

1 **Understanding the health and wellbeing challenges of the food banking system: a**
2 **qualitative study of food bank users, providers and referrers in London**

3
4
5
6
7 Thompson C.¹, Smith, D.², Cummins S.¹

8
9
10
11 **Affiliations**

12 ¹ Department of Social and Environmental Health Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
13 Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London WC1H 9SH.

14
15 ² Department of Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, University Road,
16 Southampton, SO17 1BJ.

17
18
19
20 **Corresponding Author**

21 Claire Thompson. claire.thompson@lshtm.ac.uk Tel: +44 (0)207 927 2745

22
23
24 **Acknowledgements:** This research was funded through a Wellcome Trust Society & Ethics
25 Fellowship to CT (Grant number: 108628/Z/15/Z).

1 **Abstract**

2 In the UK, food poverty has been associated with conditions such as obesity, malnutrition,
3 hypertension, iron deficiency, and impaired liver function. Food banks, the primary response to food
4 poverty on the ground, typically rely on community referral and distribution systems that involve
5 health and social care professionals and local authority public health teams. The perspectives of
6 these key stakeholders remain underexplored. This paper reports on a qualitative study of the
7 health and wellbeing challenges of food poverty and food banking in London. An ethnographic
8 investigation of food bank staff and users was carried out alongside a series of healthcare
9 stakeholder interviews. A total of 42 participants were interviewed. A Critical Grounded Theory
10 (CGT) analysis revealed that contemporary lived experiences of food poverty are embedded within
11 and symptomatic of extreme marginalisation, which in turn impacts upon health. Specifically, food
12 poverty was conceptualised by participants to: firstly, be a barrier to providing adequate care and
13 nutrition for young children; secondly, be exacerbated by lack of access to adequate fresh food, food
14 storage and cooking facilities; and thirdly, amplify existing health and social problems. Further
15 investigation of the local government structures and professional roles that both rely upon and serve
16 to further embed the food banking system is necessary in order to understand the politics of
17 changing welfare landscapes.

18

19 **Highlights:**

- 20
- Food poverty is reported to both exacerbate existing health problems and cause new ones.
 - Healthcare professionals may prioritise different nutritional concerns around food poverty,
21 such as breastfeeding, than food bank users.
 - Material deprivation amplifies food poverty by reducing options for food storage and
22 preparation.
- 23
- 24

25

26 **Key words:** Food poverty; London; Qualitative; Health inequalities; Food banks; Diet

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Introduction

Food poverty, inequality and health

Food poverty, or household food insecurity, is a social determinant of health (Raphael, 2009). Over the last decade it has become increasingly relevant to UK public health due the continuing retreat of the welfare state, increasing inequality and the impact of sustained public sector austerity stemming from the 2007 economic recession (Ashton et al., 2014). A growing body of literature, predominantly from North America, has observed negative associations between food poverty and health outcomes (Gitterman et al., 2015). In high-income nations, food poverty contributes, paradoxically, to both malnutrition and obesity. Poorer households find themselves unable to afford enough food (Griffith et al., 2013), and the food that they can afford is often poor quality, energy dense and low in nutrients (Dinour et al., 2007). Such diets are associated with a range of conditions including hypertension, iron deficiency, and impaired liver function (Dinour et al., 2007; Markovic & Natoli, 2009). This paper explores how the health and wellbeing challenges associated with food poverty are perceived by both those experiencing them and the health and social care professionals who treat them.

The term food poverty is typically used interchangeably with ‘ food insecurity’ (as opposed to food security) (Dowler & O'Connor, 2012; Pinstrup-Andersen, 2009). Although originally used to characterise the nutritional status of nations, it is now widely used to refer to broader problems related to household food status. In this context, food poverty/insecurity is defined as: limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate and safe foods or limited or uncertain ability to acquire acceptable foods in socially acceptable ways (Taylor & Loopstra, 2016). Locating the issue within a rights-based approach recognises the clear interdependence between the (human) right to

1 food and the right to health (Dowler & O'Connor, 2012). Food poverty has varying degrees of
2 severity ranging from worry about whether there will be enough food through to compromising
3 quality and quantity, and then going without food and experiencing hunger (Taylor & Loopstra,
4 2016). Despite the scale of the problem, there is still no national surveillance system in the UK to
5 monitor food insecurity, as there are in the US and Canada (Loopstra et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2018).
6 UNICEF estimates that in the UK, 19% of all children under the age of 15 live with someone who is at
7 least moderately food insecure, making the UK one of the worst performing nations in the European
8 Union (Pereira et al., 2017). A reliance on estimates and a lack of national-level measurements serve
9 to undermine calls for a national-level response to this emergent public health crisis. This paper
10 contributes to the growing literature on food banking and the evidence base on the health
11 implications of food poverty by exploring the perspectives of key stakeholders in the UK food
12 banking system.

13
14

15 ***Advanced marginality***

16

17 The setting for this study is Greater London, where inequality is high, food poverty is a growing
18 problem and increases in the provision of food banking services have been the most rapid (CPAG,
19 2012; London Assembly, 2013). Given this context, we utilise Wacquant's (2007) notion of
20 'advanced marginality' as a theoretical framing of (food) poverty. This entails situating lived
21 experiences of food poverty within the mechanisms of contemporary urban poverty. Specifically,
22 how marginalized communities become physically, geographically and economically disconnected
23 from wider society via structural barriers to full citizenship. The statecraft of neo-liberal governance
24 has resulted in the growth of social insecurity through the pathways of both insecure working
25 conditions and punitive welfare regimes (Cummins, 2016).

26

1 Welfare policy is a structural barrier because it is increasingly informed by a behaviourist philosophy
2 relying on deterrence, surveillance, stigma, and graduated sanctions to modify conduct (Wacquant,
3 2009). In the UK, food banks are opening in areas experiencing greater cuts in local spending and
4 higher rates of welfare benefit sanctions (Loopstra et al., 2015). Benefit sanctions, the stoppage of
5 payments to recipients on the grounds of alleged non-compliance, have been identified as a major
6 pathway into (food) poverty (Adler, 2016). Detailed analysis of Trussell Trust data in the UK (the
7 country's largest food banking organisation) shows that the main reason for visiting food banks,
8 including repeat visits by households, is due to benefit changes and delays (Garratt, 2017).

9

10 The links between insecure working conditions and (food) poverty are less clear. Although it has
11 been identified by food aid organisations and campaigners as a topic the UK Government urgently
12 needs to explore (Cooper et al., 2014). The changing nature of work through increasing use of zero-
13 hours-contracts, whereby employees are guaranteed no set amount of work at all over a weekly or
14 monthly basis (despite being required to be constantly available for work) has caused widespread
15 concern. Most notably about the insecurity, benefit-claiming complications, poverty, and reliance
16 on services including food banks and pay-day-loan companies that this can entail (Gowans, 2014)

17

18 Food banks and food banking can be understood as integral parts of the broader lived experience of
19 poverty in the UK (Dowler & O'Connor, 2012; Purdam et al., 2016). Thus food poverty and the
20 increased prominence of the food banking system within the welfare system can be seen as
21 symptomatic of wider changes to public and welfare services, the expansion of the private sector,
22 and the stripping away of employment protection and rights that underpin Wacquant's model of
23 advanced urban marginality (Cummins, 2016). Receipt of emergency food aid is therefore an
24 extreme manifestation of poverty and inequality (Garthwaite, 2016). The food banks who provide
25 this aid are the primary response to food poverty on the ground (Wells & Caraher, 2014) and, as
26 such, are spaces of practical and emotional support (Cloke et al., 2016) where the intersecting needs

1 and forms of deprivation that arise from advanced marginality are addressed at the community
2 level. However, these spaces are not state-run or funded. They are charity and community
3 organisations that are legitimised and connected to the state largely via a system of referral.

4

5 ***Food banks and referral: mediating access***

6 As Lambie-Mumford explains, food banks were originally designed to be an emergency intervention,
7 providing food in the short-term while recipients await support from (typically) state welfare. They
8 are third sector organisations, where food is primarily donated by local people and organisations,
9 stored locally and with local distribution networks to those in need. Access to food bank services is
10 mediated through referral from front-line service providers such as GPs, nurses, social workers, Job
11 Centre staff and Family Support Workers via food bank vouchers (Lambie-Mumford, 2013). This
12 means that health and social care professionals are positioned as the primary gatekeepers to third
13 sector provision. Potential food bank users must convince these gatekeepers of their need in order
14 to receive a voucher from them. The referral voucher system – as one that attributes ‘genuine need’
15 to food bank users – has been framed as inherently moralistic and judgmental, feeding into broader
16 societal discourses of the ‘deserving’ and ‘underserving’ poor (Garthwaite, 2016; Williams et al.,
17 2016). The referral system itself positions food bank users as recipients, not consumers, and
18 reinforces ‘neediness’ as a qualifying criteria via the sometimes significant lengths that individuals
19 must go to obtain a referral. Thus creating a fundamental issue of food access based on need rather
20 than rights (Lambie-Mumford, 2017) (p.59)

21

22 Foodbanks themselves limit the amount of assistance available to food bank users internally by
23 capping the amount of referral vouchers available to households over set periods of time. In Trussell
24 Trust food banks, users can be given up to three food parcels over the duration of their emergency
25 or over a 6 month period. Where more is needed, they have to make special arrangements with the
26 food bank via a voucher holder (the referring health and social care professional). This is

1 fundamental for sustaining food banking as an emergency intervention and provides a mechanism
2 for holding agencies who are supposed to be helping the potential recipients in the longer term to
3 account (Lambie-Mumford, 2013). Some independent food banks operate similar capping policies,
4 whilst others accept 'self-referrals' or give out food parcels without referrals (Citizens Advice, 2018).
5 In some cases, rather than restrict access, some referral agencies use the food bank system to ease
6 the burden on their own services (King's Fund, 2016).

7

8 While the experiences of food bank users have been explored qualitatively (Cloke et al., 2016;
9 Garthwaite, 2016; Garthwaite et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016) and referenced in calls for a Right to
10 Food approach (Dowler & O'Connor, 2012; Lambie-Mumford, 2017), the perceptions of the health
11 and social care professionals who refer to food banks and support the individuals using them remain
12 underexplored. Ethnographic research on UK food banks has revealed that the progressive bleeding
13 of welfare responsibilities that have traditionally been the remit of the state into the charity sector is
14 an area of great concern for food aid organisations and the people they serve (Garthwaite, 2016).
15 The experiences of those state employees working at the boundaries of this blurring between state
16 and charity also warrant attention as the role they play in the administration of poverty and welfare
17 is expanding in order to help negate the potential harms to health of austerity (BMA, 2017).

18 Exploring the health and wellbeing challenges of contemporary food poverty, therefore, necessitates
19 investigating the perspectives of multiple stakeholders in the food banking system. Specifically, by
20 examining the accounts of those experiencing food poverty, the health and social care professionals
21 who treat and refer them, the food bank organising community, and local authority teams tasked
22 with addressing the logistic and public health implications of local food poverty. This paper reports
23 on the findings of a qualitative study of the health and wellbeing challenges of food poverty and
24 food banking and addresses the following research questions. First, what are the health and
25 wellbeing challenges encountered by those experiencing or working with food poverty? Second, how

1 do healthcare professionals and food aid organisations respond to these challenges? Finally, how
2 does food poverty and food banking figure in broader narratives of marginalisation and exclusion?

3

4

5

6 **Methods**

7

8 The findings presented here are drawn from a larger qualitative study in Greater London comprising
9 of two main elements: (i) an ethnographic investigation of the food bank system, staff and users,
10 and (ii) a series of interviews with healthcare stakeholders. A total of 42 participants were
11 interviewed. All fieldwork was conducted by the first author.

12

13

14 **(i) *Ethnographic study***

15 This component explored the perspectives of food bank workers and users and investigated how the
16 food banking system intersects with state agencies. Observations at food banks and Local Authority
17 meetings were carried out alongside semi-structured interviews with food bank volunteers,
18 organisers and users. For food bank observations, a mixture of activities including assembling food
19 parcels, distributing food parcels, interactions between volunteers and food banks users and team
20 meetings were observed at four independent food banks spread over five visits totalling over 9
21 hours. The food banks varied considerably. Two were reasonably large with more than 12
22 volunteers each and operated a referral voucher system closely emulating that of the Trussell Trust.
23 Both of these organisations routinely collected data on food bank users and reported that families
24 with young children made up the largest proportion of their clients. Although, anecdotally,
25 volunteers from all of the food banks stated that recently there had been an increase in single-men
26 seeking help. The remaining two food banks were much smaller and had evolved organically as the

1 'food sections' of charity organisations mainly offering non-food related support. These smaller food
2 banks operated on an ad hoc basis – opening when they were aware of a spike in local need or when
3 they could co-ordinate enough volunteers. They also operated on a voucher system, but in a much
4 less structured way, taking internal referrals from other sections of their respective organisations.

5

6 In all cases, permission was sought to observe from food bank organisers and, when relevant, [first
7 author] was 'introduced' to the food bank team as a researcher. On occasions when food bank users
8 were present, [first author] was 'chaperoned' by a food bank volunteer and introduced to users as a
9 researcher.

10

11 For the Local Authority observations (which included both local government staff, NHS employees
12 and local food bank organisers), a range of group meetings including Food Poverty Working Groups,
13 Community Nutrition Group Network meetings, (school) Holiday Hunger working groups, Food
14 Partnership meetings and Food Poverty Action meetings were attended. A total of nine separate
15 observations were carried out at meetings of these groups totalling over 20 hours. As with the food
16 banks, permission was sought to observe from the Chair (where relevant) and group members.

17 Permission was sought to take notes during these meetings and, in some cases, [first author] was
18 asked to offer an opinion on matters being discussed and sometimes invited back at a later date to
19 present findings. The observations, at both food banks and Local Authority meetings, served as a
20 way of accessing key local debates, framings, norms, issues and barriers associated with responses
21 to food poverty. The perceptions and topics encountered in these observations were subsequently
22 used to inform interviews and to compare how issues around food poverty were framed across
23 different community contexts.

24

25 Food bank workers were interviewed once about their experiences of dealing with people
26 experiencing food poverty, the referral process, and the challenges they faced. Food bank clients

1 were each interviewed at least twice. The first interview focused on how they came to experience
2 food poverty and subsequent interviews focused on the health challenges and their household food
3 practices. The decision to conduct two interviews with food bank users – one on how they first
4 came to use a food bank and one specifically on food practices and health - was based on pilot work.
5 Narratives of hardship leading to contact and even reliance of third sector services, such as food
6 banks, were significant and complex stories for food bank users that typically covered a range of
7 issues including benefit and housing problems, relationship breakdown, immigration status and
8 other assorted crises. Very little of these narratives were actually about food itself and were,
9 overwhelmingly, about poverty, insecurity and crisis. In this context, moving the interview on to
10 explore specific issues and details around nutritional health and practices seemed conversationally
11 trivial after discussing life-altering events. Discussing food practices and health at a separate and
12 subsequent interview proved much more conducive to focusing on topics related to food and
13 nutrition.

14

15 Whilst initial meetings were typically in a food bank, subsequent interviews were mostly conducted
16 in cafés, coffee bars and sometimes supermarkets (whilst participants shopped for food).
17 Conducting interviews in these food-based settings was intended to help direct the interview
18 towards the topics of food, eating and dietary health, by using place as a conversational prompt
19 (Evans & Jones, 2011). The practice of interviewing food bank users across a range of spaces was
20 largely participant led and partly pragmatic. Interviewing over a coffee and/or light refreshments in
21 a café helped both to focus on food related issues and facilitate a more comfortable and
22 conversational setting. Some of the participants, who gave very detailed and reflective accounts of
23 their altered food practices, were happy to be interviewed whilst food shopping, so that they could
24 expand on and explain some of the strategies they had mentioned in their previous interview. We
25 took an emergent approach to engaging in such interviews, making the participants aware that it
26 was an option if they thought it would be appropriate and comfortable for them. In all cases, we did

1 not interview participants in their homes. Pilot work and discussions with community gatekeepers
2 revealed that housing crises and problems at home were frequently a source of anxiety for those
3 using food banks and so interviewing in (semi) public spaces convenient to the participants was a
4 more appropriate approach.

5

6 ***(ii) Healthcare stakeholder interviews***

7 A series of semi-structured interviews were conducted with 20 London-based food aid-referring
8 healthcare professionals. Participants were asked to explain how they first came into contact with
9 food banks and comment on their interactions with those experiencing food poverty. Participants
10 were also asked to comment on the public health implications of food poverty.

11

12 ***Recruitment and sampling***

13 Food bank volunteers and organisers were recruited from the food banks visited as part of the
14 ethnographic observations (described above) via snowball sampling, which started with an
15 introduction from a colleague who volunteered at a food bank. Further, food bank staff were
16 recruited from observations at local authority Food Poverty Working Group meetings and food bank
17 observations themselves. Subsequently, these participants acted as gatekeepers to recruit food
18 bank clients. Initially, this meant talking to volunteers who first came into contact with food banks
19 as clients. They then provided introductions to people currently using food banks. A total of 8 food
20 bank staff (volunteers and organisers) and 14 client families were recruited in this way. The sample
21 was mixed in terms of gender, ethnicity, age, parental and immigration status.

22

23 Healthcare stakeholders were initially recruited by snowball sampling via introductions from clinical
24 colleagues. Subsequent participants were recruited from local authority Food Poverty Working
25 Group meetings and strategy meetings. Twenty professionals were recruited in this way and fell into
26 three broad categories:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- (i) **Healthcare providers (n = 5)** (comprised of three General Practitioners, one Medical Secretary, and a Health Visitor)

- (ii) **Local Authority health and wellbeing workers (n = 9)** (comprised of three Early Years Dieticians, one Public Health and Wellbeing Team Specialist, one Family Support Worker, one Therapeutic Counsellor, one Public Health Training Officer, one Healthy Schools Programme Lead, and one Director of a regional authority food programme).

- (iii) **Community and/or third sector health and wellbeing workers (n = 6)** (comprised of one Weight Management Nutritionist for a social enterprise, two Community Engagement Managers for refugee charities, one Health and Wellbeing Project Co-ordinator for a homelessness charity, one Regional Food Programme Manager for a national advocacy group, and one Community Centre Outreach Administrator).

Ethics and informed consent

Full ethical approval was obtained from the anonymous Research Ethics Committee. All participants were given an information sheet, a consent form, and a verbal explanation of the study, which included information about what would happen to their data and their right to withdraw. Informed consent was obtained via consent forms, information sheets, and verbal explanations.

Data analysis

Interviews were transcribed verbatim and fieldnotes from observations were written up. All documents were then imported into NVivo10 and subject to a Critical Grounded Theory (CGT) analysis, which incorporates critical aspects of participatory philosophy into constructivist grounded

1 theory in order to generate context-specific theory in settings with inherent social action agendas
2 (Hense & Skewes McFerran, 2016). The construction and investigation of food poverty as a social
3 problem has an inherent social action agenda. It infers a focus on structural inequality and
4 inequities, rather than pathologising individuals, that is congruent with a rights based approach to
5 the topic (Dowler & O'Connor, 2012) and the situating of lived experiences of food poverty within a
6 framework of advanced marginality (Wacquant, 2007). In which case, 'pre-concepts' (values) around
7 social justice and rights are employed in both the field and the analysis, meaning that a critical
8 positionality must be adopted at the outset (MacDonald, 2001). In this sense, the approach to data
9 collection is not wholly emic, it is situated within a research agenda and a body of literature that
10 seeks to challenge the phenomena under study. Employing a critical grounded theory approach,
11 therefore, is an acknowledgement of the situated nature of the undertaking and the implied
12 positionality.

13

14 The following stages of data analysis were adapted from Charmaz's constructivist grounded theory
15 (Charmaz, 2006) and Belfrage and Hauf's interpretation of CGT, with a distinct focus on the health
16 challenges of food poverty as the identified 'social problem' (Belfrage & Hauf, 2017):

17

- 18 1. Open coding to conceptualise all incidents in the data.
- 19 2. Selective coding with health and wellbeing concerns as the tentative core.
- 20 3. Development of initial conceptualisations based on themes emergent from the selective coding.
- 21 4. Refinement of initialised conceptualisations based upon cycles of data collection and deskwork.
- 22 5. Development of a grounded conceptualization to explain the social problem at a given point in
23 time.

24

25 In this approach, deployment and dissemination of the emergent theories are underscored by an
26 awareness that theoretical saturation cannot be fully achieved. Critical grounded theories are

1 therefore always provisional, incomplete and subject to revision, as they seek to characterise on-
2 going, fluid and situated social problems and inequities (Belfrage & Hauf, 2017).

3

4

5 **Results**

6 The critical grounded theory that emerged from our analysis is that contemporary lived experiences
7 of food poverty are embedded in, and symptomatic of, the perpetual uncertainty associated with
8 precarious incomes, insecure housing and limited agency over other external factors. Food poverty
9 was perceived to impact upon health and wellbeing both directly - in terms of dietary health - and
10 indirectly through contributing to the amplification and perpetuation of marginalisation. These
11 impacts are described in the sections below.

12

13

14 ***Barriers to providing adequate nutrition for babies and young children***

15 One of the areas of greatest concern for those working with families experiencing food poverty was
16 the impact on the health and wellbeing of children. Health and social care professionals explained
17 how financial hardship and instability, which drive the emergence of food poverty, makes activities
18 like meal planning, food-preparation and facilitating family meal times extremely difficult. Extended
19 periods of financial hardship and uncertainty meant that these activities were deprioritised and
20 occasionally abandoned altogether, especially when parents were in a perpetual state of crisis.
21 Strategies of short-termism and survival in the context of eviction threats, uncertain incomes and
22 family breakdown overshadowed many aspects of self-care and rendered long term health-related
23 considerations such as diet seem unimportant.

24

25 Dieticians and nutritionists, especially, consistently described food poverty as a barrier to breast-
26 feeding. This is perhaps unsurprising given that women living on low incomes are the least likely to
27 breastfeed and most likely to have the worst health and social outcomes for themselves and their

1 children (Oakley et al., 2013) and the healthcare professionals interviewed were typically working in
2 relatively deprived areas. As one Early Years Dietician explained, breastfeeding is *'the healthiest*
3 *and the cheapest option to feed a baby if you're on low income.'* However, this framing of the issue
4 and concern over breast feeding in particular was not shared by all participants. Food bank
5 organisers described how families with children under a year were routinely offered infant formula
6 milk, which can be helpful in times of crisis, and pointed out that substandard accommodation can
7 be a barrier to safe bottle feeding in cases of extreme hardship through lack of access to clean
8 water, refrigerators and storage space. Some healthcare providers and local authority workers
9 explained that providing formula milk in a food bank can have the unintended consequence of
10 helping to perpetuate the problem of low uptake of breastfeeding and that food aid settings were
11 not the optimal context to make decisions about infant nutrition or to get advice about using
12 formula milk.

13

14 By contrast, the food bank clients themselves did not appear to rank breast feeding issues as the
15 most pressing problem facing those raising young children in the context of food poverty. Those
16 food bank clients who had been either pregnant and/or looking after young babies when
17 experiencing hardship talked about struggling with depression and anxiety around trying to provide
18 for them in such challenging circumstances. Having enough money to buy nappies (diapers) or
19 finding a food bank that had them in the appropriate size was considered a much more pressing
20 need. As Keina, a mother of two young children commented:

21

22 *The necessary things for mothers and especially single mums that don't have*
23 *things ... I will need to be begging somebody for my baby's diaper.*

24

25 While some participants engaged with, and enjoyed, the parenting support on offer in their local
26 food banks, others did not welcome advice on how to feed their babies in this context. For example,

1 Yaema, who experienced a range of crises when pregnant that meant she had to rely on a number of
2 third sector organisations, was generally very positive about the services she received. However,
3 she felt that being ‘interviewed’ about her parenting was too much.

4

5 *it’s easier to advise somebody for what they want to do ... but start saying you*
6 *don’t have to do this, it looks as if there’s no freedom anymore, it looks as if*
7 *you’re controlling. And for the food banks, probably some of them should just*
8 *stop prying into people’s privacy, you know, if they’ve got referral to come and*
9 *meet you, I’m sure the person that give them referral would have interviewed*
10 *them, okay, you have this form and you go, we understand.*

11

12 Tensions between different actors in the food bank system around such issues were, to an extent,
13 unavoidable. Referring health and social care professionals were focused on improving health
14 behaviours and outcomes. Whereas food banks, although concerned about health, had a broader
15 goal of trying to ease hardship. The agency, and even dignity, of some food banks users was
16 sometimes obscured by these overlapping and often uncoordinated attempts to intervene by
17 different agencies that has come to characterise the unclear placement of responsibilities for social
18 welfare. Social and welfare policy in the UK is increasingly driven by risk management (Cummins,
19 2016) and the multiple agencies that food bank users encounter (both state and third sector) all
20 have a duty of care, in this respect, to try and ensure that no unnecessary risk or unforeseen harms
21 arise from their interactions with clients. The lived experience of these interactions, for those who
22 are handled by such agencies can be stigmatising and serve to accentuate the problems marginalised
23 groups have to deal with (Wacquant, 2007).

24

25 ***Managing without fresh food, food storage and cooking facilities***

1 Lived experiences of food poverty go beyond a lack of availability and affordability of nutritious food.
2 The low incomes and insecure housing that drive people into food poverty also compromise food
3 bank users' ability to engage in healthy food practices. Participants explained that food bank use
4 was often associated with housing crises, eviction and rent arrears, and came with the associated
5 problems of staying in temporary accommodation such as inadequate access to cooking and food
6 storage facilities. Stays in temporary accommodation and bed and breakfast can be very long in
7 London, stretching into years (Aldridge et al., 2015). Families experiencing food poverty sometimes
8 simply did not have access to adequate facilities to store and cook food for extended periods of
9 time, which negatively impacts on dietary practices.

10

11 Local authorities and food banks are aware of this and have developed innovative ways of
12 responding to the problems associated with living in temporary accommodation. Some food banks
13 put together food parcels specifically for people with restricted food facilities. Cooking classes and
14 'try a new food' activities delivered through food banks were some of the ways in which poor dietary
15 health were addressed. Some food banks worked with nutritionists to ensure that food parcels were
16 as healthy as possible, developing their own tailored nutritional guidelines for food parcel contents
17 and educating volunteers and clients at the same time. There was a conscious attempt to foster a
18 positive and healthy food environment in some food banks, which added to a sense of community
19 amongst both volunteers and clients. Alan, the organiser of a very active food bank, explained how
20 they had several nutritionists on their steering group, ran cooking classes and had people on-site to
21 advise clients on nutrition issues:

22

23 *Yeah, she's just joined as our latest nutritionist but we've had others*

24 *[Local Authority] Nutrition Partnership, who were able to come along on*

25 *the night and help the visitors understand how they can make a tasty meal*

26 *with products, fresh products particularly, that they would normally not know*

1 *what to do with*

2

3 Cooking classes for those of low incomes and skills-based interventions delivered in food aid settings
4 have been criticised for contributing to neo-liberal framings of food poverty as an issue of individual
5 failure or something that can be tackled via education rather than redistribution (Caplan, 2016).

6 Within an advanced marginality framing, this would be interpreted as the state and third sector
7 being implicated in a political agenda that recasts the problem of (food) poverty and deprivation as
8 the outcome of individual lifestyle choices and can therefore be subsequently used to justify punitive
9 trends and structural stigmatisation in social policy (Cummins, 2016).

10

11 However, like the food bank organiser quoted above, the food bank users interviewed for this study
12 also spoke highly of the food bank cooking classes they had attended and reported enjoying them.

13 Health and social care professionals offered a slightly different slant on the issue, with one Dietician
14 pointing out that, like many of the cooking classes run by Local Authorities, *'they are a way of*
15 *getting food into people'*. Both food bank and Local Authority cooking classes provided all the
16 ingredients and many included time for the attendees to eat a shared meal together at the end of
17 the session and take home leftovers. In this sense, cooking classes themselves can be thought of as
18 secondary food aid, which may go some way to explaining their popularity as they provide a way of
19 receiving food without having to declare 'need' and incur any of the associated stigma.

20

21 While the food bank model provides relief and alleviation for the 'symptoms' of food poverty
22 through food parcels and cooking classes, it does not tackle its root causes. Food banks can only
23 work with the food, donations and support they receive as they are not state-funded. While larger,
24 better supported and organised food banks can achieve more, smaller, independent and 'unofficial'
25 organisations have significantly less capacity to promote dietary health. The non-perishable food-
26 stuffs that are routinely donated cannot always constitute a nutritious diet. This can make

1 maintaining a healthy diet from donated food challenging (Garthwaite et al., 2015; van der Horst et
2 al., 2014). Yaema (quoted above) also explained that feeding her young children with donated food
3 could be challenging as it left her unable to cater to her own and her children's tastes and cultural
4 preferences.

5

6 *Sometimes you just limit your choice of cooking because of what you get and it*
7 *takes time to persuade the kids to have it, because I'm African and most time*
8 *we get used to eating our food and when you go to the food bank it's not what you*
9 *want to get but you just have to get used to eating it.*

10

11 In some cases, a lack of culturally appropriate food resulted in food waste. Food banks are aware of
12 these problems and, when possible, give clients the option of the more common cultural diet
13 restrictions such as no pork, no beef, vegetarian and (sometimes) Halal. However, 'culturally
14 appropriate' can also be taken to mean familiar foods. Some ranges of processed and tinned foods
15 are unfamiliar and unpalatable to food banks users who have not grown up with them. Keina (also
16 quoted above), a recent migrant to the UK, explained this problem

17

18 *Like me they give me soups. If you go to my kitchen wardrobe [sic] now because*
19 *I don't want to throw food away, I'll see soup, you see soup there in my cupboard*
20 *that I don't take. And I don't want to throw them away I don't want to waste it.*

21

22 Keina loathed throwing away food, as she explained being very grateful for it. But tinned soup (a
23 very popular donation to food banks) was not something that she or her children ate. In a similar
24 vein, Linda, a working single mother, explained that relying on food bank parcels meant that she
25 could afford to pay the rent. But it also meant going without fresh food.

26

1 *It does have an impact on health, because you don't get anything fresh, I mean*
2 *that's just the nature of it, everything's packaged in packets and jars and tins, and*
3 *obviously it's people that have donated things ... I had a mugshot [packet soup in a*
4 *cup] thing and noodles in a packet thing, you know, just really, I wouldn't have fed*
5 *it to my daughter really to be honest, I would have rather gone and stolen food from a*
6 *shop, but I was taking it in for lunch and they were like, "Oh my god, I'm so*
7 *surprised that you eat stuff like that," I said, "This is the stuff you get when you go*
8 *to a food bank." You know, this is it.*

9

10 Linda went on to describe a practice commonly reported among the parents interviewed; that of
11 putting aside the best quality and most nutritious food from the donated parcel for her child, and
12 having whatever was left over for herself. This is congruent with the notion of parents, and
13 particularly mothers, acting as 'shock absorbers' (S. Hall et al., 2013) for the worse effects of poverty
14 on their children. In the UK, austerity driven welfare reform has had a gendered impact of familial
15 relations, with expectations of financial, social and economic 'sacrifices' disproportionately ascribed
16 to women, and particularly to mothers. This, in turn, has implications for the health and wellbeing
17 of these women (S. M. Hall, 2016).

18

19

20

21 ***Exacerbating health and social problems***

22 Experiencing food poverty can create new health and social problems and worsen existing ones.

23 Participants experiencing food poverty explained that issues such as stress, depression and weight-

24 gain were made worse by these experiences and more difficult to manage. Trying to adhere to a

25 restricted or specialised diet for medical reasons was especially difficult. However, the ways in

26 which food poverty can worsen existing problems can be more fundamental than dietary problems

1 and are near impossible to anticipate and plan for. This is aptly demonstrated in Trevor’s account,
2 below, who has not been in regular paid employment for more than ten years, as a result of a variety
3 of health problems. He had to use food banks for an extended period when his benefits were
4 sanctioned.

5

6 *Trevor: They stopped, they stopped my money.*

7

8 *CT: Who stopped your money?*

9

10 *Trevor: DWP and then I had to appeal against it to get it back. What was it, about*
11 *6 months weren’t it ... Like, I walk all the way down the food bank and then try and*
12 *carry it all the way back. Took me three quarters of an hour to get down there and*
13 *then took me about two and a half hours to get home because I suffer with COPD*
14 *and every so often I have to use my pump.*

15

16 Without funds for food or transport, Trevor had no other choice but to walk to the food bank and
17 carry his food back home. Due to the nature of food parcel contents – often jars, tins and boxes of
18 non-perishables – this meant a very long walk home with heavy shopping which was physically
19 difficult and which aggravated his COPD. Trevor lived alone and so had no one to help with the trip.
20 Severe hardship resulting in food bank users having to walk considerable distances to obtain food is
21 a well-documented issue (Garthwaite, 2016). Situating this phenomena in the context of
22 overlapping vulnerabilities illuminates the multiple ways in which it can impact upon health.

23

24 This conflict of competing vulnerabilities and risk was also commented upon by healthcare
25 professionals. Helping patients struggling with such issues is not straightforward. Household
26 interaction with food aid typically occurs during other episodes of health crisis, which prompt them

1 to turn to healthcare professionals. Food poverty is rarely the ‘presenting problem’ and is often
2 embedded within a suite of other complaints. Frontline healthcare professionals have to
3 deconstruct complex accounts of poverty and find ways to intervene. Japp, a GP working in a
4 deprived London borough explained the inherent difficulties of treating patients experiencing
5 extreme hardship, in which food poverty and health complaints are often part of a constellation of
6 stressors and problems that need to be unpicked during the allotted 10-minute consultation:

7

8 *Because as you can imagine, if you’re marginalised, you are unreliable, you have*
9 *loads and loads and loads of problems, so in 10 minutes it’s impossible, it’s stupid,*
10 *impossible. So either the doctor gets really defensive or offensive and fobs the person*
11 *off, or you do something which fizzles out in nowhere it’s (food poverty) not a*
12 *discreet entity, it’s part of all the other bits of [not] having fuel, clothes, access to*
13 *information but in a health encounter, if the focus is by default not on poverty,*
14 *the focus by default is on medicines and complaints and the system handles it like this,*
15 *so it’s difficult if a person comes with a health complaint to ignore that and to move*
16 *away to poverty.*

17

18 As Japp explains, a 10-minute consultation is inadequate to deal with the complex and interrelated
19 health and social problems of extreme marginalisation in which food poverty occurs. If the GP
20 focuses on the presenting health complaint they risk doing so at the expense of delving into issues of
21 poverty and deprivation that underscore them and vice versa. There is simply not enough time or
22 resources to develop and explore ways to help with the linked problems of deprivation *and* health.
23 Growing pressures and responsibilities for healthcare professionals dealing with vulnerable patients
24 has been identified as one of the biggest challenges facing general practice (King's Fund, 2016). Such
25 pressures increase workloads, put strain on the doctor-patient relationship, takes up appointment
26 spaces, and disproportionately impacts on the delivery of services in deprived areas where need is

1 greater (Matthews-King, 2015). All of which are likely to negatively impact on the quality of care for
2 the most vulnerable. In this way, significant groups of people are effectively locked out of access to
3 resources (such as health and social care) (Cummins, 2016) that form the basis of citizenship and,
4 thereby, contributes to extreme marginality and precarity (Wacquant, 2007).

5

6 Bill, a GP in a deprived London borough, recounted the story of one of his patients who, after
7 suffering with mental health problems, long-term unemployment and morbid obesity for many
8 years, finally had bariatric surgery to lose weight and subsequently found some part-time casual
9 work with a local charity. However, the erratic and casual hours of work caused problems with the
10 benefits system and she eventually lost her benefits and exemptions. Aside from the general
11 hardship this caused, the accompanying loss of entitlement to free prescriptions meant that she
12 could no longer afford to take all of her medications which, as a result of her surgery and ongoing
13 mental health problems, were numerous. In order to try and deal with this she started prioritising
14 her limited funds for what she viewed as her 'most important' medications and simply not taking the
15 rest. Additionally, she eventually requested a referral to a food bank to save money for her
16 prescription medications. However, as has been described above, constructing specialised and
17 restricted diets (like that following bariatric surgery) from donated food is very challenging.
18 Eventually, his patient came back to see him in a distressed state and he signed her off of work,
19 meaning that she was unable to continue in paid work and instead began to reclaim benefits in order
20 to regularise her income and maintain her health. As Bill explains below.

21

22 *She was very you know upset ... had been going to the food bank to get sort*
23 *of a limited amount of food, couldn't get any of her prescriptions ... so she's*
24 *back out of work and now she gets sort of free prescriptions so she's able to*
25 *have her medication ... I think it will be very difficult for her to think again about*
26 *being able to sort of go back into employment having had such a disastrous time*

1 ... She'd got a sort of long course of treatment with our psychology team ... to help
2 her get back to where she was, so you know I think it'll be difficult for her to get
3 back there basically.

4
5 Her existing medical issues acted as an additional layer of vulnerability that left Bill's patient unable
6 to weather the combination of precarious employment and inflexible welfare benefit administration
7 that her trying to get back into paid work entailed. In this instance, the potential health cost of food
8 poverty removed her from the labour market.

9

10 **Conclusion**

11 This study has revealed that the health and wellbeing challenges encountered by those experiencing
12 food poverty are both direct and indirect. Direct problems largely related to the challenge of
13 maintaining a good diet and the impacts of prolonged periods with a restricted choice of foods,
14 especially for young families. Narratives around indirect health and wellbeing challenges are centred
15 on the worsening of existing health conditions and an amplification of personal vulnerability. Lived
16 experiences of food poverty and food banking are both embedded in extreme precarity and
17 marginalisation and also serve to perpetuate them. Uncertainty, hardship and lack of agency around
18 food were part of broader precarious experiences of the social determinants of health, most notably
19 income and housing. This suite of experiences can be understood as a form of 'advanced
20 marginality', as a combination of extreme economic, political, social and cultural exclusion that
21 produces poverty not as a residual category, but as the consequence of a changing wage-labour and
22 welfare relationship (Wacquant, 2007).

23

24 This paper explored food poverty and the food banking system from multiple perspectives, moving
25 beyond a specific focus on food banks and exploring wider elements of the welfare system including
26 Local Authority infrastructure and local health and social care professionals that both rely on and

1 help to embed the food bank system in the UK welfare landscape. Food banks start where the
2 welfare safety-net stops, with health and social care professionals in the position of having facilitate
3 this transition (Matthews-King, 2015). Examining the processes through which this occurs is key to
4 understanding the local mechanisms of state retreat and the subsequent increasing role of the third
5 sector in shouldering the burden of social welfare provision.

6

7

8

9

10 **References**

- 11 Adler, M. (2016). A new Leviathan: Benefit sanctions in the twenty-first century. *Journal of Law and*
12 *Society*, 43, 195-227.
- 13 Aldridge, H., Born, T.B., Tinson, A., & MacInnes, T. (2015). London's Poverty Profile 2015. Trust for
14 London: Tackling poverty and inequality: New Policy Institute.
- 15 Ashton, J.R., Middleton, J., & Lang, T. (2014). Open letter to Prime Minister David Cameron on food
16 poverty in the UK. *The Lancet*, 383, 1631.
- 17 Belfrage, C., & Hauf, F. (2017). The Gentle Art of Retrodution: Critical Realism, Cultural Political
18 Economy and Critical Grounded Theory. *Orgabization Studies*, 38, 251-271.
- 19 BMA. (2017). Health Inequalities. British Medical Association.
- 20 Caplan, P. (2016). Big society or broken society. *Anthropology Today*, 32, 5-9.
- 21 Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory*. London: Sage.
- 22 Citizens Advice. (2018). Using a food bank. Help on a low income: National Association of Citizens
23 Advice Bureaux.
- 24 Cloke, P., May, J., & Williams, A. (2016). The geographies of food banks in the meantime. *Progress in*
25 *Human Geography*, 41, 703-726.
- 26 Cooper, N., Purcell, S., & Jackson, R. (2014). Below the breadline: the relentless rise of food poverty
27 in Britain. London: Church Action on Poverty and Oxfam.
- 28 CPAG. (2012). Food poverty in London: A submission from Child Poverty Action Group. London: Child
29 Poverty Action Group.
- 30 Cummins, I. (2016). Wacquant, urban marginality, territorial stigmatization and social work.
31 *Aotearoa New Zealand Social Work*, 28, 75-83.
- 32 Dinour, L.M., Bergen, D., & Yeh, M. (2007). The Food Insecurity-Obesity Paradox: A Review of the
33 Literature and the Role Food Stamps May Play. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*,
34 107, 1952-1961.
- 35 Dowler, E., & O'Connor, D. (2012). Rights-based approaches to addressing food poverty and food
36 insecurity. *Social Science and Medicine*, 74, 44-51.
- 37 Evans, J., & Jones, P. (2011). The walking interview: methodology, mobility and place. *Applied*
38 *Geography*, 31, 849-858.
- 39 Garratt, E. (2017). Please sir, I want some more: An exploration of repeat foodbank use. *BMC Public*
40 *Health*, 17.
- 41 Garthwaite, K.A. (2016). *Hunger Pains: Life inside foodbank Britain*. Bristol: Policy Press.

- 1 Garthwaite, K.A., Collins, P.J., & Bamba, C. (2015). Food for thought: An ethnographic study of
2 negotiating ill health and food insecurity in a UK foodbank. *Social Science and Medicine*, 132,
3 38-44.
- 4 Gitterman, B.A., Chilton, L.A., Cotton, W.H., Duffee, J.H., Flanagan, P., Keane, V.A., et al. (2015).
5 Promoting Food Security for All Children. *Pediatrics*, 136, e1431-1438.
- 6 Gowans, R. (2014). Working at the edge: zero hours contracts. Citizens Advice Scotland.
- 7 Griffith, R., O'Connell, M., & Smith, K. (2013). Food expenditure and nutritional quality over the
8 Great Recession: Briefing Note (BN143). Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- 9 Hall, S., Knibbs, S., Medien, K., & Davies, G. (2013). Child Hunger in London: Understanding Food
10 Poverty in the Capital. London: Ipsos MORI and the Greater London Authority,.
- 11 Hall, S.M. (2016). Family Relations in Times of Austerity: Reflections from the UK. In S. Punch, R.
12 Vanderbeck, & T. Skelton (Eds.), *Families, Intergenerationality, and Peer Group Relations*.
13 *Geographies of Children and Young People*. Springer: Singapore.
- 14 Hense, C., & Skewes McFerran, K. (2016). Towards a critical grounded theory. *Qualitative Research*
15 *Journal*, 16, 402-416.
- 16 King's Fund. (2016). Understanding the pressures in general practice. London.
- 17 Lambie-Mumford, H. (2013). 'Every Town Should Have One': Emergency Food Banking in the UK.
18 *Journal of Social Policy*, 42, 73-89.
- 19 Lambie-Mumford, H. (2017). *Hungry Britain: The rise of food charity*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- 20 London Assembly. (2013). A Zero Hunger City: Tackling food poverty in London. Health and
21 Environment Committee, Greater London Authority.
- 22 Loopstra, R., Reeves, A., Taylor-Robinson, D., Barr, B., McKee, M., & Stuckler, D. (2015). Austerity,
23 sanctions, and the rise of food banks in the UK. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*.
- 24 MacDonald, M. (2001). Finding a Critical Perspective in Grounded Theory. In R.S. Schreiber, & P.
25 Noerager Stern (Eds.), *Using Grounded Theory in Nursing*. New York: Springer Publishing
26 Company.
- 27 Markovic, T.P., & Natoli, S.J. (2009). Paradoxical nutritional deficiency in overweight and obesity: the
28 importance of nutrient density. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 190, 149-151.
- 29 Matthews-King, A. (2015). Increasing number of GPs asked to refer patients to foodbanks. Pulse.
30 London: Cogora Limited.
- 31 Oakley, L.L., Renfrew, M.J., J Kurinczuk, J.L., & Quigley, M.A. (2013). Factors associated with
32 breastfeeding in England: an analysis by primary care trust. *BMJ Open*, 3.
- 33 Pereira, A.L., Handa, S., & Holmqvist, G. (2017). Prevalence and Correlates of Food Insecurity Among
34 Children Across the Globe. Innocenti Working Paper 2017-09. Florence: UNICEF Office of
35 Research.
- 36 Pinstrip-Andersen, P. (2009). Food security: definition and measurement. *Food Security*, 1, 5-7.
- 37 Purdam, K., Garratt, E.A., & Esmail, A. (2016). Hungry? Food Insecurity, Social Stigma and
38 Embarrassment in the UK. *Sociology*, 50, 1072-1088.
- 39 Raphael, D. (2009). *Social Determinants of Health: Canadian Perspectives*. Toronto: Canadian
40 Scholars' Press.
- 41 Smith, D., Parker, S., Harland, K., Shelton, N., & Thompson, C. (2018). Identifying populations and
42 areas at greatest risk of household food insecurity in England. *Applied Geography*, 91, 21-31.
- 43 Taylor, A., & Loopstra, R. (2016). Too Poor to Eat: Food insecurity in the UK. The Food Foundation.
- 44 van der Horst, H., Pascucci, S., & Bol, W. (2014). The "dark side" of foodbanks? exploring emotional
45 responses to food bank receivers in the Netherlands. *British Food Journal*, 116, 1506-1520.
- 46 Wacquant, L. (2007). Territorial Stigmatization in the Age of Advanced Marginality. *Thesis Eleven*, 91,
47 66-77.
- 48 Wacquant, L. (2009). Theoretical Coda: A Sketch of the Neoliberal State. *Punishing the Poor: The*
49 *Neoliberal Government of Social Insecurity*. Michigan: Duke University Press.
- 50 Wells, R., & Caraher, M.U.K. (2014). Print media coverage of the food bank phenomenon: from food
51 welfare to food charity? *British Food Journal*, 116, 1426-1445.

- 1 Williams, A., Cloke, P., & May, J. (2016). Contested space: The contradictory political dynamics of
- 2 food banking in the UK *Environment and Planning A*, 48, 2291-2316.
- 3