to meet the requirements for power quality and custom devices for a few seconds and a few cycles, respectively. According to Table 2 the DVR’s DC link capacitor must have a storage capacity of 0.11 – 11 MJ with a discharging time period of a few seconds [42].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Applications</th>
<th>Capacity of Storage</th>
<th>Period of Discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid level energy</td>
<td>11 MJ – 201 GJ</td>
<td>Few Seconds–Few Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Quality</td>
<td>0.11 – 11 MJ</td>
<td>Few Seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Devices</td>
<td>0.11 – 11 MJ</td>
<td>Few Cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of five major categories (active power control, reactive power supply, power quality (system disturbances), fault-behavior and protection concepts) are integrated in most of the European grid codes, differences can be found in the quantitative and qualitative design.

B. LVRT CAPABILITY

Most interconnection standards today require renewable energy farms to have the ability to handle severe disturbances, commonly referred to as fault ride through (FRT) capability or, in some cases, LVRT generally means that a generator is not allowed to disconnect in the case of a short-term voltage dip resulting from a short-circuit in the grid [1,14]. LVRT capability probably represents the most important technical requirement and the most significant innovation for wind turbine generators and PV inverters with regard to system security [31, 45].

A comparative analysis of European Grid Codes and compliance standards for distributed power generation study is carried out in [28].

So the LVRT and HVRT capability are essential for secure operation of a power system with considerable shares of wind and PV generation. Without LVRT capability there would be a high risk that large amounts of wind and PV generation would disconnect if a single fault on a major transmission line were to cause a voltage dip over a wide area. The lost generation would have to be backed up by primary, secondary and tertiary control reserves and the associated costs would be massive.

LVRT capabilities for seven countries are illustrated in Fig. 21.

For modeling the DC link capacitor of the proposed DVR, the stored energy in a capacitor (J) is written as a function of the capacitance (F) and voltage (V) as follows [42]:

\[ E = 0.5 CV^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

II. GRID CODE

A. CODE REQUIREMENT

The major concerns for the interconnection of small scale renewable energy generation at the main power quality aspects that should be covered by connection conditions for renewable generation are power quality, voltage and reactive power control, power factor requirements and frequency requirements [43]. Grid operators have introduced new requirements for distributed power generations in a special way based on the above issues related to the ability to maintain the security and reliability of the power system while meeting the contractual power flow of the customers [44]. In [45, 46] is presented a comprehensive and detailed comparative analysis between all members of the European integrated network. This study has focused on five selected European countries (Germany, France, UK, Poland and Turkey). New supplementary requirements of the future European grid codes by ENTSO-E and CENELEC are included in a consecutive analysis in order to identify necessary changes in the existing standards, which partially affect fundamental modifications for manufacturers.

Therefore it is generally accepted nowadays that LVRT (and HVRT) capability is essential so as not to endanger the security of a power system.

V. ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to evaluate the impact of the contribution of DFACTS to wind farms linked to the grid, the DVR has been chosen as a case study. The designed DVR has the power of 4 MVA to regulate the voltage on a 30 kV distribution network connected to bus B2. A feeder transmits energy to a
local load connected to the buses B5 which represents a plant absorbing continuously changing currents, thus producing a flicker of voltage.

The DVR adjusts the voltage at buses B2 and B5 by injecting an appropriate voltage. This voltage transfer is produced by the leakage reactor of the coupling transformer by generating a secondary voltage in phase with the primary voltage (gate side).

During normal operation, the DVR is in standby mode. When the fault occurs, the control circuit detects the disturbance in the supply voltage as shown in Fig. 25, and then the DVR injects the required voltage as shown in Fig. 26.

In the case of a voltage sag disturbance occurring at time t = 1s the system reaches the steady state after 2 cycles of oscillations which correspond approximately 0.026 s as shown in Fig. 23.

When the short circuit voltage occurs at t = 1s and after a transient of about 0.026 s duration, the steady state is reached, and a DVR voltage is injected as seen in Fig. 27.

Fig. 28 shows the total active power generated by both the WTG and the PV farm at the PCC. Overshoots and oscillations can be observed in the active power for 2 cycles of transient and then are completely damped by the DVR. Without DVR, during fault the active power has collapsed.
to zero until the end of fault where large oscillations appeared and lasted for 15 cycles. The response was then stabilized after 400 kW of active power generated by the PV farm was injected while wind generation has stopped as shown in the Fig. 27 and Fig. 29. The active powers generated by PV farm and WTG are shown in Fig. 29 and Fig. 30 respectively.

The produced reactive power at the PCC is maintained at zero by both PV farm and WTG in order to keep the PF at unity as possible.

Fig. 33 shows the reactive power flow at the PCC. At the end of the sag fault, the oscillations of reactive power are larger in magnitude, in direction and duration when the DVR is not applied as compared to the case with DVR. After a short oscillation at the beginning of the fault, a large overshoot appears at $t = 4$ s when $+2$ MVars are injected and suddenly the reactive power has decreased to $-1.75$ MVars at $t = 4.12$ s, then after several oscillations it has been stabilized very far to the end of the sag fault at $t = 4.3$ s as compared with the case with DVR where the oscillations have been completely damped at $t = 4.13$ s.

The individual reactive powers generated by the PV farm and WTG are plotted in Fig. 31 and Fig. 32 respectively.
has decreased (sag voltage); this is the contribution of the PV system inverter in order to regulate its voltage at bus B1.

Similarly, it can be noted that the same contribution of the PV system inverter at the voltage sag fault when the current has increased from normal consumed current of 10.07 A to fault consumed current of 40 A and suddenly collapse to 10 A at the end of the sag fault and finally stabilizes after several overshoots as shown in Fig. 35.

The contribution of the DVR in stabilizing the current at the normal consumed value is reached rapidly and smoothly. The contribution of the DVR in maintaining the injected current at normal values during faults is clearly demonstrated.

Also as shown in Fig. 36, in the case of a sag fault and without DVR contribution, the WTG went out of service after approximately 3 s and the PV farm continues to generate power alone.

FIGURE 34: Total PV-WT current injected to grid at PCC.

FIGURE 35: PV current injected at PCC.

FIGURE 36: WT current injected at PCC to grid.

Fig. 37 shows the behavior of the PCC power factor. Under normal operation of the system, the PF is kept to unity by introducing 10 kVars capacitor in bus B1 and WTG at bus B4 as shown Fig.1 in order to completely transfer the active power produced by the photovoltaic and WTG farms to the grid and to the load.

As shown in Fig. 37, in the event of a sag voltage fault and with the DVR contribution, the system is operating within acceptable limits $PF_{\text{min}} = 0.9$ as indicated at the beginning of the disturbance (1 s). However without the DVR, the PF dropped considerably and excessive oscillations appeared during the fault time.

It can be concluded that the PF at the PCC is well corrected by the contribution of the DVR during a voltage fault.

FIGURE 37: Power factor at PCC.

FIGURE 38: Turbine rotor speed.
With regard to the impact of sag voltage disturbance on the mechanical parts of the WTG, it can be observed from Fig. 38 that the sag fault of the power grid can lead to oscillation and continuous reduction of rotational speed of generator rotor. However, with the DVR in operation, the turbine rotor speed is similar to the no fault speed as shown in Fig. 38.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The simulation study was carried out using MATLAB to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed DVR control system to improve the power quality and LVRT capability of the hybrid PV-WT power system. The system has been tested under different fault condition scenarios. The results have shown that the DVR connected to the PV-Wind hybrid system at the medium voltage grid is very effective and is able to mitigate voltage outages and short circuit failure with improved voltage regulation capabilities and flexibility in the correction of the power factor.

The results of the simulation also prove that the system designed is secure since the required voltage ranges are respected correctly and the DG generators operate reliably.

The main advantage of the proposed design is the rapid recovery of voltage; the power oscillations overshoot reduction, control of rotor speed and preventing the system from having a DC link overvoltage and thus increasing the stability of the power system in accordance with LVRT requirements.
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