The wide-field, multiplexed, spectroscopic facility WEAVE: Survey design, overview, and simulated implementation
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ABSTRACT

WEAVE, the new wide-field, massively multiplexed spectroscopic survey facility for the William Herschel Telescope, will see first light in late 2022. WEAVE comprises a new 2-degree field-of-view prime-focus corrector system, a nearly 1000-multiplex fibre positioner, 20 individually deployable ‘mini’ integral field units (IFUs), and a single large IFU. These fibre systems feed a dual-beam spectrograph covering the wavelength range 366–959 nm at $R \sim 5000$, or two shorter ranges at $R \sim 20000$. After summarising the design and implementation of WEAVE and its data systems, we present the organisation, science drivers and design of a five- to seven-year programme of eight individual surveys to: (i) study our Galaxy’s origins by completing Gaia’s phase-space information, providing metallicities to its limiting magnitude for ~3 million stars and detailed abundances for ~1.5 million brighter field and open-cluster stars; (ii) survey ~0.4 million Galactic-plane OBA stars, young stellar objects and nearby gas to understand the evolution of young stars and their environments; (iii) perform an extensive spectral survey of white dwarfs; (iv) survey ~400 neutral-hydrogen-selected galaxies with the IFUs; (v) study properties and kinematics of stellar populations and ionised gas in $z < 0.5$ cluster galaxies; (vi) survey stellar populations and kinematics in ~25 000 field galaxies at $0.3 \leq z \leq 0.7$; (vii) study the cosmic evolution of accretion and star formation using >1 million spectra of LOFAR-selected radio sources; (viii) trace structures using intergalactic/circumgalactic gas at $z > 2$. Finally, we describe the WEAVE Operational Rehearsals using the WEAVE Simulator.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The last decade has seen the opening of new windows on the Universe, made possible by the development of new instrumentation. Two of these new windows are ultra-high-precision astrometry, enabled by the Gaia mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) and providing us with radically new views on the structure, dynamics and evolution of our own Milky Way system, and low-frequency radio astronomy, enabled by LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013). The latter is already revealing millions of active galactic nuclei and star-forming galaxies across cosmic time, and their interactions with their local circumgalactic and intergalactic media (e.g. Shimwell et al. 2019; Williams et al. 2019; Duncan et al. 2019; Tasse et al. 2021; Sabater et al. 2021; Kondapally et al. 2021; Duncan et al. 2021), while the former has provided unprecedented insights into – or constraints on – subject matters such as star formation in the Galactic disc (e.g. Ruiz-Lara et al. 2020), the dynamics of the Milky Way disc (e.g. Antoja et al. 2018; Bennett & Bovy 2019; Laporte et al. 2019), the mass of the Milky Way (e.g. Posti & Helmi 2019; Wegg et al. 2019; Caution et al. 2020), the dynamics of satellites galaxies (e.g. Battaglia et al. 2022), the effect of the Large Magellanic Cloud on the dynamics of the Milky Way and stellar streams, (e.g. Vasilev et al. 2021), stellar streams in the Galactic halo (e.g. Malhan et al. 2018), a major accretion event (Gaia Enceladus Sausage, e.g. Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018), the chemodynamics of stellar streams (Mackereth et al. 2019) and determination of stellar ages in the disc (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019) through combining Gaia data with those from complementary surveys, and the Galactic disc as seen from its component stellar clusters (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020).

At the start of the last decade, the European astronomical community, through the European Commission-funded ASTRONET programme, laid out a set of recommendations for solving pressing astrophysical problems in the following decade and the infrastructure required for those solutions. A clear recommendation from both the ASTRONET Wide-Field Spectroscopy Working Group1 and the report on Europe’s 2–4 m telescopes by the European Telescope Strategic Review Committee2 was for an intermediate-resolution ($R \sim 5000$), wide-field (≥1° diameter) multi-object spectrograph with a multiplex of >500 (and preferably >1000) to follow up the Gaia mission and to allow for precision-cosmology experiments; an extension to even higher resolution ($R \geq 20000$) with the same instrument was deemed desirable, as this extension would allow for chemo-dynamical labelling of structures in the Milky Way.

Roughly simultaneously, in 2010 January, the Isaac Newton Group of telescopes (ING) held a workshop on ‘Science with the William Herschel Telescope 2010–2020’3, where ideas for wide-field spectroscopy with the William Herschel Telescope4 (WHT) were discussed. Previous, on-going, and then-planned surveys (e.g. SDSS: York et al. 2000; SEGUE-1: Yanny et al. 2009; SEGUE-2: Eisenstein et al. 2011; APOGEE-1: Blanton et al. 2017; APOGEE-2: Eisenstein et al. 2011; RAVE: Steinmetz et al. 2006; LAMOST: Cui et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2015; Gaia-ESOGilmore et al. 2012; Randich et al. 2022; Gilmore et al. 2022; GALAH: De Silva et al. 2015) have demonstrated the immense power of wide-field spectroscopy for answering important questions about the formation and evolution of galaxies and their stars and the structure of the Universe, leading to the conclusion – fully supported by the ASTRONET recommendations – that such a survey instrument was vital for the ING’s long-term vision. During follow-up discussions, a small team developed a set of desirable requirements for an instrument capable of both providing the missing aspects of the (then) upcoming survey instruments Gaia, LOFAR, and Apertif (Verheijen et al. 2008; van Cappellen et al. 2022), and science goals extending beyond those three instruments: these are listed in Table 1. These requirements and a well-developed

2 https://www.astronet-eu.org/sites/default/files/plaquettet2_4m-final-2.pdf
3 http://www.ing.iac.es/conferences/wht201020/
4 http://www.ing.iac.es/astronomy/telescopes/wht/
Table 1. Desirable requirements for follow-up of the Gaia mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016), and LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013) and Apertif (Verheijen et al. 2008) surveys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Desirable requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaia(^5,6)</td>
<td>(r = 5000) for radial velocities at (17 \leq V \leq 20) mag (r = 20000) for stellar abundances at (12 \leq V \leq 17) mag (10^7) stars over (10^5) contiguous square degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOFAR(^7,8)</td>
<td>Continuous wavelength coverage over (370–980) nm (V \leq 21.5) mag at (S/N = 5) in continuum for redshifts (10^4) galaxies over (10^4) square degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apertif(^9,10)</td>
<td>Large IFU and mini-IFUs for spatially resolved spectra of gas-rich galaxies (10^4) galaxies over (10^4) continuous square degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The WEAVE Survey

Design for such an instrument were presented to the community in 2015, while members of the community presented their own aspirations for multi-object spectroscopy for the coming decade at the same conference (Skillen et al. 2016), resulting in a much broader science case covering cosmology, galaxy evolution, and stellar evolution on scales from the local Solar neighbourhood to redshifts in excess of 4.

The WHT Enhanced Area Velocity Explorer (WEAVE\(^11,\), Dalton et al. 2012, 2016a) is one of the results of these discussions: a next-generation wide-field spectroscopic survey facility for the 4.2 m William Herschel Telescope at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos on La Palma, Spain. It provides the spectroscopic follow-up required for full scientific exploitation of the Gaia, LOFAR and Apertif surveys (for references, see Table 1) in the Northern Hemisphere and, furthermore, to study advanced phases of stellar evolution, galaxy evolution in different environments over the last 5–8 Gyr, and the changing scale of the Universe and therefore its most basic parameters since near its beginning. The facility comprises a new 2° field-of-view prime-focus corrector system with a 1000-multiplex fibre positioner, 20 individually deployable ‘mini’ integral field units (mIFUs), and a single ‘large’ integral field unit (LIFU). The fibres feed a dual-beam spectrograph that will provide full coverage of most of the visible spectrum in a single exposure at a spectral resolution of \(\sim 5000\), or a more limited wavelength coverage in both arms at a resolution of \(\sim 20000\).

The timely arrival of WEAVE at the precise moment when Gaia and LOFAR are producing their groundbreaking results guarantees its utility to the community in the coming half-decade and beyond.

The design of WEAVE was driven by the fact that neither Gaia nor LOFAR provide all of the information required to fully exploit the data they produce. Gaia produces radial velocities mostly for sources with magnitude \(G < 14.5\) at present (Gaia DR3, Katz et al. 2022a) and \(G \sim 16 – 17\)\(^12\) by the end of the Gaia mission. In both cases, these limiting magnitudes are where the Gaia completeness is reasonably high, while measurements are still available in a tail of fainter stars. These limits are also far brighter than the astrometric magnitude limit of \(G < 20 – 21\) in both cases. Similarly, although the exquisite low-frequency continuum sensitivity of the LOFAR Surveys Key Science Project (Röttgering et al. 2011) observations in the LOFAR two-metre sky survey (LoTSS; e.g. Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019, 2022; Tasse et al. 2021) enables the detection of extremely faint radio sources, the redshift (i.e. distance) information that is necessary to distinguish between their apparent and intrinsic properties must be obtained via other means. Extensive optical spectroscopy over a large contiguous wavelength range is the ideal way of achieving this.

Furthermore, other pathfinder telescopes for the Square Kilometer Array\(^13\), such as the Apertif focal-plane array system (Verheijen et al. 2008; van Cappellen et al. 2022) on the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope, will reveal the neutral hydrogen sky through wide-field surveys not previously possible. These surveys are also incomplete, as connecting the HI sky – inherently three-dimensional (positions plus radial velocity are simultaneously observed) – to the optical sky requires three-dimensional optical data. Obtaining such data is now possible through large-field and/or multiple integral field units (e.g. Kelz et al. 2006; Drory et al. 2015). An instrument with both kinds of IFU capabilities, capable of targeting large and multiple small targets, is necessary for the full exploitation of upcoming HI surveys.

The following eight independent surveys will be carried out with WEAVE over a period of (at least) five years, producing more than 30 million spectra of nearly 10 million objects:

(i) a survey of the Milky Way galaxy, providing radial velocities and stellar abundances for stars too faint for these quantities to be measured by Gaia (WEAVE Galactic Archaeology; Section 4.1);

(ii) a survey characterising young and massive stellar populations and the interstellar medium, and thus probing star formation and evolution, across the Galactic Plane (Stellar, Circumstellar, and Interstellar Physics; Section 4.2);

(iii) a survey studying the death of stars and constraining the local star-formation history of the Galaxy through its white dwarf population (White Dwarfs; Section 4.3);

(iv) a survey of the stellar and gaseous kinematics and physical properties of gas-rich galaxies, providing a necessary optical complement to Apertif’s neutral hydrogen surveys of the local Universe (WEAVE-Apertif; Section 4.4);

(v) a survey probing the evolution of galaxies as a function of environment, from the cores of rich clusters to their lively environs, going from their smallest members out to the field at cosmological distances (WEAVE Galaxy Clusters; Section 4.5);

(vi) a survey providing the first detailed view of the stellar population properties of galaxies at \(z = 0.3–0.7\) as a function of galaxy mass and environment, yielding star formation histories, stellar ages, stellar and gas metallicities, dust attenuation, gas kinematics and stellar velocity dispersions (Stellar Population at intermediate redshifts Survey; Section 4.6);

(vii) a survey probing galaxy evolution over cosmic time, providing much-desired redshifts and galaxy properties of LOFAR’s radio sources (WEAVE-LOFAR; Section 4.7);

(viii) a survey of large-scale structure using quasar absorption lines as a cosmic ruler to probe the expansion of the Universe, which also extends the study of gaseous environments to larger scales and earlier epochs (WEAVE-QSO; Section 4.8).

The WEAVE Survey will provide data that will help answer the

---

\(^5\) https://www.esa.int/Science_Exploration/Space_Science/Gaia
\(^6\) https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/data-release-3
\(^7\) https://science.astron.nl/telescopes/lofar/
\(^8\) https://lofar-surveys.org/dr2_release.html
\(^9\) https://science.astron.nl/telescopes/wsr1-apertif/
\(^10\) https://tinyurl.com/2p8d64av
\(^11\) https://ingconfluence.ing.iac.es/confluence/display/WEAV
\(^12\) This \(G\) magnitude range corresponds to the \(G_{RVS}\) \(\leq 16\) limit expected (Sartoretti et al. 2022).
\(^13\) http://www.skatelescope.org
questions: How did our Galaxy form and the stars within it evolve? How were other galaxies assembled? What are dark matter and dark energy? On these topics, WEAVE will be complementary to the surveys carried out by 4MOST (de Jong et al. 2019), which has a similar design, including spectral range and resolution, and will operate in the Southern Hemisphere on a similarly sized telescope, DESI (DESI Collaboration 2016; DESI Collaboration et al. 2016), which will focus largely on baryonic acoustic oscillations of galaxies, SDSS-V (Kollmeier et al. 2017), which will have complementary, lower resolution optical spectra and, additionally, infrared spectroscopy, and PFS (Tamura et al. 2018), which will cover a smaller field on a larger telescope that is not fully dedicated to survey operations.

This paper first presents the design of the WEAVE instrument – in terms of both hardware and software – as relevant to WEAVE Survey preparation and execution (Section 2), followed by the structure of the WEAVE work and workforce including an overview of the key cross-team working groups involved in and responsible for the preparation and execution of the Survey (Section 3). We then present overviews of each of the eight component surveys that comprise the WEAVE Survey (Section 4), with each subsection summarising the particular survey’s science case and survey plan, as well as providing the relevant introduction and background to the field. This is followed by a description of the WEAVE Simulator (Section 5) and a summary of the simulated implementation of the Survey through ‘Operational Rehearsals’ (Section 6). Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 THE WEAVE FACILITY

The WHT is an alt-azimuth telescope with a Cassegrain focus and two Nasmyth foci (for a description of the original design features of the WHT, see Boksenberg 1985). WEAVE’s predecessor on the WHT was the prime-focus instrument Autofib-2 (AF2, Parry et al. 1994), which had 150 fibres deployable over a 2-degree-diameter field of view. WEAVE has nearly 1000 fibres deployable over a field of view four times that of AF2 and, with four times the number of resolution elements of AF2 and three to four times its throughput, dramatically increases the resolution and multiplex power of the telescope.

Full details of the WEAVE design and performance will be presented in a separate paper (Dalton et al., in preparation). Here, we summarise only the key parameters of the WEAVE facility as they relate to the detailed design of the component surveys making up the total WEAVE Survey (cf. Dalton et al. 2016a).

2.1 A new top-end for the WHT

The WEAVE prime-focus corrector system delivers a 2-degree-diameter field of view, with a flat focal plane at $f/2.78$ with full correction for atmospheric dispersion from 370–1000 nm to 65° zenith distance (Agócs et al. 2014). The telecentricity of the input beam will deviate by no more than 4° at the edge of the field. For a point-source image in the absence of seeing, the corrector delivers 80 per cent encircled energy within 0.71 arcsec, corresponding to a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.41 arcsec. The effect of differential image distortion with changing zenith distance is 0.13 arcsec across the field between 0° and 65° zenith distance.

2.2 The WEAVE Fibres and Fibre Positioner

Behind the prime-focus corrector system, fibres are deployed in the focal plane using a buffered positioner system developed from the 2dF concept (Lewis et al. 2002): the positioner can deploy up
Table 2. Key parameters of the four focal-plane modes of WEAVE. MOS-B and mIFU modes both use plate B, but cannot be employed simultaneously.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focal-plane mode</th>
<th>MOS fibres (MOS-A)</th>
<th>MOS fibres (MOS-B)</th>
<th>mini-IFUs (mIFU)</th>
<th>Large IFU (LIFU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumbler position</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of individual fibres</td>
<td>1.3 arcsec (85 μm)</td>
<td>1.3 arcsec (85 μm)</td>
<td>1.3 arcsec (85 μm)</td>
<td>2.6 arcsec (170 μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplex</td>
<td>960 fibres</td>
<td>940 fibres</td>
<td>20 IFUs</td>
<td>1 IFU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of field over which deployable</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum separation on sky</td>
<td>~60 arcsec</td>
<td>~60 arcsec</td>
<td>~60 arcsec</td>
<td>~60 arcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibres per IFU</td>
<td>~8.65 mm</td>
<td>~8.65 mm</td>
<td>~8.65 mm</td>
<td>~8.65 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFU field of view</td>
<td>~11.65 mm</td>
<td>~11.65 mm</td>
<td>~11.65 mm</td>
<td>~11.65 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFU filling factor</td>
<td>~110.23 mm</td>
<td>~110.23 mm</td>
<td>~110.23 mm</td>
<td>~110.23 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibres for auto-guiding</td>
<td>8 × 3 arcsec² coherent bundles</td>
<td>8 × 3 arcsec² coherent bundles</td>
<td>8 × 3 arcsec² coherent bundles</td>
<td>Separate camera (4 × 3.7 arcmin² field of view)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibres for sky subtraction</td>
<td>~5–10% of science fibres</td>
<td>~5–10% of science fibres</td>
<td>one of the mIFUs</td>
<td>8 peripheral bundles of 7 fibres each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration time</td>
<td>~55 minutes</td>
<td>~55 minutes</td>
<td>&lt;20 minutes</td>
<td>~1 minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to 1008 fibre buttons on each of two field plates using a pair of Cartesian robots sharing a common x-axis. Each science-fibre button is 5 mm × 2 mm × 7 mm in size and terminates in a 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm prism with a concave upper surface to expand the telescope beam to f/3.2. Each multi-object spectrograph (MOS) science fibre carries a 85 μm core fibre that subtends 1.3 arcsec on the sky. Eight buttons on each field hold coherent fibre imaging bundles to be used for acquisition and guiding. On the first plate (‘plate A’), each of the eight guide-fibre bundles is packaged so that each bundle replaces six potential science fibres, leaving 960 science fibres available. On the second plate (‘plate B’), 10 groups of six science fibres are replaced by pairs of deployable mini integral field units (mIFUs). On plate B, the guide-fibre bundles each replace only a single science fibre to leave 940 science fibres available. The two MOS plates are at either end of the positioner, such that when one plate is being used for the current observation, the other can be set up for the upcoming observation. A MOS field can be configured in under one hour, thus for the current observation, the other can be set up for the upcoming observation. MOS-B and mIFU modes both use plate B, but cannot be employed simultaneously.

The 20 mIFUs are packaged similarly to the MOS fibres, but with slightly larger buttons (7 mm × 5 mm × 7 mm in size), each holding a hexagonal close-packed array of 37 fibres with the same size and aperture as the MOS fibres. The outer diameter of the fibre buffer layer is 120 μm, so each mIFU covers roughly 11 × 12 arcsec² on the sky with a filling factor of ≈ 0.50. The buffered arrangement of the two field plates also affords an intermediate location (i.e. at 90° to the MOS plates), which accommodates a single, large integral field unit (LIFU) containing a hexagonal array of 547 fibres with 170 μm cores 2.6 arcsec, subtending 90 × 78 arcsec² on the sky with a filling factor of ≈ 0.54, along with eight peripheral bundles of seven fibres, arranged in a ring of radius 150 arcsec from the centre of the array, to be used for sky subtraction. The LIFU package includes a discrete guiding camera mounted within the same physical structure as the LIFU head.

Each MOS observation is prepared in advance using a purpose-built software tool (CONFIGURE: Terrett et al. 2014, see Figure 2), which builds on the simulated annealing approach of Miszalski et al. (2006) with some elements of the original ‘Oxford’ algorithm (Colless et al. 2001) to facilitate an optimal, on-the-fly assignment of fibres for sky-background determination without impacting the results of the annealing process. The output of CONFIGURE is an XML file containing all of the information required to specify an observation, including observing constraints, spectrograph configuration and calibration lamp sequences. Observations for the mIFU and LIFU modes follow a similar but slightly simpler approach. Using CONFIGURE, the mIFUs in a given field can be manually allocated to targets such that the central fibre of each mIFU is located at a desired target position. Final sky coordinates for the remaining 36 fibres are output by CONFIGURE, based on the sky position angle of the mIFU field resulting from this allocation. Each mIFU-field setup requires at least one of the mIFUs to be dedicated for calibration purposes. The central seven fibres are identified with the primary calibration target (i.e. a white dwarf), and the remaining 30 fibres are labelled as sky positions. LIFU observations are prepared using a similar tool, with the output XML file providing information on guide-star positions and the precise on-sky position for each fibre within the LIFU.

2.3 The WEAVE Spectrograph

All four sets of science fibres pass through a cable-wrap around the prime-focus instrument rotator, along the vanes of the prime-focus support spider and down the telescope structure, to where they pass over the elevation axis and into the GHRIL instrument room on the Nasmyth platform that houses the WEAVE spectrograph (see Figure 3).

The spectrograph (Rogers et al. 2014) provides full wavelength coverage over the range 366–959 nm at nominal resolving power of R ~ 5000 (the low-resolution, ‘LR’, mode), or a pair of restricted wavelength ranges at R ~ 20 000 (the high-resolution, ‘HR’, mode; note that these resolving powers are halved when using the LIFU with its two-times-larger fibres). This is achieved by a dual-beam design with an off-axis catadioptric f/3.1 collimator, which delivers a 190 mm collimated beam. The dichroic is located before the collimator correcting lenses so that these can be optimised for transmission in each arm. Each arm feeds an f/1.8 transmissive

---

15. A catadioptric optical system combines both reflection and refraction to reduce aberration effects.
camera consisting of 8 lenses (one asphere on the first lens), designed such that the lens prescriptions are common to both cameras, and only the coatings and lens spacings differ between the two. The useful focal plane of each camera is 8192 (spectral) × 6144 (spatial) pixels, populated by a pair of e2V CCD 231-C6 CCDs, with the physical size of the pixels being 15 μm. This oversize of the detector avoids use of a custom format, and also allows the gap in the spectral direction to be chosen in each camera to fall in a region of low interest. At the same time, placing this gap close to the centre of the image plane makes the control of Littrow ghosts easy by using VPH gratings with slightly slanted fringes (Burgh et al. 2007; Bianco et al. 2018), such that the image of the ghost is formed in the gap. Each arm of the spectrograph contains a rolling shutter mechanism and a grating exchange mechanism to allow the choice between two resolution modes, with the associated change of beam angles accommodated by articulation of the two cameras. The available modes and associated nominal spectral coverage are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Available resolution modes (LR = low resolution, HR = high resolution) and nominal useful spectral coverage for the WEAVE spectrograph in the MOS/mIFU modes, including the wavelength gap between the chips. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrograph mode</th>
<th>Rc</th>
<th>A_{low} (Å)</th>
<th>A_{high} (Å)</th>
<th>gap in λ coverage (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR Blue</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>6060</td>
<td>5491–5539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR Red</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5790</td>
<td>9590</td>
<td>7590–7669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Blue-1a</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>4040</td>
<td>4650</td>
<td>4525–4536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Blue-2b</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>4730</td>
<td>5450</td>
<td>5302–5315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Red</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>5950</td>
<td>6850</td>
<td>6412–6431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the larger fibre cores of the LIFU, the resolution in this mode is halved with respect to the MOS/mIFU cases.

Direct illumination of each camera’s focal plane is achieved by a series of LEDs that are mounted on the spectrograph’s shutter mechanisms. These are used to provide a direct measure of the pixel-to-pixel response variations of the detector, and a measure of the non-linearity present in the detector read-out electronics.

2.4 Calibration Unit

The WHT has a set of projector lamps mounted at one of the broken-Cassegrain foci. These can be used to direct light to the primary focus via the tertiary mirror. Investigations during the development of WEAVE have shown that the illumination is adequate – but not perfect – out to the edge of the WEAVE field of view (Domínguez et al. 2016). Observations of a quartz-halogen lamp are used to determine the traces of the individual fibre spectra on the detector mosaic for each camera, and to provide a rough indication of the illumination variations for each fibre. Observations of a ThArCr lamp are used to determine a wavelength solution for each fibre. With this lamp, we find that it is possible to obtain a sufficient density of lines in both low- and high-resolution modes.

Mapping of the wings of the fibre profiles on the detector is achieved by configuring three fields for each plate, each sampling every third fibre along the slit and observing high signal-to-noise arc and flat-field lamp exposures. As the fibres in these configurations can all be placed on a circle at around a 20-arcmin radius, these observations can also be used to determine fibre-to-fibre intrinsic transmission variations.

Flux calibration is achieved on-sky using calibrator stars within each WEAVE field (Section 4.3.1).

2.5 Observatory Control System (OCS)

WEAVE introduces a new top-level Observatory Control System (OCS) to the WHT that permits queue-based observations (Picó et al. 2018). The XML files generated by CONFIGURE are converted to Observation Blocks (OBs), which are stored in a database and can be scheduled in a queue for the operator, based on the prevailing conditions and target priorities. At the heart of the OCS is a sequencer task that coordinates the actions of the telescope, spectrograph, positioner and calibration system using a CORBA-based architecture and a centralised noticeboard. In this context, the positioner has two functions: one for the observation of the current field and performing any actions required of the focal-plane imager, and one for the positioning robots concerning the parallel setting-up of the next observation.

The ‘WEAVE observation queue scheduler’ (SCHEDULER: Fariña et al. 2018) is bespoke software written for use by the WEAVE operator to make informed decisions about which OBs in the database are most suitable for observation, at least one hour ahead of time, and can be used to trigger a LIFU observation, during which plate A can be set up for a MOS observation.

The most efficient subsequent observation, once the seeing conditions start improving, is a mIFU field using plate B, as configured in the database and predicted weather conditions and current instrument configuration (i.e. observing mode) and observing conditions (e.g. sky brightness, seeing, etc.) are combined with current and predicted weather conditions and current instrument configuration to allocate scores to OBs in the OB database. For example, poor seeing conditions might trigger a LIFU observation, during which no configuration is possible on either field plate. If this occurs during the night, the most efficient subsequent observation, once the seeing conditions start improving, is a mIFU field using plate B, as configuring a mIFU field takes less time (under 20 minutes) compared with a MOS field (just under one hour), during which plate A can be set up for a MOS observation.
the start of the night, both field plates will already have been set up with suitable fields before the beginning of the night. Note that each MOS field configuration is valid only for a specific length of time, depending on its elevation, due to the distortion introduced by the effects of differential refraction.

2.6 WEAVE Data Processing and Archiving

From the outset, it was envisaged that WEAVE would require a dedicated data-processing pipeline to ensure the best outcomes from the facility. Given the scale and complexity of this task, the activities of this Science Processing and Analysis (SPA) system have been divided into three distinct sub-systems: the Core Processing System (CPS) for basic data reduction and calibration, the Advanced Processing System (APS) for producing derived data products, and the WEAVE Archive System (WAS) for data archiving.

All WEAVE data frames, including data for individual open-time programmes, are retrieved from the observatory in near real-time by CPS (Walton et al. 2014) and processed to remove instrumental signatures, calibrate wavelength and flux scales (see Section 4.3.1) and subtract sky background. A subset of the CPS pipeline will run at the telescope to provide a quick-look analysis tool (Peralta de Arriba et al. 2019) for the observers for the purposes of quality control during observations. For each OB, the output from the CPS consists of a multi-spectrum image for each science frame in each arm (with sky and variance components) and a stacked multi-spectrum image for each arm. These data are passed to the WAS, together with the raw frames and processed calibration data.

The APS picks up the processed science frames and produces joined spectra in both the low and high-resolution modes, before providing an analysis of each spectrum using a variety of methods (e.g. FERRE, REDROCK,17 PPXF, and GANDALF: Allende Prieto et al. 2006; Bolton & Schlegel 2010; Cappellari 2012; Sarzi et al. 2017, the last two of which are wrapped in gist: Bittner et al. 2019a,b). For extragalactic sources, the analysis yields redshift, galaxy classification, velocity dispersion, spectral indices and equivalent widths for a list of standard emission lines. For each stellar source, the analysis produces velocity, temperature, surface gravity and abundance measures for a variety of elements. For IFU spectra, the APS produces maps of velocity, velocity dispersion and abundances. The APS also allows for additional analysis software (‘Contributed Software’) to be developed by the science teams and incorporated into the processing chain. All data products produced by the APS are stored in FITS tables and sent to the WEAVE Archive System.

The WEAVE Archive System (WAS) is the official archive for WEAVE data products (Guerra et al. 2016). It is based on Apache Solr18 and provides interactive front-end access to WEAVE data and data products for WEAVE data users. The WAS not only stores the results from WEAVE’s two main pipelines, namely the CPS and the APS, but also stores Contributed Data Products (CDPs), which are specific data products produced by WEAVE Science Team members in addition to the APS products. To preserve and ensure the high quality of data available to WAS users, CDPs are agreed in advance by the Science Team with the Science Executive (see Section 3). As well as search and download options, the WAS user interface also offers plotting tools for the visualisation of spectra and other data products. The WAS manages both internal and public data releases. Internal data releases are expected on a regular basis, at least once a semester, while public data releases will be yearly following the first public data release two years after the start of Survey operations. Data from the public data releases will also be published to the Virtual Observatory19 using the Table Access Protocol20.

3 WEAVE’S ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE

The work and workforce within WEAVE are divided into two entities: the WEAVE Instrument Consortium and the WEAVE Survey Consortium. The former, also commonly referred to as the ‘WEAVE Project’, is responsible for the delivery of the WEAVE facility to the ING. The latter, also commonly referred to as the ‘WEAVE Science Team’, is responsible for preparing and executing a five-year survey. A simplified view of the organisational structure is shown in Figure 4.

The WEAVE Project is responsible for the delivery of the complete instrument along with data-processing software and associated hardware for the data-processing pipelines, a data archive system (along with a user interface), and other useful tools such as a quick-look GUI, an operational repository, OB templates and a file-submission platform (see Sections 2 and 6). The WEAVE Project is overseen by a Project Board with representatives from the funding parties, and managed through the Project Executive21. The latter comprises the Project Manager and Principal Investigators (PIs) from each of the communities in WEAVE-funding countries. These PIs also currently compose the Science Executive, which oversees the Survey Consortium.

The WEAVE Survey Consortium – also known as the WEAVE Science Team – is composed of eight individual science teams, preparing to execute the eight respective surveys. Each team is led by a Science Team Lead (STL) and each survey has its own science case and survey plan. The WEAVE Survey Consortium currently consists of

---

17 https://github.com/desihub/redrock
18 http://lucene.apache.org/solr/
19 https://ivoa.net/
20 https://www.ivoa.net/documents/TAP/20190927/REC-TAP-1.1.html
21 https://ingconfluence.ing.iac.es/confluence/display/WEAV/Project+Executive
approximately 500 astronomers\textsuperscript{22} spread over 11 countries, where membership is contingent on satisfying eligibility requirements, e.g. any astronomer working in an ING country may request to join the Survey Consortium. An individual joins the Survey Consortium by becoming a member of one or more of the eight science teams. WEAVE membership entitles its members to proprietary WEAVE-data access during Survey operations, while for certain science teams, this also includes ancillary data provided through a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with another survey. The component surveys comprising the global WEAVE Survey are described further in Section 4.

3.1 Survey Working Group (SWG)

During Survey operations, the main responsibility of the Survey Working Group (SWG) is to provide catalogues of targets and configured fields in the form of XML files using \texttt{configuration} (see Section 2.2) on a trimesterly basis, i.e. every three months. The SWG is composed of representatives from the WEAVE Science Team, each of whom reports to the relevant Science Team Lead, and is currently led by the Project Scientist and Deputy Project Scientist. The SWG has been fundamental in helping to define the structure and details of key data-flow processes, testing many steps of the Survey preparation process from the creation of input target catalogues and testing of the \texttt{configuration} software for creating configured fields to trialling the trimesterly XML submission process via the WEAVE Automated Submission Platform (WASP) in the Operational Rehearsals (see Section 6).

3.2 On-Island Survey Management Team (OISMT)

The On-Island Survey Management Team (OISMT) at the ING is responsible for many aspects of the running of the WEAVE facility, ranging from the commissioning of the WEAVE instrument to ensuring the day-to-day running of WEAVE in the contexts of both the WEAVE Survey and PI programmes\textsuperscript{23} once the instrument is on sky. With support from the OISMT, a dedicated WEAVE instrument specialist will be responsible for managing the execution of nightly OBs, aided by the observation queue created by the \texttt{Scheduler} based on current and predicted weather conditions and the observing requirements of OBs in the OB database, held at Observatory Control System. Regular communication between the OISMT and the Survey Working Group is key for ensuring the smooth and optimised scheduling of OBs from the WEAVE Survey and PI programmes combined, and this interface has been tested extensively during the most recent Operational Rehearsal (see Section 6).

3.3 Quality Assurance Group (QAG)

Although various checks are performed on the spectra being processed by the Core and Advanced Processing Systems before reaching the WEAVE Archive System, some unexpected results requiring closer inspection are anticipated, especially at the start of Survey operations. To allow such spectra to be caught and investigated quickly, the Quality Assurance Group (QAG) of each science team will perform regular checks on data taken for their survey to ensure that the spectra are of sufficient quality.

The work of the QAG will also be instrumental in decisions to be made on timescales of several months to a year. Although each of the eight science teams comprising the global WEAVE Survey has an internally and externally reviewed five-year survey plan based on its individual science case prior to start of Survey operations, the detailed planning of which fields/targets are submitted for observations (and when) is a real-time decision-making operation that will continue to take place on a trimesterly cycle. The QAG will report its findings of their quality-assessment work to the STL and SWG member(s) of their team on a regular basis. This, in turn, will form the basis of decisions made by the STL and SWG on any necessary strategic planning for upcoming submissions on how to proceed with their survey. Reports from the QAG will also be combined with individual survey completion rates and other considerations such as right-ascension pressure for top-level Survey-planning decisions to be made by the Science Executive.

The QAG workflow and its tasks have been tested intensively during Operational Rehearsals involving the WEAVE Science Team (see Section 6).

3.4 IFU Working Group (IWG)

The IFU Working Group (IWG) deals with planning, observational, and software aspects of WEAVE’s integral-field modes. The IWG consists of members of the software systems, SWG, QAG, and ad-hoc members of the science teams as required, and discusses issues ranging from observation preparation to dithering strategies, data reduction and analysis techniques. Given the complexity of designing IFU observations within the context of the WEAVE observation preparation system, the IWG has been instrumental in the development and testing of the ‘IFU workflow’ software for preparation of IFU observations, which in turn has also significantly contributed to the parallel development of the ‘MOS workflow’ software for preparation of the multi-object-spectroscopy observations. During Survey operations, the work carried out by the IWG will serve as additional input to the SWG and the QAG of science teams that include IFU observations.

4 THE WEAVE SURVEY

The WEAVE Survey is composed of eight individual surveys, each with its own dedicated science team, whose science goals span a wide range of topics covering various fields of stellar, Galactic and extragalactic astronomy. The surveys will together use approximately 1150 nights over the course of five years of WHT time. Their science goals play crucial roles in complementing major space- and ground-based programmes in the current and coming decade, including \textit{Gaia}, LOFAR and Apertif, by providing a dedicated wide-field optical spectroscopic instrument in the Northern Hemisphere. WEAVE will also be accessible to the wider astronomical community through open calls outside of the allocated survey time.

In this section, an overview of each of the planned surveys of the global WEAVE Survey is provided, starting from surveys focusing on the nearby Universe and extending towards higher redshifts (see also Table 4).
Table 4. WEAVE survey parameters, listed in order of survey appearance in the text. Numbers provided are best estimates at the time of writing for a seven-year WEAVE Survey. * ‘Survey fraction’ denotes the fraction of total WEAVE-Survey fibres hours currently planned for use for a given WEAVE survey. **MSTO: Main Sequence Turnoff; RGB: Red Giant Branch; BHB: Blue Horizontal Branch. † White dwarfs used purely for IFU-mode calibrations. ‡ Area coverage calculated using the field of view of the LIFU, not the field of view of WEAVE. § Applies to WQ-Wide (when J-PAS target selection is available). WQ-HighDens will target \( r < 23.5 \) for \( z > 2.2 \) over \( \sim 420 \text{deg}^2 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEAVE survey (short-hand)</th>
<th>main targets</th>
<th>number of objects</th>
<th>area (deg²)</th>
<th>Survey fraction*</th>
<th>observing mode(s)</th>
<th>resolution modes(s)</th>
<th>redshift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Archaeology (GA-L.Hightlat)</td>
<td>MSTOb stars, RGBb stars, BHBb stars, RR Lyrae</td>
<td>( \sim 1.6 \times 10^6 )</td>
<td>8750</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Archaeology (GA-L.Disc)</td>
<td>Red Clump stars, RGB stars</td>
<td>( \sim 1.1 \times 10^6 )</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Archaeology (GA-HR)</td>
<td>Main sequence &amp; RGB stars</td>
<td>( \sim 1.6 \times 10^6 )</td>
<td>5650</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Archaeology (GA-OC)</td>
<td>Stars in open clusters and star forming regions</td>
<td>( \sim 1 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar, Circumstellar and Interstellar Physics (SCIP)</td>
<td>OBA stars, ionised nebulae, young stars, compact objects</td>
<td>( \sim 4 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>MOS, LIFU</td>
<td>LR, HR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Dwarfs</td>
<td>white dwarfs</td>
<td>( \geq 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>( \geq 10000 )</td>
<td>( \sim 0.012 )</td>
<td>MOS, mIFU, LIFU</td>
<td>LR, HR</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.04 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAVE-Graphs</td>
<td>H\textsc{i}-detected, mostly late-type galaxies</td>
<td>400 (LR), 100 (HR)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>LIFU</td>
<td>LR, HR</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAVE Galaxy Clusters</td>
<td>galaxies in dense environments</td>
<td>( \sim 2 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>MOS, LIFU</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar Populations at intermediate redshifts Survey (StPS)</td>
<td>field galaxies</td>
<td>( \sim 2.5 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>0.3–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAVE-LOFAR</td>
<td>150 MHz sources</td>
<td>( \sim 7 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>8950</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>MOS, mIFU, LIFU</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>( &lt; 6.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAVE-QSO</td>
<td>bright, ( r &lt; 21.5; z &gt; 2.2 ), ( 21.5 &lt; r &lt; 23.5; 2.5 &lt; z &lt; 3 )</td>
<td>( \sim 4 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>8950</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>LR, HR</td>
<td>( &gt; 2.2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 The WEAVE Galactic Archaeology (GA) Survey

How did our Galaxy and its components assemble and evolve over time? This question is key to the general understanding of galaxy formation over cosmic times, as the Milky Way is the system for which we can hope to constrain in most detail the physical processes that play a role in the formation and evolution of galaxies. These processes leave behind specific signatures that are encoded in the location, kinematics and chemistry of stars (e.g. Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002). The ultimate goal of Galactic Archaeology as a field of study is to obtain a comprehensive census of the positions, orbits, ages and chemical compositions of stars in all major stellar structures of our own Galaxy to enable a complete reconstruction of its formation and subsequent evolution.

Many questions remain open in understanding how the complex structure of the Milky Way was assembled, with its stellar populations occupying a bulge, a thin and thick disc and a halo. Whether the different stellar populations have truly different origins, what the relative importance of internal (in-situ star formation, secular evolution of the disc, etc.) and external (accretion events, gas accretion through filaments, etc.) processes in forming and shaping the Galaxy, and how universal these processes are in the evolution of galaxies in general, are questions to which Galactic Archaeology as a field of astronomical study tries to find answers. The most outstanding open questions currently include: What is the assembly history of the Milky Way mass? What is the role of the structure currently known as the Milky Way thick disc at the earliest times, and what is its relationship with the Milky Way bulge and halo? What are the relative fractions and properties of the Galactic stellar halo, formed within the Milky Way (in-situ) and accreted from ‘building blocks’ of satellite systems? What is the general shape of the potential of the Milky Way’s halo out to large distances, and how lumpy is this potential? Is the Galactic disc duality (that refers to the existence of a thin and thick disc) real, and what is the cause of this duality if so? What causes the [α/Fe] bimodality in the Galactic disc? What is the Galactic disc potential in detail, and how important are deviations from axisymmetry and stationarity? What are the origins of the chemical elements in the Galaxy?

The European Space Agency mission Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) was launched in 2013 December. During its nominal five-year mission (and up to 10 years pending approvals of mission extensions), Gaia is already producing – and will continue to produce – the most accurate astrometric data (positions, parallaxes and hence geometrical distances, and proper motions) ever produced in the optical domain for more than a billion stars in the Milky Way. In addition, Gaia is providing very accurate photometry in three band-passes (Riello et al. 2021a), complemented since its DR3 by shallower photometry in a fourth band-pass (GRVS, Sartoretti et al. 2022), as well as spectrophotometric information from the Gaia BP/RP spectrophotometer (De Angeli et al. 2022).

However, for a number of objectives, Gaia will not be sufficient by itself, and ground-based spectroscopic information provided by large-scale surveys such as WEAVE are mandatory. Gaia’s on-board Radial Velocity Spectrograph (RVS), with a resolution of \( \sim 11,500 \) over the wavelength range 845–872 nm (Cropper et al. 2018; Katz et al. 2022), will not reach the same depth as the rest of the Gaia instruments leaving the vast majority of the survey without the third (radial) velocity dimension. Furthermore, the RVS’s limited wavelength coverage and resolution will not allow for detailed studies of a large variety of elemental abundances, with reliable detailed ele-
mental abundances only for sources brighter than $G \gtrsim 12^{25}$ (Recio-Blanco et al. 2022).

The WEAVE Galactic Archaeology survey is tailored to complement Gaia in two primary ways:

- by providing measurements based on low-resolution spectra of radial velocities (expected precision $\pm 1–2$ km s$^{-1}$), effective temperature, surface gravity and metallicity (expected precision $\pm 0.2$ dex) for $\sim 1.8–2.6$ million targets in the faint part of the Gaia catalogues with magnitudes in the range $16 < G < 20.7$, which are too faint for the RVS (see Figure 5);

- by yielding accurate measurements based on high-resolution spectra of abundance ratios covering the main nucleosynthetic channels (light, $\alpha$-, Fe-peak, and s- and r-process neutron-capture elements, to better than $\pm 0.05–0.2$ dex), depending on the element and type of star, and radial velocities (to better than $\pm 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$) for $\sim 1.1–1.6$ million stars in the magnitude range $12 < G < 16$, for which the RVS will again not be able to provide the relevant data.

Studying the origin and evolution of the main different stellar components of the Milky Way (halo, thin and thick discs) calls for massive spectroscopic surveys to provide line-of-sight velocities, distances and chemical abundances of several million stars, and WEAVE is a cornerstone for this endeavour, as one of the first – and for its high-resolution capability, the only – high-multiplex wide-field optical multi-object spectrograph in the Northern Hemisphere. These spectroscopic surveys complement Gaia’s superb astrometry while benefiting from Gaia for optimal target selection. A WEAVE survey starting in the coming months will be particularly timely, benefiting from Gaia Data Release 3 in 2022 June (DR3: Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022a), and producing its final science in the same time-frame as the Gaia end-of-mission catalogues (the Gaia legacy release, planned for 2030). Gaia’s third data release (DR3, released as the EDR3 on 2020 December 4 and DR3 on 2022 June 13: Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022a) are being used for WEAVE target selection, providing a homogeneous source for astrometry (positions, parallaxes, proper motions – Lindegren et al. 2021) and broad-band photometry (Riello et al. 2021b), to enhance the capabilities of WEAVE to build large source catalogues tailored to studying specific aspects of the Milky Way’s stellar populations, with well-controlled and reproducible target selection criteria.

The WEAVE Galactic Archaeology surveys fall into four independent categories listed below, according to the spectral resolution of the observations (LR or HR) and the stellar populations or Galactic locations targeted, as illustrated in Figures 6 and 7. These complementary surveys, together with the SCIP and White Dwarfs surveys (see Sections 4.2 and 4.3, respectively), nearly completely sample the Milky Way’s stellar populations accessible from the Northern Hemisphere.

- The high-latitude LR survey (‘LR-highlat’): This low-resolution wide-area survey of $6000–8500$ deg$^2$ at high Galactic latitude will observe $1.10 \times 10^6$ (five-year survey) stars in the magnitude range $15 < r_{\text{SDSS}} < 20–21^{26}$ to complement Gaia with line-of-sight velocities and metallicities for stars too faint for the RVS, and thereby yield the best-just constraints on the halo potential’s lumpiness and total mass to large distances ($\sim 100$ kpc, e.g. Caiten et al. 2020), discuss the formation of the Galactic halo, and characterise its progenitors (including substructures such as streams and dwarf galaxies). The search for traces of accretion events has recently been exemplified by Gaia’s finding of a significant merger, known as Gaia Enceladus or the Gaia Sausage, that has been shown to make up a significant fraction of the inner Galactic halo (e.g. Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018; Haywood et al. 2018; Myeong et al. 2019; Gallart et al. 2019; Naidu et al. 2020; Malhan et al. 2022). A number of new cold streams have also been discovered thanks to Gaia astrometry (e.g. Malhan et al. 2018; Ibata et al. 2021), which anticipates the wealth of discoveries awaiting us with the combination of Gaia and WEAVE. While the main target of this survey is the Galactic halo, the survey will also probe the thick disc of the Milky Way, complementing the HR survey (see section below). To achieve these goals, the LR-highlat wide-area survey will target the following: colour- and magnitude-selected main-sequence turnoff (MSTO) stars; red-giant-branch (RGB) stars selected by colour, magnitude and Gaia astrometric data (parallaxes and proper motions, to reject local red main-sequence stars from the selection); extremely metal-poor star candidates selected from narrow-band photometry (e.g. Pristine: Starkenburg et al. 2017), broad-band photometry (e.g. SDSS, Abolfathi et al. 2018) or Gaia’s on-board spectrophotometry (Gaia Data Release 3 and onwards; see Sartoretti et al. 2022); blue-horizontal-branch (BHB) stars and blue stragglers (using, for example, a combination of colours including the $u$ band, e.g. Deason et al. 2012); RR Lyrae stars (e.g. Sesar et al. 2017; Holl
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25 This limiting magnitude was obtained by extracting from the DR3 Astrophy Parameters catalogue in the Gaia archive all stars with at least a [Ca/Fe] abundance measurement with a corresponding flag of 0, which shows a strong drop in number count for $G > 12.5$.

26 Magnitudes with subscript ‘SDSS’ refer to Sloan Digital Sky Survey magnitudes (see Albareti et al. 2017).
Figure 6. Footprint of the WEAVE GA surveys on the sky in Galactic coordinates ($\ell, b$) in an Aitoff projection overlaid on the Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022a) density contours of stars with parallax measures, $G < 16$, and $-10^\circ < \delta < 80^\circ$ (in stars deg$^{-2}$). HR chemo-dynamical survey: black dots; Open Clusters survey: red stars; Disc dynamics LR survey: dark green dots; High-latitude LR survey (shared with the WEAVE-LOFAR and WEAVE-QSO surveys) yellow outline, where the hashed yellow outline region shows the provisional area to be surveyed at $\sim$100 per cent coverage factor, while the remaining area for this survey will be surveyed with a $\sim$30 per cent coverage factor. Known stellar streams and dwarf spheroidal galaxies covered within the pointed part of the High-latitude LR survey: light green stars. The available declination range arises from the impact of differential atmospheric refraction on targets near the edge of the field on the typical 1-hour WEAVE-Survey OB. Near-polar targets like NGC 188 require short exposures outside of the normal WEAVE-Survey OBs.

et al. 2018; Iorio & Belokurov 2021; Clementini et al. 2022); hyper-velocity stars (e.g. Brown et al. 2018; Contigiani et al. 2019; Evans et al. 2022; Marchetti et al. 2022). This survey is foreseen to share fibres on the sky with the WEAVE-LOFAR and WEAVE-QSO surveys (see Sections 4.7 and 4.8, respectively), and hence share the same footprint. In addition to this wide-area component, the LR-highlat survey will drill a few deeper (down to at least $r_{\text{SDSS}} = 21$) four-hour pointings (and even up to seven hours in some specific cases) around specific objects of interest, namely dwarf spheroidal galaxies and known stellar streams, where the multi-epoch data will allow for the detection of binary stars to refine the line-of-sight velocity dispersion profiles of these objects and to understand better the dark-matter distribution (e.g. Posti & Helmi 2019; Cautun et al. 2020). This pointed survey is expected to cover a total of $\sim 200$ deg$^2$ on the sky.

• The disc-dynamics LR survey (‘LR-disc’): This low-resolution, high radial-velocity accuracy survey will observe $\sim 500$ lines of sight through the Galactic disc at very low latitudes to probe fundamental Galactic disc dynamics processes in a volume inaccessible to Gaia alone. The survey will observe $7 \times 10^5$ (five-year survey) to $1.1 \times 10^6$ (seven-year survey) stars selected mainly to be red-clump giants with Pan-STARRS1 (Chambers et al. 2016) $r$-band magnitudes in the range $15 < r_{\text{PanSTARRS}} < 19^{27}$, spanning evenly the distance range $\sim 2$–8 kpc from the Sun, or from $\sim 2$–15 kpc in Galactocentric radius. Towards the outer disc, all RGB stars will be allowed into the selection function. The fundamental goal of this survey is to understand the phase-space distribution of stars of the Galactic disc, in particular those effects associated with mergers and/or resonances of non-axisymmetric perturbations (bar and spiral arms), both in the inner and outer disc (see also Famaey et al. 2016). This survey is likely to provide unique insights into the effects of various internal and external perturbers on the secular evolution of the Galactic disc at large scales, in a similar fashion to the local non-stationarity found locally in Gaia DR2 (e.g. Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018b; Antoja et al. 2018; Bennett & Bovy 2019; Bland-Hawthorn & Tepper-García 2021; Bovy et al. 2019; Ruiz-Lara et al. 2020). Thanks to the Northern location of WEAVE, this survey will also provide a unique view of the Galactic Anticentre (cf. Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021).

27 Magnitudes with subscript ‘PanSTARRS’ refer to PanSTARRS PS1 magnitudes (Tonry et al. 2012).
Figure 7. Expected coverage of the WEAVE GA sub-surveys in the $(X, Z)$ plane (Cartesian coordinates centred on the Sun’s position in the Galaxy) with the Galactic Centre located at $(-8.5, 0)$ kpc. This is based on the target-selection-scheme footprints of each of the sub-surveys, applied to simulations performed with GUMS (Gaia Universe Model Snapshot, Robin et al. 2012) and Galaxia (Sharma et al. 2011), taking into account the expected uncertainties on the Gaia astrometric data used in the target selection, estimated using the PyGaia toolkit (for Gaia performances, see: https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/science-performance).

Figure 8. Expected coverage of the WEAVE GA sub-surveys in the $(X, Z)$ plane (Cartesian coordinates centred on the Sun’s position in the Galaxy) with the Galactic Centre located at $(-8.5, 0)$ kpc. This is based on the target-selection-scheme footprints of each of the sub-surveys, applied to simulations performed with GUMS (Gaia Universe Model Snapshot, Robin et al. 2012) and Galaxia (Sharma et al. 2011), taking into account the expected uncertainties on the Gaia astrometric data used in the target selection, estimated using the PyGaia toolkit (for Gaia performances, see: https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/science-performance).

licity and [$\alpha$/Fe] for $>7 \times 10^5$ (five-year survey) to $1.1 \times 10^6$ (seven-year survey) MSTO and sub-giant stars will also allow us to constrain stellar ages to exquisite precision (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019; Kordopatis et al. 2022) within a sphere of radius $\sim 2$ kpc. The target selection function, based on Gaia DR3 (or from later releases) absolute magnitudes and their associated uncertainties, will include all stars with absolute magnitudes $M_G < 4.5$, thus also selecting intrinsically bright targets such as RGB stars that will probe the Milky Way’s thin and thick discs and halo to distances of $\sim 10$ kpc and beyond. Targets of special interest will be given higher priority to ensure proper sampling. These include very and extremely metal-poor stars with prior information on metallicity (e.g. Pristine: Starkenburg et al. 2017), RR Lyrae (see e.g. Clementini et al. 2022) and Cepheid (both in this HR survey, as well as in the LRdisc survey above; see e.g. Ripepi et al. 2022) variable stars, known exoplanet hosts, bright members of known streams (e.g. Martin et al. 2022), and stars with high tangential velocities to increase the halo fraction in the sample (from Gaia DR3; see e.g. Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018a; Koppelman & Helmi 2021).

- **The Open Clusters survey** (‘OC’): This is a survey (mostly in high resolution) of a sizeable sample (~120) of old and young open clusters (OCs) and tiling three cluster-formation regions, aimed at obtaining homogeneous information on radial velocities and chemical information. This will complement Gaia’s superb distances and proper motions of individual stars in known and newly discovered OCs (e.g. Castro-Ginard et al. 2020, 2022, and references therein) up to 5 kpc from the Sun, with a precision in distance of 1 per cent up to a distance of 1.5 kpc and 10 per cent for more distant targets, leading to an accurate and reliable definition of membership even for the most distant objects. This survey will constrain our understanding of the formation and disruption processes of OCs (Carrera et al. 2019b; Cantat-Gaudin 2022, and references therein), the chemical evolution of the Milky Way disc using OCs as probes (Carrera et al. 2019a; Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020; Spina et al. 2022), and provide insights into star formation and early stellar evolution (Valentini et al. 2019; Magrini et al. 2021).

A careful validation and accurate calibration of the derived astrophysical parameters, measured abundance ratios and radial velocities will ensure that the data from the aforementioned four GA sub-surveys will be on the same scale. The WEAVE GA sub-surveys will therefore include dedicated observations for this purpose, including the observation of globular clusters, well-studied open clusters, field giant stars with stellar parameters derived from asteroseismic data from the CoRoT (e.g. Anders et al. 2017) or Kepler (e.g. Borucki et al. 2010; Kallinger et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2018) missions, and field stars (Gaia benchmark stars, Heiter et al. 2015; Hawkins et al. 2016), as well as stars in previous high-resolution spectroscopy studies (e.g. Soubriran et al. 2016). This will allow us to combine the results of the GA sub-surveys both among themselves and with several other stellar Galactic surveys including Gaia-ESO (Gilmore et al. 2012), GALAH (Buder et al. 2021), APOGEE (Majewski et al. 2017), 4MOST (de Jong et al. 2019) and others; see e.g. Soubriran et al. (2022) for a comparison of metallicity determinations for FGK stars across public data releases from several such spectroscopic surveys.

In addition to dedicated calibration fields, it is highly desirable that a significant overlap is built between the high and low spectral resolution (HR and LR, respectively) Galactic Archaeology surveys in order to ensure homogeneity of the stellar parameters and individual chemical abundances that are derived between these two instrumental modes. While calibration stars (cluster and reference field stars observed specifically with both modes) provide the absolute anchor to these quantities through comparisons with independent estimates, it is desirable to build an overlap of stars surveyed in both LR and HR that would enable us to better map the systematics between stellar parameters and abundances derived from these two types of WEAVE spectra. Furthermore, it is expected that it will not be possible to measure the abundances of some elements in the restricted wavelength range of the HR mode; among these are, for example, Nitrogen (and Carbon in the green-red HR mode). A programme to utilise sub-optimal and/or relatively under-subscribed observing conditions has thus been devised to observe a fraction of the GA-HR survey observing blocks in LR mode, to be executed in weather conditions when the main surveys cannot be executed. Thanks to the limited depth of the GA-HR survey (12 ≤ $G$ ≤ 16), this programme is expected to be executed in bright time and modest seeing conditions (seeing < 2 arcsec), thus complementing the suite of programmes with observations suitable for the relatively under-subscribed bright time. This GA programme will allow us: (i) to map systematics between the LR and HR analysis of WEAVE spectra over a large part of the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, and reflecting the target selection of the GA surveys, with sufficiently large statistics to allow this mapping to be worked out with machine-learning methods (e.g. Nandakumar et al. 2022); and (ii) to potentially build a significant sample in which the HR suite of elemental abundances is complemented by the few elements that are only accessible (or better measured) in LR.

4.2 The WEAVE Stellar, Circumstellar and Interstellar Physics (SCIP) Survey

Advancements in characterising the stellar and interstellar processes currently at work in the Milky Way are helping us to better understand the physics that shape the appearances, content and evolutionary...
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Figure 8. The SCIP survey footprint, mapped in Galactic coordinates, starting from $\ell = 25^\circ$ (top right) and ending finally at $\ell = 210^\circ$ (bottom left), with an island of pointings that encloses the Rosette Nebula, NGC 2264 and the Monoceros supernova remnant. The pattern of LR pointings, covering ~1200 deg$^2$, is traced by the orange circles representing the WEAVE field of view. In the second Galactic quadrant ($95^\circ < \ell < 170^\circ$), the footprint is shifted towards positive $b$ to better track the warp of the Galactic disc. The regions to be studied intensively using the blue and red HR gratings are outlined in blue: these are in Cygnus (top panel, seven tailored pointings) and the Galactic Anticentre (using the same field centres as the LR survey).

Figure 9. The distribution of potential WEAVE diffuse-ISM targets for the Rosette/NGC 2264/Monoceros supernova remnant region, derived from IGAPS/IPHAS H$\alpha$+[NII] narrow-band imagery (see www.igapsimages.org and Greimel et al. 2021). The planned SCIP LR fields are superimposed as blue circles. The candidate fibre positions, before final downsizing for configuration, are plotted on a colour scale according to narrow-band surface brightness (in erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ arcsec$^{-2}$). The brightest diffuse structure here is the Rosette Nebula, at the lower left. In the upper right is the NGC 2264 region, with the ~220 arcmin diameter Monoceros supernova remnant in between.

Figure 10. The discovery space for the high-resolution Cygnus component of the SCIP survey, expressed in Gaia BP magnitudes. Known OB stars are shown in orange, while those stars available for confirmation with WEAVE are shown in cyan after scaling down by a factor of 0.3. These fall within the seven fields planned for the survey in the Galactic longitude range $75^\circ < \ell < 81^\circ$ (see Figure 8).

histories of galaxies in the wider and younger Universe. Only locally can we easily access individual examples of the least well-described (and often short-lived) phases in stellar evolution down to sub-solar luminosity, and study the relationship between stars and the interstellar medium (ISM) at maximum angular resolution. Topics of current significance include: environmental factors in the formation of stars (Vincke & Pfalzner 2016; Guarcello et al. 2021); mapping the ISM in terms of its extinction and its ionisation (Maíz Apellániz & Barbá 2018; Dharmawardena et al. 2022; Rathjen et al. 2021); filling in the Galactic massive-star Hertzsprung–Russell diagram (Berlanas et al. 2020; Holgado et al. 2020); how young stellar objects disperse into the field (Kounkel & Covey 2019; Wright 2020); the approach to stellar end states via cooling white dwarfs, X-ray and other interacting binaries (Pala et al. 2020; Night et al. 2021).

SCIP will use the products of the IPHAS (Drew et al. 2005; Barentsen et al. 2014; Monguió et al. 2020) and UVEX (Groot et al. 2009; Monguió et al. 2020) surveys executed on the Isaac Newton Telescope and (to a lesser extent) VPHAS+ (Drew et al. 2014) at the VLT Survey Telescope, for much of its target selection. Between them, these surveys map the whole Galactic plane at ~1 arcsec resolution within $|b| < 5^\circ$ and in five optical bands. These photometric surveys have captured more than half a billion point sources in the Milky Way’s main mass component. Well-tuned samples of a range of object classes are being extracted from them for WEAVE, mostly within the magnitude ranges $11 < i < 18$ and $13 < B < 20$. SCIP will pursue a number of scientifically distinct goals in Galactic astronomy, permitting full use of the available fibres in every pointing. The SCIP survey footprint is shown in Figure 8.

The SCIP survey targets the high-mass and young/old extremes of stellar evolution along with the ISM on a large scale, capturing both the emissive, ionised nebulous component, and the ISM seen in absorption against background starlight. Since over half of the planned targets are relatively luminous OBA stars, the total space volume accessed will be very large, creating the opportunity to map Galactic disc structure out to heliocentric distances of ~10 kpc. The ~200 000 BA stars to be surveyed, falling within the SCIP footprint, will provide a comprehensive account of young stellar kinematics in the Galactic disc on combining them with Gaia proper motions. The feasibility of this via fibre spectroscopy has been tested by Harris et al. (2018), where it was shown that stellar parameters of sufficient quality can be derived from the far-red calcium-triplet region of the spectrum alone. WEAVE in its $R \sim 5000$ mode captures this region well, and is accessible for Galactic plane extinctions up to at least $A_V \sim 6$. The ~20 000 O and B stars to be targeted will be most abundant in
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the first Galactic quadrant ($\ell < 90^\circ$). These spectra will build a more complete picture of the Galactic massive-star Hertzsprung–Russell diagram, and inform data-starved stellar evolution models. Parameter determination will proceed via the methods presented by Simón-Díaz (2010), Holgado et al. (2018), Carneiro et al. (2019) and others.

Pan-optical multi-object spectroscopy of stellar sight-lines at $R \sim 5000$ provides many types of information, including: radial velocities to precisions of a few km s$^{-1}$; reliable stellar effective temperatures and surface gravities; overall metallicity and first evidence of chemical peculiarity; detection of the more obvious spectroscopic binaries; interstellar absorption features; a suite of nebular/circumstellar emission line diagnostics; mass transfer/loss signatures; and markers for magnetic activity. In WEAVE’s HR mode at $R = 20000$, observations in the Cygnus region and the Galactic Anticentre will push even further, from the measurement of projected stellar rotation speeds down to lower limits, to the determination of individual heavy-element abundances. Greater precision of radial-velocity measurements will better expose the velocity dispersions of the local environments. Spectroscopic binaries will be detected via careful programmes of repeat observations.

The SCIP programme will be split between a LR survey, taking roughly two-thirds of the SCIP survey time, and two HR components that will equally split the remainder in bright time.

- **The LR survey**: Around 1200 deg$^2$ of the northern Galactic Plane will be observed. The largest target group is comprised of the higher-mass stars: this includes OBA stars and their evolved counterparts (including red supergiants), emission-line objects and Cepheid variables. The aim is to densely sample most Galactic longitudes in the thin disc accessible from La Palma. A novel feature of SCIP’s target selection is the use of H$\alpha$ imagery from iPHAS to identify, in addition, a web of H$\alpha$-bright diffuse-ISM positions spanning H$\Pi$ regions, supernova remnants and other nebulae (Greimel et al. 2021, and Figure 9). These will complement the O- and B-star targets, with spectroscopy of the associated ionised ISM. The targeted range is from surface brightnesses of $6 \times 10^{-16}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ up to $\sim 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, with full abundance analysis achievable above $3-5 \times 10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ arcsec$^{-2}$. Radial velocities will be obtained for all targets. A third focus is on the unbiased exploration of the incidence of young stellar objects in order to understand how they disperse across the Galactic field. The target selection draws significantly from infrared and optical photometric surveys and Gaia astrometry, yielding candidates of young stellar objects outside of the traditionally explored cores of OB associations and open clusters. The majority of targets will be selected using the method of Wilson et al. (MN.., submitted); for HAE Be stars, see Vioque et al. (2020). WEAVE spectroscopy will enable definitive classifications, as well as measures of radial velocities and accretion rates. A minority of fibres per pointing will also be allocated to compact binaries and white dwarfs (see also Section 4.3).

- **The HR surveys**: These also place high priority on O, B and A stars. In the Cygnus region, the aim is to obtain spectroscopy of prominent OB-associations (for a recent review, see Wright et al. 2022) to build a full picture of kinematics and elemental abundances across the region, extending the studies first initiated by Berlanas et al. (2018). As shown in Figure 10, the discovery potential is very large. In the Anticentre fields, the emphasis shifts to observations of late-B and A stars, where the science aims concern both the stars themselves and describing Galactic disc kinematics as imprinted on this young, dynamically cold population. Measuring constraints on binarity via repeat exposures is an important aspect in both HR programme components. Most stars in the Universe form in binaries or higher-order multiples (Sana 2022). In their review, Duchêne & Kraus (2013) cite studies that indicate that ~50 per cent of B and A stars have binary companions (see also Moe & Di Stefano 2017; Banyard et al. 2022). In the case of O stars, it has been estimated that over half will experience binary mass exchange in their lifetimes (Sana et al. 2013, 2014).

The normal SCIP survey planning for MOS observations assumes an upper limit to the seeing of 1.2 arcsec, appropriate for point sources observed using fibres of diameter 1.3 arcsec. This constraint is unnecessary for extended sources, such as Galactic planetary nebulae (PNe), where abundance patterns as a function of position are an important goal (García-Rojas et al. 2022). In poor seeing conditions (~2 arcsec or more) that are unlikely to be usable for primary science objectives of the WEAVE surveys, SCIP will exploit the WEAVE (m/L)IFU capability to provide complete spatial chemo-dynamical maps of a significant sample of PNe. The key science aim is to probe the physical processes governing the late-stage evolution of intermediate-mass stars, and to determine how the abundances and dynamics of larger PNe are governed by their central stars (single or binary). PNe targets have been picked out that suit the 1.3–1.5 arcmin field of view of the LIFU sampled by 2.6 arcsec fibres. These are large, bright nebulae where, in some, the central stars are known to be close binaries; such objects have markedly different chemical properties to other PNe (Wesson et al. 2018). Our list includes well-known objects that have yet to be mapped comprehensively (e.g. the Owl and Cat’s-Eye nebulae). There will also be instances of several smaller nebulae in the field of view, for which the mIFU mode could also be usefully utilised.

### 4.3 The WEAVE White Dwarfs Survey

All stars born with masses $\lesssim 8$–$10 M_\odot$ eventually become white dwarfs (Ritossa et al. 1999; Doherty et al. 2017): Earth-sized electron-degenerate stellar embers. The short main-sequence lifetime of stars with $M \gtrsim 1.5 M_\odot$ implies that the majority of A/F-type stars formed throughout the history of the Galaxy are now white dwarfs. As such, white dwarfs play a central role across a variety of areas in astrophysics. Homogeneous samples of white dwarfs with accurate physical parameters are essential for constraining and calibrating stellar evolution theory, including mass loss on the asymptotic giant branch (intimately linked to the initial-to-final mass relation, e.g. Williams et al. 2009; Cummings et al. 2018), internal rotation profiles and loss of angular momentum (Hermes et al. 2017), and fundamental nuclear reaction rates (Kunz et al. 2002), with important implications for stellar population synthesis and galaxy evolution theory (Maraston 1998; Kalirai et al. 2014). Because of their well-constrained cooling ages, white dwarfs provide an insight into the age of the Galactic disc (Winget et al. 1987; Oswalt et al. 1996), open clusters (García-Berro et al. 2010) and globular clusters (Hansen et al. 2007), and can even trace variations in the Galactic star-formation rate (Tremblay et al. 2014).

White dwarfs will be observed as flux calibrators in WEAVE Survey observations, and the resulting spectra will provide a rich database for white-dwarf-enabled science.

#### 4.3.1 White dwarfs as flux calibrators

White dwarfs are routinely used as spectro-photometric flux standards (Bohlin et al. 2001, 2014; Moehler et al. 2014), as their spectra are extremely simple (i.e. pure hydrogen atmospheres in most cases) and can easily be modelled to high precision (1–2 per cent across all wavelengths) with only two free parameters: effective
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4.3.2 White dwarf science with WEAVE

A significant fraction of local white dwarfs are members of binaries (Toonen et al. 2017), providing a benchmark population for investigating complex interactions (e.g. Zorotovic et al. 2010), including the progenitors of type Ia supernovae. Degenerate stars with precise cooling ages in wide binaries can also be used to calibrate main-sequence ages (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2016). The host stars of virtually all planetary systems – including the Sun – will evolve into white dwarfs, and a sizable fraction of white dwarfs host the remnants of planetary systems (Farihi et al. 2009; Koester et al. 2014). White dwarfs that accrete tidally disrupted planetesimals display spectroscopic peculiarities, including metal pollution from accreted planetary debris or from dredge-up of core material, and Zeeman-splitting in magnetic fields of up to $10^9$ MG, see Figure 11 for examples. Spectroscopy spanning the full optical range is therefore critically important for the study of these degenerate stellar remnants.

Because of their small size, white dwarfs are intrinsically faint, and difficult to distinguish from more distant main-sequence stars with similar colours. Consequently, most known white dwarfs that were identified as ultraviolet-excess objects (Green et al. 1986; Liebert et al. 2005; Kleinman et al. 2013) are young ($\lesssim 1$ Gyr) and hot ($T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 10^4$, K), and are utterly unrepresentative of the Galactic white dwarf population as a whole. Gaia DR2 finally provided the information necessary to break the degeneracy between nearby white dwarfs and background main-sequence stars: accurate parallaxes. Gentile Fusillo et al. (2019) assembled the first unbiased all-sky magnitude-limited ($G \approx 20$) sample of 260,000 white dwarf candidates using Gaia DR2, followed by an updated catalogue using Gaia EDR3 data in Gentile Fusillo et al. (2021). While the Gaia data are sufficient for identifying white dwarfs with high confidence, follow-up spectroscopy is required (Figure 11) to determine their physical properties (effective temperature, surface gravity, etc.).
atmospheric composition, magnetic field strength, multiplicity) and derive fundamental properties (mass, cooling age, progenitor mass) that are necessary to address the science areas outlined above.

WEAVE will target \( \approx 100,000 \) white dwarfs with \( G \leq 20 \) (Figure 12) for LR spectroscopy, and will roughly triple the number of white dwarfs with high-quality spectroscopy in the Northern hemisphere (Kleinman et al. 2013; Gentile Fusillo et al. 2015). The WEAVE White Dwarfs survey will establish the first large and homogeneous spectroscopic sample that is not subject to complex selection effects, and is therefore ideally suited for detailed statistical analyses of white dwarfs in the context of galactic, stellar, and planetary structure and evolution. The combination of accurate Gaia parallaxes and photometry with spectroscopic mass determinations will result in an extremely stringent test of the mass-radius relation of white dwarfs (see e.g. Parsons et al. 2017; Tremblay et al. 2017, 2019; Genest-Beaulieu & Bergeron 2019). The blue coverage (down to 3700 Å) of WEAVE is essential for covering the higher Balmer lines, which are important diagnostics for the surface gravity (Kepler et al. 2006), and to probe for atmospheric pollution by planetary debris via Ca H/K absorption. Our large sample size will result in the identification of rare white dwarf species, tracing the extremes of parameters space of short-lived phases in their evolution, such as stellar remnants from stars near the core-collapse boundary (Gansicke et al. 2010), products of thermonuclear supernovae (Vennes et al. 2017; Raddi et al. 2018b,a; Shen et al. 2018), as-yet not fully understood binary interactions (Dufour et al. 2007; Kepler et al. 2016), and possibly examples of entirely new evolutionary channels (Marsh et al. 2016). WEAVE spectroscopy will also provide radial velocity measurements that are an important complement to the Gaia proper motions – most white dwarfs have no features in the Gaia RVS spectra, or are too faint for Gaia radial velocities altogether. This first large sample of white dwarfs with full 3D kinematics will allow us to distinguish them into their thin and thick disc, and halo populations (Pauli et al. 2003, 2006; Anguiano et al. 2017), with an expected \( \approx 1 \) per cent of halo white dwarfs. Furthermore, the kinematics will provide constraints on the age-velocity dispersion relation, and insight into the mass distribution of white dwarfs that formed via binary mergers (Wegg & Pinney 2012).

Given their low luminosity, the surface density of white dwarfs varies only mildly, with on average \( \approx 5 \) stars per square degree, or \( \approx 15 \) per WEAVE pointing. The WEAVE White Dwarfs survey is therefore multiplexed into the entire WEAVE Survey footprint and the white dwarfs will also be used for the spectro-photometric flux calibration of the entire WEAVE Survey (Section 4.3.1).

### 4.4 The WEAVE-Apertif Survey

The detailed analysis of galaxies in the local Universe is crucial to understanding galaxy evolution. However, recent local IFU surveys of galaxies (e.g. CALIFA, Sánchez et al. 2012; SAMI, Croom et al. 2012; MANGA, Bundy et al. 2015) suffer from an optical selection bias that makes it difficult to address the effects of environment on the evolution of galaxies. The gas content of galaxies is less strongly bound to the gravitational potential well than its stellar content. Thus, one possible approach to study the effect of environment on galaxy evolution is to characterise the morphology of galaxies seen in the atomic gas, which indicates interactions that have taken place, and to select galaxies with a range of features. To this end, a wide-area survey of resolved neutral atomic hydrogen (H\(_i\)) is needed.

#### 4.4.1 Apertif and synergy with WEAVE LIFU observations

In the last decade, the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) was upgraded with a new receiver: an innovative focal-plane array system named Apertif (Verheijen et al. 2008; van Cappellen et al. 2022) that allowed for the execution of wide-field radio surveys, and H\(_i\) surveys out to cosmological distances (\( z \sim 0.2 \)). Apertif survey operations were conducted between 2019 July 1 and 2022 February 28, surveying a large portion of the Northern hemisphere in 1.4 GHz radio continuum, polarization, and the 21 cm spectral line of neutral atomic hydrogen. The Apertif instrument was the first working focal-plane array capable of full Westerbork resolution (15 arcsec \( \times 15 \) sin(Dec) arcsec beam\(^{28} \)) over a field of view of 6.5 deg\(^2\) per pointing, after tiling, and with H\(_i\) spectral resolution of down to 2.6 km s\(^{-1}\). The 300 MHz bandwidth could be tuned to operate between roughly 1130–1750 MHz, although observations were heavily impacted by radio frequency interference below \( \sim 1300 \) MHz. With about half of the sensitivity of the original single-pixel WSRT front-end, the wide field of view of Apertif nonetheless drastically enhanced the survey speed of WSRT by imaging an area on the sky about 25 times the size of the full moon in a single pointing.

Apertif conducted a two-tiered H\(_i\) imaging survey\(^{29} \) that provides a strong synergy with WEAVE: a medium-deep survey of 150 deg\(^2\) and a wide-area survey of approximately 2200 deg\(^2\) between \( +27^\circ \) to \( 65^\circ \) declination (Hess et al., in preparation). The wide-area survey targeted areas with multi-wavelength coverage from Northern sky surveys including LOPAR, SDSS, and the Hobby-Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX, Hill et al. 2008) in the Spring sky; and PanSTARRS (Chambers et al. 2016) in both the Fall and Spring skies. The medium-deep survey targeted areas with substantial ancillary data in the Herschel-ATLAS (Eales et al. 2010) North Galactic Pole field (Smith et al. 2017), and a volume of particular environmental interest in the Perseus-Pisces supercluster. Apertif released its first year of imaging data to the community in late 2020\(^{30} \) (Adams et al. 2020), and the data are described in further detail by Adams et al. (2022). A companion paper describes the radio continuum source catalogue for DR1 (Kutkin et al. 2022). Processing of the full survey data is currently ongoing. Together, the two Apertif surveys are expected to yield thousands of H\(_i\)-detected galaxies, of which about 10–15 per cent have optical dimensions and a surface brightness distribution that fit the field of view of WEAVE’s LIFU for our required signal-to-noise ratio. The Apertif H\(_i\) surveys will provide redshifts, neutral gas content, environment densities, morphologies, kinematics and dynamical masses at the aforementioned resolutions. Furthermore, Apertif will also detect and measure H\(_i\) absorption against radio-loud active galactic nuclei, yielding information on gas accretion and outflows, provide spatially resolved, extinction-free star-formation maps from the radio continuum emission, and identify OH megamasers as locations of intense star formation (Hess et al. 2021).

The natural goal when exploiting the synergy between a spatially resolved H\(_i\) survey and the data coming from the LIFU mode of WEAVE is to compare in detail the cold gas properties of the H\(_i\)-selected sample with the spatially resolved properties (stellar and ionised gas) of those galaxies. WEAVE-Apertif will study the transformation of gas into stars within galaxies by comparing the optical and ISM properties of galaxies belonging to the so-called main star-forming sequence, the red sequence and the intermediate region, as well as the accretion and conversion of gas into stars.

---

28. The factor of sin(Dec) is distinctive to WSRT as an East-West array.
29. [https://www.astron.nl/telescopes/wsrt-apertif](https://www.astron.nl/telescopes/wsrt-apertif)
30. [https://www.astron.nl/telescopes/wsrt-apertif/apertif-dr1-documentation](https://www.astron.nl/telescopes/wsrt-apertif/apertif-dr1-documentation)
distinguishing whether the last represents a transition population triggered by a quenching episode in the fairly recent past (e.g. Schawinski et al. 2014) or smoothly evolving on timescales comparable to the age of the Universe (e.g. Casado et al. 2015).

With this goal in mind, we will select a sample of around 400 galaxies from the Apertif survey covering the following parameters with at least five galaxies per bin: H\textsubscript{i} mass, H\textsubscript{i} morphology (perturbed or not), stellar mass and star formation. The unique aspect of this survey (compared to other IFU surveys) resides in the H\textsubscript{i} selection of targets, which enables the detailed analysis of galaxies in different stages of the transformation process. We will use the LIFU in both low and high spectral resolution modes to study nearby galaxies. A large-scale integral-field survey with WEAVE creates a strong synergy with the Apertif imaging surveys, significantly increasing the power of both instruments as compared to each on its own.

Figure 13 illustrates the kind of regular and perturbed galaxies that we expect to probe during the WEAVE-Apertif survey and the typical spatial coverage of the LIFU. In addition, the bottom panel shows examples of the spectral coverage and instrumental modes that will be used for the different science cases planned for the survey. While the LR mode will be most useful for emission-line morphologies/diagnostics and stellar-population studies, the HR mode will allow us to measure the stellar kinematics of both the stars and ionised gas with unprecedented accuracy, especially for low-mass systems.

4.4.2 Scientific goals of the WEAVE-Apertif Survey

In the optical, galaxies are distributed according to their colour and luminosity (based on the colour-magnitude diagram (CMD), e.g. Bell et al. 2004) in two main groups – the red sequence and blue cloud, with a transitioning class named the green valley. The physical processes governing the movement of a galaxy from one group to another are still unclear, with the following questions still open: Why is there a bimodality in galaxy properties today, such that there are both star-forming blue galaxies and red-and-dead galaxies? Where does the gas fuelling the star formation in these galaxies come from? And how is star formation in galaxies regulated?

WEAVE-Apertif will analyse the spatially resolved stellar and ionised gas properties of galaxies, sampling the CMD with respect to their H\textsubscript{i} properties (e.g. mass and morphology) and general environment to address the possible mechanisms that regulate the conversion of gas into stars within galaxies, including potential rejuvenation or quenching episodes. We will establish what processes – revealed by the Apertif H\textsubscript{i} images, such as major mergers, accretion of small gas-rich satellites, tidal- or ram-pressure gas stripping – have a direct impact on i) the star-formation history of galaxies, ii) the spatial distribution of star formation in their discs, iii) the physical state of the ionised ISM, and iv) the foreseeable evolution of galaxies. To this aim, we will observe the full sample of ~ 400 galaxies in the LR LIFU mode of WEAVE (R ~ 2500) with a signal-to-noise ratio per Å per spatial bin sufficient to derive stellar population parameters. These
data will allow us to derive spatially resolved mean stellar ages and metallicities, as well as $[\alpha/Fe]$ ratios. The data will also make possible the detection of kinematic twists, warps and decoupled components. The WEAVE-Aperif survey will also deliver spatially resolved stellar age distributions, ionised-gas metallicities and star-formation rates that, together with the H\,i data, will provide a true legacy survey.

As the effects of the environment on the general properties of galaxies will have been revealed by the LR dataset, a detailed study of a subsample of galaxies using the high-resolution capabilities of the LIFU will help to establish the effects of H\,i accretion history in the secular (i.e. internal) evolution of spiral-galaxy discs (see for a review van Gorkom 2013). The impact of the H\,i accretion history on the chemo-dynamical properties of galaxies can be quantified by analysing the stellar kinematics and stellar properties of a sample of galaxies with perturbed H\,i morphologies, and comparing them to those of galaxies with no signs of perturbed H\,i morphologies. Our study will allow us to provide answers to crucial questions such as the following: What is the process driving radial migration of stars? What is the level of scattering and radial motions induced by spiral arms and bars in stars? What is the impact of the accretion of satellites in galaxies and compact ($\leq 0.2$ arcmin) high-surface-brightness systems? How does this all connect with observations in the Milky Way, as revealed, for example, through the Galactic Archaeology survey described in Section 4.1?

To accomplish these aims, we will analyse in detail the line-of-sight velocity distribution (velocity, velocity dispersion and high-order moments) of a sample of low-inclination nearby objects (including both high- and low-surface-brightness (H/LSB) galaxies), exploiting WEAVE’S HR LIFU mode ($R \sim 10000$). The sample will include 30–50 galaxies with perturbed H\,i morphologies and approximately the same number of galaxies with non-perturbed H\,i morphologies, all taken from the parent low-resolution sample of 400 galaxies. The success of the project and its legacy impact rely on obtaining quality data reaching $\mu_g = 24$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ at $\sim 3\sigma$.

Finally, these datasets will enable us to constrain the disc mass of the full sample of 400 Aperif galaxies, allowing us to relate dynamical mass to the environment. The dark-matter content in both HSB and LSB galaxies can be constrained by combining kinematic data from both H\,i and optical data and applying the traditional technique of rotation-curve decomposition as well as other dynamical modelling techniques. However, the actual total mass residing within a galaxy’s disc remains a controversial matter. This is an important calculation to get right, as the mass-to-light ratio of a disc determines the disc’s contribution to the galaxy’s rotation curve, which in turn constrains the density and scale-length of the halo. The WEAVE-Aperif survey will be able to expand on previous efforts on this topic (e.g. DiskMass survey, Bershady et al. 2010) and constrain the surface mass density of discs using stellar velocity dispersions, with the HR mode being of particular value to the challenges presented by low-mass systems. The mass modelling will be possible for the entire sample of galaxies included in the two projects mentioned above, using different modelling techniques.

In line with many of the other WEAVE surveys, an extra programme has also been designed within the WEAVE-Aperif survey to help maximise the use of sub-optimal weather conditions and/or under-subscribed observing conditions, while simultaneously extending the scientific output of the survey by the chemo-dynamical footprints, and the removal of this condition will allow for a much more flexible scheduling that will enable us to venture into the ALFALFA survey (Giovanelli et al. 2007) to obtain upper limit HI mass estimates. In addition, we will allow for the inclusion of highly inclined galaxies and compact ($\leq 0.2$ arcmin) high-surface-brightness systems. This will enable us to obtain observations for certain types of galaxies that would otherwise be missed in the main survey, for example Markarian galaxies, which usually display peculiar HI properties.

4.5 The WEAVE Galaxy Clusters Survey

On the largest scales, matter is distributed as a vast network of filaments and sheets that connect dense galaxy clusters and groups. This cosmic web (Bond et al. 1996) is the environment in which galaxies form and evolve. Relative to the small volume they occupy (much less than one per cent of the volume of the Universe), clusters and groups contain the largest fraction of the mass of the Universe (Cautun et al. 2012; Tempel et al. 2014; Ganeshaiah Veena et al. 2019). Observations of galaxies in clusters are therefore of great interest, both for a test of galaxy formation in dense environments and as a measure of environmental influences on galaxy evolution. Consequently, in order to make meaningful progress in our understanding of galaxy evolution, we must consider galaxies evolving in relation to their environment, defined both by their local density and the global large-scale structure.

Observations of cluster galaxies have firmly established the importance of the environment in addition to galaxy mass for shaping galaxy properties: morphology, colour, star-formation rate (SFR), stellar age and active galactic nuclei (AGN) fraction correlate with both local galaxy density and location inside or outside clusters (e.g. Dressler 1980; Blanton et al. 2005; Postman et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2005; Bamford et al. 2009). In clusters, the fraction of early-type

Figure 14. The WEAVE Galaxy Clusters survey encompasses three layers that observe in the three instrument modes, as illustrated in this image of cluster Abell 2142 (background image from PanSTARRS1). Layer 1 focuses on cluster-dwarf-galaxy evolution and uses the mIFU mode centred on selected dwarf galaxies (red circles), which will be selected from previous MOS spectra. The zoomed-in image shows the mIFU arrangement of 37 fibres placed on a single dwarf galaxy. Layer 2 focuses on the filamentary large scale structures that feed galaxy clusters, and uses WEAVE’S 1000-fibre MOS mode (yellow diamonds). Each cluster will be covered by up to 20 pointings. Layer 3 focuses on the evolution of central cluster galaxies, and uses the mIFU mode (blue squares), highlighted in the zoomed-in image that shows a LIFU pattern placed on a group falling into Abell 2142.
galaxies, defined either morphologically or by the amount of current star formation, is much higher than in the average, lower-density Universe, often referred to as the ‘field’. Related studies show that cluster galaxies possess much less cold gas than field galaxies (e.g. Cayatte et al. 1990; Serra et al. 2011) and that galaxy luminosity functions are steeper for cluster galaxies, owing to the change in the relative contribution of massive quiescent galaxies (e.g. De Propris et al. 2003). These well-established findings may be summarised as manifestations of the morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980) and are related to the result of a series of processes involving the mass assembly, star formation and morphological evolution of these galaxies (see e.g. Boselli & Gavazzi 2006). A variety of interactions with the hot intracluster medium (e.g. Gunn & Gott 1972; Bekki et al. 2001; Kawata & Mulchaey 2008; Zinger et al. 2018), as well as interactions and fly-bys of galaxies in this medium (e.g. Gnedin 2003; Aguerri & González-García 2009; Park & Hwang 2009; Sinha & Holley-Bockelmann 2012; Méndez-Abreu et al. 2014) affect the gas – and often the stellar – content of galaxies. Importantly, the accumulated effect of various galaxy encounters and the loss of gas, ultimately resulting in the suppression of star formation, may stimulate the transformation of late-type into early-type galaxies in dense environments (Moore et al. 1996; Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Cappellari 2013; Kuchner et al. 2017; Joshi et al. 2020).

Despite active research on this topic, it is still a matter of debate as to which of the proposed physical mechanisms drive the evolution of galaxies in high-density environments and their relative importance (for a review, see Boselli & Gavazzi 2014). The difficulty lies in disentangling subtle and competing processes that act on different timescales. In addition, quantification of the observed changes has mainly been focused on the end-point in the virialized regions of clusters. However, half of a nearby cluster’s galaxy population originates from the cosmic web (McGee et al. 2009; Dressler et al. 2013). Clusters grow by accreting galaxies from their surroundings, and a significant fraction of cluster galaxies have therefore been environmentally affected long before they fall into the cluster. This effect is called ‘pre-processing’ and is receiving increasing attention by the community (e.g. Wetzel et al. 2013).

The morphology-density relation is particularly strong for dwarf galaxies (Peng et al. 2010; Kovač et al. 2014; Tal et al. 2014; Wetzel et al. 2015): early-type dwarfs (dwarf elliptical and dwarf spheroidals) dominate the galaxy population in clusters, but they are very rarely found in the field (Geha et al. 2012; Grossi et al. 2016). Despite their smooth appearances, these systems are more complex than they might appear: dwarf ellipticals can host stellar late-type features such as discs and spiral arms (Jerjen et al. 2000; Lisker et al. 2006, 2007; Janz et al. 2012), some with clear signs of rotation (Pedrag et al. 2002; Toloba et al. 2009) and atomic gas or dust components (di Serego Alighieri et al. 2007, 2013; Hallenbeck et al. 2017). Star-forming dwarf galaxies accreted into a cluster are easily stripped of their gas and dust when interacting with the cluster environment (Grossi et al. 2015, 2016), but the variety of signatures suggests that more than one process may determine dwarf-galaxy evolution.

Evidently, stellar mass is a dominant driver for galaxy evolution (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2004; Peng et al. 2010). However, intrinsic properties like galaxy mass are highly dependent on their surrounding large-scale environment through their assembly process. Thus, a comprehensive theory of galaxy formation and evolution must account for the influence of the environment on galaxy evolution while carefully controlling for stellar mass. Within this context, several, large, multi-wavelength studies of galaxy clusters have successfully used cluster number counts as a function of mass and redshift to constrain cosmological models (Rozo et al. 2009; Haan et al. 2016; Planck Collaboration et al. 2016a,b,c; Pacaud et al. 2018). The complexity of the physical processes acting in clusters complicates the obtaining of reliable mass determinations, forcing us to fall back on having to rely on combining a number of scaling relations at various wavelengths. This is still a paramount challenge for performing precision cosmology using clusters for next generation surveys such as the LSST, and surveys with Euclid and the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (see e.g. Salvati et al. 2020).

The WEAVE Galaxy Clusters survey was designed as a response to these challenges. The main goals of the survey are to provide a better understanding of the impact of different environmentally induced physical mechanisms on galaxy evolution. Three layers focus on three complementary aspects: WEAVE Galaxy Clusters aims to (1) gain a detailed understanding of the formation history of low-mass dwarf galaxies in dense environments; (2) follow mass and stellar assembly of clusters from the cosmic web through filamentary accretion; and (3) obtain an accurate calibration of the scaling relations and perform precision cosmology on a complete sample of Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect-selected clusters (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016c), and infer the star-formation histories of the galaxies in the cores of these clusters (see Figure 14, which summarises the three observing modes for WEAVE Galaxy Clusters).

Layer 1 – WEAVE Nearby Clusters survey: Low-mass systems dominate the galaxy population at all redshifts, and are crucial for the hierarchical build-up of galaxies. However, the processes driving the formation of dwarf galaxies and how the environment affects their evolution are poorly understood (e.g. Silk & Mamon 2012). The transformation from a star-forming to a passive dwarf galaxy could involve ISM removal by ram-pressure, a series of tidal interactions that kinematically heat the stellar disc and thereby modify its morphology (Gnedin 2003; Lisker et al. 2009; Toloba et al. 2015). The main goal of the Nearby Clusters survey is to study the properties and formation history of dwarf galaxies in high-density environments.

Specific scientific questions addressed in this layer of the survey include: Are dwarf galaxies primordial or the end-products of galaxy transformations? How do dwarf galaxies contribute to ‘archaeological downsizing’ of galaxies (e.g. Thomas et al. 2005; Nelan et al. 2005)? What processes drive the transformation of dwarf galaxies in clusters? What are the internal dynamics of dwarfs, are they dark-matter-dominated systems, and what are their angular momenta? How do these processes depend on properties of the galaxies themselves, e.g. stellar populations, metallicities, mass and star formation history as well as their local environment?

The WEAVE Nearby Clusters survey (WCN) is a low-spectral-resolution programme combining the MOS and mIFU modes of WEAVE (see Figure 15). WCN will observe an X-ray flux-limited sample of 47 nearby galaxy clusters in the redshift range 0.01 < z < 0.04, covering a large range in cluster mass (13.2 < log(M/⊙) < 14.5), corresponding to X-ray luminosities of log(LX) ∈ [42,45]. This survey will provide single-fibre spectroscopic information for several thousands of dwarf galaxy (M* < −16) members, thus providing the largest spectroscopically confirmed sample of dwarf-galaxy cluster members observed so far. In addition, we will obtain spatially resolved spectroscopic information for ~ 1000 dwarf cluster members using the mIFU mode.

The cluster sample is compiled from ROSAT All-Sky Survey data: the ROSAT Brightest Cluster Sample (Ebeling et al. 1998, BCS) and its extension (Ebeling et al. 2000, eBCS). The BCS is 90 per cent complete for fluxes higher than 4.4 × 10−12 erg cm−2 s−1 in the ROSAT 0.1–2.4 keV band. The eBCS extends the BCS down to 2.8 ×

---

**Layer 2 – WEAVE Galaxy Clusters survey: Low-mass systems dominate the galaxy population at all redshifts, and are crucial for the hierarchical build-up of galaxies. However, the processes driving the formation of dwarf galaxies and how the environment affects their evolution are poorly understood (e.g. Silk & Mamon 2012). The transformation from a star-forming to a passive dwarf galaxy could involve ISM removal by ram-pressure, a series of tidal interactions that kinematically heat the stellar disc and thereby modify its morphology (Gnedin 2003; Lisker et al. 2009; Toloba et al. 2015). The main goal of the Nearby Clusters survey is to study the properties and formation history of dwarf galaxies in high-density environments.**

Specific scientific questions addressed in this layer of the survey include: Are dwarf galaxies primordial or the end-products of galaxy transformations? How do dwarf galaxies contribute to ‘archaeological downsizing’ of galaxies (e.g. Thomas et al. 2005; Nelan et al. 2005)? What processes drive the transformation of dwarf galaxies in clusters? What are the internal dynamics of dwarfs, are they dark-matter-dominated systems, and what are their angular momenta? How do these processes depend on properties of the galaxies themselves, e.g. stellar populations, metallicities, mass and star formation history as well as their local environment?

The WEAVE Nearby Clusters survey (WCN) is a low-spectral-resolution programme combining the MOS and mIFU modes of WEAVE (see Figure 15). WCN will observe an X-ray flux-limited sample of 47 nearby galaxy clusters in the redshift range 0.01 < z < 0.04, covering a large range in cluster mass (13.2 < log(M/⊙) < 14.5), corresponding to X-ray luminosities of log(LX) ∈ [42,45]. This survey will provide single-fibre spectroscopic information for several thousands of dwarf galaxy (M* < −16) members, thus providing the largest spectroscopically confirmed sample of dwarf-galaxy cluster members observed so far. In addition, we will obtain spatially resolved spectroscopic information for ~ 1000 dwarf cluster members using the mIFU mode.

The cluster sample is compiled from ROSAT All-Sky Survey data: the ROSAT Brightest Cluster Sample (Ebeling et al. 1998, BCS) and its extension (Ebeling et al. 2000, eBCS). The BCS is 90 per cent complete for fluxes higher than 4.4 × 10−12 erg cm−2 s−1 in the ROSAT 0.1–2.4 keV band. The eBCS extends the BCS down to 2.8 ×
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difficulties in dwarf galaxy properties related to the main properties
of cluster environments, together with cluster-to-cluster variations.

- **Layer 2 – WEAVE Wide Field Cluster survey:** To understand
the effect of environment on galaxy evolution, we must understand
processes acting during the assembly of clusters. This includes
the collapse and feeding of clusters via filaments and groups
from the cosmic web. This layer of the WEAVE Galaxy Clusters
survey concentrates on the still-to-be-explored accretion physics
of cluster infall regions. The WEAVE Wide Field Cluster survey
(WWFC) will observe galaxy clusters out to five times their viral
radius to include galaxies in filaments directly feeding the clusters
as well as infalling groups. WWFC will help answer important
questions such as: What kind of galaxies feed clusters? Does their
fraction vary with the mass and dynamical state of the cluster?
Is pre-processing in the form of gas-specific (e.g. ram-pressure
stripping) or gravitational (e.g. galaxy-galaxy mergers) processes
significant in groups or filaments outside the cluster cores? How
and where do changes in morphology and star formation occur, over
what timescales and at which mass? How is AGN activity related to
filaments? The WWFC will observe 16–20 clusters at $z < 0.05$ with
13.8 < log$(M_*/M_\odot) < 15.5$ (where $M_*$ is the stellar mass of
the cluster) that are drawn from the WINGS sample (Fasano et al. 2006),
making use of the availability of extensive ancillary data in the inner
region. We will obtain a complete census of galaxies in and around
clusters out over a broad range of masses $(10^9 < (M_*/M_\odot) < 10^{11.5})$,
corresponding to a magnitude limit of $r = 19.75$. To ensure uniform
coverage out to at least $5R_{\text{vir}}$, each cluster will be covered by
between 6 and 18 MOS pointings, each with WEAVE’s 2° field of
view (see left side of Figure 16). Given the low density contrast of
filaments (Martínez et al. 2015), it is important to target the
highest possible fraction of cluster and infall region members. This
will be achieved by selecting galaxies with magnitudes, colours and,
importantly, photometric redshifts. Photometric redshifts are
obtained from the 12-band photometry of the Javalambre Photometric
Local Universe Survey (J-PLUS, Cenarro et al. 2019) fields
and open-time observations using the spectral energy distribution
fitting code LePhare (Arnouts & Ilbert 2011). Tests have shown
that rejecting targets with $\sim 3$ times the typical photometric redshift
error of J-PLUS introduces at most $\sim 3$ per cent incompleteness

31 At the mean redshift of the cluster survey, the limiting magnitude of the
observations will be $M_r = -15.0$, and for the closest ones $M_r = -14.0$. 

Figure 15. The WEAVE Nearby Cluster survey aims to obtain high-resolution
spectroscopy in both MOS and mIFU observing modes for a significant
population of dwarf galaxies in every cluster. The example in the middle
panel shows archival images for A2152 from the SDSS with two selected
dwarf galaxies with absolute magnitudes $M_r \sim -17$. Top panel: a one-
dimensional spectrum of an example dwarf galaxy (not shown in the image
in the middle panel) is extracted from Toloba et al. (2014). Bottom panel:
two-dimensional velocity and velocity dispersion maps of another example
dwarf galaxy from Ryš et al. (2013).

Figure 16. The WEAVE Wide-Field Cluster Survey (WWFC) will observe
20 nearby clusters with several WEAVE pointings to cover at least $5R_{\text{vir}}$.
The left side shows the observing strategy of WWFC based on simulations
from The ThreeHundred simulations tailored to the survey (Cornwell et al.
2022). Each blue disk represents one WEAVE pointing; the black circles are
at 1 and $5R_{\text{vir}}$. This particular cluster is covered with 7 pointings, but others
require fewer or more. The right side of the figure shows filaments identified
using the software DisPerSE and groups in the infall region of the same cluster
simulation (see Kuchner et al. 2020 for details).
while increasing the number of cluster structure members by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) in comparison to a pure magnitude and colour cut. This leads to an unprecedented total of 4000–6000 structure member galaxies per cluster, which will ensure well-sampled density fields, crucial for mapping and characterising the filamentary structure around these clusters (see right side of Figure 16).

The WWFCS programme will use WEAVE’s MOS mode in low spectral resolution, covering 370–960 nm at \( R \sim 5000 \). The spectra will yield emission-line diagnostics, accurate spectral breaks, and absorption line information for all galaxies. Velocity dispersions and accurate absorption-line information will be available for the brighter half of the galaxies individually, and statistically for the fainter half through spectra stacking. This will shed light on the preferred routes of mass accretion and investigate the effect that this has on galaxy properties. Furthermore, the spectroscopic redshifts will be crucial for identifying cluster volumes for accurate filament extraction in redshift space (Kuchner et al. 2021). Defining filaments is a non-trivial task due to the multi-scale and diffuse nature of the cosmic web (Rost et al. 2021). The challenge is to map galaxy environments in sufficient detail within, and to sufficient distance around, the dominating clusters, where the filaments converge (see right side of Figure 16).

In preparation for this survey, we have evaluated the performance of filament-finding strategies for WWFCS and quantified their robustness on hydrodynamical simulations of The ThreeHundred project (Kuchner et al. 2020; Kuchner et al. 2021, 2022). The simulations are tailored to represent WWFCS cluster observations, which will also aid the interpretation of the survey’s findings (Cornwell et al. 2022).

- **Layer 3 – WEAVE Cosmological Clusters survey:**

  The main goal of the Cosmological Clusters survey is to study the evolution of galaxies in the cores of clusters out to \( z \sim 0.5 \) and to place constraints on cosmological parameters and global scaling relations using a complete sample of SZ-selected clusters. The baryonic component of clusters contains a wealth of information about the processes associated with galaxy formation, including the efficiency with which baryons are converted into stars and the effects of the resulting feedback processes on galaxy formation, which then allows us to estimate the total baryon (\( \Omega_b \), e.g. Voit et al. 2005; Borgani & Kravtsov 2011) and dark-matter densities. Moreover, cluster abundance studies as a function of mass and redshift, \( N(M, z) \), are powerful cosmological tools (e.g. Carlstrom et al. 2002) that allow us to set constraints on cosmological parameters such as the dark-matter and dark-energy densities, or the equation of state of the dark energy (e.g. Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Mantz et al. 2010). Furthermore, by selecting galaxy clusters at \( z \leq 0.5 \), WEAVE can directly observe the evolution of the stellar populations of galaxies in cluster cores over a cosmic epoch over which galaxy transformations are already well documented (e.g. Dressler & Gunn 1982; Butcher & Oemler 1984; Dressler et al. 1997) and which can be directly compared with other WEAVE surveys like StePS (see Section 4.6).

  Specific science questions that will be addressed include: obtaining an accurate mass calibration and global scaling relations for a sample of 75 SZ clusters selected from Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016c); using the calibration to provide error bars a factor of two smaller than the existing ones on the key cosmological parameters \( \Omega_b \) and \( \Omega_m \); studying the evolution of the stellar populations for a large sample of massive galaxies in the central regions of a galaxy cluster in the last 6 Gyr of evolution, using the method pioneered by Sánchez et al. (2007); making comparisons with the \( z = 0 \) cluster galaxies in Layer 1 and 2 surveys the \( z \sim 0.5 \) field galaxies in StePS to understand how and when early-type galaxies cease and quench their star-formation activity, and transition onto the red sequence.

  The Cosmological Clusters survey will use both the LIFU and
MOS modes in the low-resolution mode (see Figure 17 for an example of a dithered LIFU footprint on a cluster). This survey will observe a total of \( \sim 70 \) SZ-selected clusters, with low-projected-density clusters (typically at \( z < 0.25 \)) observed with the MOS mode and high-projected-density clusters (typically at \( z > 0.25 \)) observed with the LIFU.

4.6 The Stellar Populations at intermediate redshifts Survey (StePS)

One of the major goals of extragalactic astrophysics is to understand the physical processes that control the formation and evolution of luminous structures. While large-scale structure evolution in our Universe is theoretically understood and well reproduced by simulations on scales larger than \( \sim 1 \) Mpc, on smaller scales the stellar mass growth of galaxies within dark-matter haloes and thus the complex mechanisms sometimes called ‘gastrophysics’ (Bond 1993) depend on highly non-linear processes (e.g. star formation, energetic feedback mechanisms and mergers), whose detailed mechanisms are still largely unknown (Kuhlen et al. 2012; Bullock & Boylan-Kolchin 2017). Advanced theoretical models are needed to shed light on the mechanisms that regulate the connection between galaxies and their dark-matter haloes, but the complexity of the problem is such that observations are essential to empirically constrain both theories and simulations of galaxy assembly history (Wechsler & Tinker 2018).

In the last few decades, the study of galaxies in the local Universe has greatly enriched our knowledge and understanding of galaxy evolution. Theoretical and empirical approaches are now anchored at \( z \sim 0 \) by the large, uniform, complete spectroscopic measurements from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000), providing high \( S/N \) and ‘high resolution’ \( (R \sim 2000) \) spectra of a large, representative sample of local galaxies. However, despite their high quality and statistical richness, data from the local Universe are not, in general, the ideal tool for retrieving finer details of the star-formation history at earlier times from spectra observed at \( z \sim 0 \). An extremely difficult task: most of the local galaxies are too old (Gallazzi et al. 2005) to resolve differences in the early star-formation history, due to the similarity of stellar spectra at ages \( > 5 \) Gyr. An alternative approach is the lookback approach, studying and making a census of galaxies at earlier and earlier cosmic epochs, comparing snapshots of the galaxy population taken at different cosmic epochs. This approach enables us to trace back in time the evolution of galaxies as a population, but linking the galaxies observed in the local Universe directly to their actual progenitors at higher redshifts is a difficult task, due to our fundamental lack of understanding of what the actual progenitors of present-day galaxies of different types are.

None of the recent or current large \( (N_{\text{gal}} \gtrsim 10,000) \) surveys of distant galaxies \( (z \gtrsim 0.3) \) – e.g. AGES (Kochev et al. 2012), SHELS (Geller et al. 2014), GAMA (Driver et al. 2012) and BOSS (Dawson et al. 2013) at the lower redshift end; DEEP2 (Newman et al. 2013), VIPERS (Guzzo et al. 2014), zCOSMOS (Lilly et al. 2009), VVDS/VUDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005, 2015) and GMASS (Kurk et al. 2013) at the higher redshift end – have adequate spectral resolution and/or quality to derive stellar population properties in sufficient detail for archaeological studies. Low resolution and low \( S/N \) spectroscopic observations can provide galaxy redshifts, rough measurements of emission lines and a reliable estimate of the \( D_{4000} \) break, but detailed information on the stellar and gas content of galaxies can only be achieved through higher \( S/N \) and higher resolution \( (R \sim 5000) \) spectroscopy – ingredients needed to provide reliable measurements of the absorption features in the stellar continuum and detailed stellar population and gas modelling. The only notable survey to date designed to obtain high-quality, high-resolution spectra in the relatively distant Universe is LEGA-C, whose data are limited to the redshift window \( 0.6 \leq z \leq 1.0 \) and to a sample of \( \sim 3200 \) galaxies within the COSMOS field. The LEGA-C spectra have a median \( S/N \sim 20 \), and resolution \( R \sim 3500 \) (see van der Wel et al. 2016; Straatman et al. 2018).

The Stellar Populations at intermediate redshifts Survey (StePS) will use the WEAVE spectrograph to perform a high-\( S/N \) survey of galaxies at moderate redshift, targeting a volume-limited sample in the redshift interval \( 0.3 \leq z \leq 0.7 \) (see e.g. Iovino et al., in preparation). StePS is thus designed to fill the interesting, yet largely unexplored niche of the intermediate redshift slice, fitting in between the SDSS and the LEGA-C survey data. StePS and the SDSS alone already encompass 6 Gyr of the age of the Universe, i.e. nearly one half of its present age, over the period when the cosmic star-formation-rate density continues to drop, while galaxies have almost doubled their stellar mass in dark-matter haloes that are still assembling (Conroy & Wechsler 2009).

To emphasize the need for high spectral resolution, consider that while Lick indices (Worthey et al. 1994) tend to have central bandpasses of the order 20–30 Å, the features themselves may only be 2–5 Å wide. Moreover, accurately fitting the absorption lines is vital for measuring the Balmer emission lines, which often sit on top of deep absorption features that cannot be modelled and accounted for in typical low-resolution spectra \( (R \sim 1000, \text{see Figure 18}) \).

Individual spectra of good \( S/N \) are another important ingredient. While spectral stacking (e.g. Gallazzi et al. 2008) can enable us to obtain high \( S/N \) spectra that provide the correct (light-weighted) average properties in a given bin of galaxy properties, it provides no information about the scatter around this average or the higher moments of the distribution. Pinning down these moments is important for quantifying the amount of stochasticity involved in galaxy evolution processes and the timescales of transitional phases. This clearly adds much more understanding to galaxy evolution than simply tracing the average time evolution of the properties of galaxy populations in given bins. Good-quality individual spectra are crucial for obtaining a description of any statistical distribution of physical properties that goes beyond the most typical. In addition, only a study of individual galaxies would allow for the identification of rare oddball objects, which may be the ones that will teach us the most interesting physics.

StePS will obtain approximately 25,000 spectra of high \( S/N \) and resolution \( \sim 1 \) Å using WEAVE’s LR mode \( (R \sim 5000) \), spanning the wide wavelength range of 3660–9590 Å. StePS targets will be selected within four areas on the sky totalling \( \sim 25 \) deg\(^2\). The areas are a subset of the CHFTSLS-W1 \( (02^h18^m00^s - 07^\circ00'00'') \) and W4 \( (22^h13^m18^s + 01^\circ9'00'') \) regions, and of the ELAIS-N1 region \( (16^h12^m10^s + 54^\circ30'00'') \). An area centred on the COSMOS field \( (10^h00'28'' + 02^\circ12'21'') \) is also included, where richer ancillary data – including HST imaging – are available. This large sample will enable us to characterise the ingredients that shape the history of galaxy assembly with sufficient statistics, exploring galaxy evolution in a sufficient number of bins of different galaxy masses, galaxy morphologies and colours, environments and cosmic epochs.

We have estimated that \( S/N \gtrsim 10 \) Å\(^{-1}\) in the observed \( I \)-band is an essential requirement to estimate the physical parameters of interest with reasonable accuracy, including – but not limited to – stellar ages, stellar and ionised gas metallicities and kinematics, and star-formation rates (see e.g. Costantin et al. 2019, and Ditrani et al., in preparation, for a detailed investigation of the potential of WEAVE-
like spectra at such typical $S/N$ values). Such $S/N$ ratios can be obtained in WEAVE’s red arm with an exposure time of ~7 hours in the $R \sim 5000$ mode for galaxies down to total galaxy magnitudes $I_{AB} \leq 20.5$. At a resolution of $R \sim 5000$, which in WEAVE is defined as the low-resolution mode, and at the required signal-to-noise level, even narrow features of galaxy spectra will be easily resolved, allowing for an accurate measurement of the intrinsic stellar velocity dispersion. At these – what would be termed in this area of study – ‘high’ resolutions, galaxy spectra reveal a vast number of spectral features, providing an immense resource for fitting models, including the ability to disentangle multiple stellar populations, such as the effect of a frosting of a low-level of young stars overlaid on an underlying old stellar population, or the effects of recent short bursts of star formation followed by rapid quenching. Equally, accurately fitting the absorption lines is vital for measuring the Balmer emission lines, which often sit at the bottom of deep absorption features that cannot be modelled and be accounted for in lower resolution spectra. The magnitude limit of $I_{AB} \leq 20.5$ corresponds to a logarithmic stellar mass limit (using a Chabrier IMF) of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.2$ at $z \sim 0.3$, 11.0 at $z \sim 0.5$ and 11.3 at $z \sim 0.7$, thus sampling the high stellar mass tail of the galaxy population. The galaxy surface density of targets, selected using high-quality photometric redshifts to be at $z \geq 0.3$, is ~1500 per square degree, well-suited for WEAVE’s multiplex capabilities with a multi-pass strategy involving several passes of each sky region.

For the majority of observed galaxy spectra, StePS will derive stellar ages, stellar and gas metallicities, dust attenuation, electron densities and ionization parameters. We will infer the past evolution of galaxies at different masses and redshifts and relate their star-formation histories to their intrinsic (e.g. stellar mass, galaxy morphology and dominant power source) and environmental properties, making use of the option to stack spectra when their $S/N$ are low. Using available ancillary photometric data will allow us to create well-defined stacks of spectra of even relatively small homogeneous sub-samples. The observed spectra will also be used to provide information on gas kinematics (including the presence of outflows) and stellar velocity dispersions, allowing us to perform a dynamical classification of the observed galaxies and to explore in detail the link between star-formation history, mass-assembly history and dynamics.

Last but not least, the StePS redshift range, coupled with the wide spectral range of WEAVE, will enable observations of the near-ultraviolet and $U$-band rest-frame spectral windows, bands that can provide unprecedented constraints on the youngest components in galaxies (Vazdekis et al. 2016; Salvador-Rusinol et al. 2020).

### 4.7 The WEAVE-LOFAR Survey

The WEAVE-LOFAR Survey (Smith et al. 2016, and in preparation) will provide the spectroscopic information to unlock the power of the International Low Frequency Array Telescope\(^{32}\) (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013). Since its inception, an important driver for LOFAR has been to carry out a series of surveys of the sky at low radio frequencies, in particular to advance our understanding of the formation and evolution of galaxies, galaxy clusters, and active galactic nuclei (AGN). The LOFAR Surveys Key Science Project (LSKSP; Röttgering et al. 2011) is carrying out this aim, using a suite of related surveys.

The observations with LOFAR’s High-Band Antennae (HBA) include those used for LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS: Shimwell et al. 2017), and are providing observations across the whole of the Northern sky between 120 and 168 MHz. A lower frequency counterpart of LoTSS, using the LOFAR Low Band Antennae (LBA), is the LOFAR LBA Sky Survey (LoLSS: de Gasperin et al. 2021), covering the frequency range 42–66 MHz. Because of the influence of ionospheric effects on low-frequency observations,

\(^{32}\) [http://www.lofar.org](http://www.lofar.org)
LotSS required the development of new direction-dependent calibration schemes (e.g. Tasse 2014; Williams & Röttinger 2015; Hardcastle et al. 2016; van Weeren et al. 2016; Tasse et al. 2018). It is now possible to routinely generate science-quality, pipeline-processed images and fields with unsurpassed sensitivity, image quality, resolution, and field of view. The most recent second data release of LotSS DR2 (Shimwell et al. 2022) builds on the huge success of DR1 (Shimwell et al. 2019; Williams et al. 2019; Duncan et al. 2019), and consists of LOFAR maps and catalogues, plus extensive ancillary information for more than four million sources identified at 150 MHz at $>5\sigma$ over 5700 deg$^2$. The median root mean square of the LotSS data is 83 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, while the resolution of the LotSS mosaics is 6 arcsec, and the astrometric accuracy relative to the Gaia reference frame is $<0.2$ arcsec, ideal for selecting WEAVE targets. Progress continues to be rapid, and well over half of the Northern sky has so far been observed.

The LSKSP team recently completed the first data release for its Deep Fields (fully described in four works: Tasse et al. 2021; Sabater et al. 2021; Kondapally et al. 2021; Duncan et al. 2021), along with a slew of new science (e.g. Gloudemans et al. 2021; Hardcastle et al. 2021; Herrera Ruiz et al. 2021; Mandal et al. 2021; Morganti et al. 2021; Oving et al. 2021; Smith et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021; Mingo et al. 2022; Kondapally et al. 2022). The LotSS Deep Fields first data release is based on hundreds of hours of 150 MHz observations of the best multi-wavelength ‘famous fields’ visible from Northern Europe. The LotSS Deep fields DR1 includes tens of deg$^2$ of observations spread over three fields: Bootes and Lockman Hole (described in Tasse et al. 2021), as well as the ELAIS-N1 field (which contains the deepest data, reaching 20 $\mu$Jy RMS; Sabater et al. 2021). The Deep Fields data release includes extensive ancillary information, including host galaxy identifications for over 97 per cent of the sources (Kondapally et al. 2021), as well as photometric redshifts (Duncan et al. 2021). Future Deep Fields releases will be based on even deeper 150 MHz data, and include the field at the North Ecliptic Pole (NEP). Each field’s position is indicated in Figure 19.

LOFAR selection of targets for WEAVE is ideal since 150 MHz observations identify targets on the basis of radio activity (whether that activity is due to star-formation or accretion), and independent of dust obscuration. Whilst the latter effect means that LOFAR-selected samples are more representative of the activity in the Universe than samples identified at other wavelengths (e.g. in the optical) the former ensures that the WEAVE-LOFAR sample is rich with emission-line sources. WEAVE’s low-resolution mode having continuous wavelength coverage between 360 and 960 nm and high throughput means that we expect a redshift success rate approaching 100 per cent out to $z = 1$, and to be able to obtain redshifts for samples of extreme sources out to beyond $z = 6$.

However, spectra are required for much more than simply measuring redshifts; it is only by using these data that we are able to produce the most robust source classifications, and distinguish between sources dominated by star formation, i.e. star-forming galaxies (SFGs), and those dominated by accretion onto an AGN (e.g. using emission line classifications based on the ratios of Balmer and forbidden lines; Baldwin et al. 1981). Spectroscopy also allows us to reliably distinguish between different accretion modes among the AGN, determining whether they are dominated by efficient accretion of cold gas (resulting in high-excitation sources) or by inefficient accretion of hot gas (resulting in low-excitation sources; e.g. Best & Heckman 2012; O’Sullivan et al. 2015). The ratios of equivalent widths of forbidden and Balmer lines as well as the [O III] emission line are widely used for this purpose (e.g. Laing et al. 1994; Tadhunter et al. 1998; Buttiglione et al. 2010; Best & Heckman 2012). These capabilities are essential given that, even in the widest-area LOFAR observations, the 150 MHz source population is diverse at the faint radio-flux densities sampled, consisting predominantly of SFGs and radio-quiet AGN. LotSS data are considerably deeper than all other existing surveys of comparable areas including FIRST (Becker et al. 1995), NVSS (Condon et al. 1998) and VLASS (e.g. Lacy & VLASS Survey Team 2018; Myers & VLASS Survey Team 2018) and, and, interestingly, at the faintest flux levels probed by the deepest LOFAR.

Figure 19. Outlines of the main WEAVE-LOFAR fields, in the context of the LotSS data, in equatorial (left) and Galactic (right, compare with Figure 6) coordinates. In both versions, the LotSS hemisphere grid is shown as black points, while orange circles indicate the LOFAR pointing centres included in the second data release of LotSS, covering approximately 5700 deg$^2$ of the extragalactic sky. Also overlaid are the principal WEAVE-LOFAR Deep Fields (purple circles), and outlines of mid-tier fields (in light blue, including HETDEX, the region covered by LotSS DR1; see also Figure 20). The provisional area that will be observed by J-PAS is outlined in purple, while the perimeter of the fields shared with the WEAVE Galactic Archaeology and WEAVE-QSO teams is outlined in green. The coverage of the Legacy Surveys (Dey et al. 2019) that we use for cross-identification in LotSS is indicated by the grey shaded background. In the right panel, the Galactic Centre and Anticentre are indicated by black open circles, while the poles in the equatorial reference frame are indicated by black circled crosses. In the left panel, the Galactic Anticentre is indicated by a grey open circle.
data the SFGs and radio-quiet AGN comprise more than 90 per cent of the radio source population (Best et al., in preparation); spectroscopic follow-up of large statistical samples of SFGs and radio-quiet AGN detected by the deep LOFAR surveys will enable detailed characterisation of these populations. Spectroscopy of radio sources also permits us to measure velocity dispersions, estimate metallicities (the high resolution of WEAVE – even in its ‘low-resolution’ mode – is essential here), and derive virial black-hole mass estimates.

Some of the topics that WEAVE-LOFAR will address include: the star-formation history of the Universe; the accretion and AGN-driven feedback; the nature of the Epoch of Reionisation (EoR); cosmology; cluster halos and relic radio sources; and radio galaxies and protoclusters. We expect, for example, to identify a statistical sample of around 50 radio galaxies at $z > 6$ (Saxena et al. 2017, 2018); these sources are pivotal for conducting 21-cm absorption experiments, giving a new window on the change of state brought about in the intergalactic medium during the EoR by the first stars/galaxies/AGN. EoR 21-cm studies offer the best way to answer key questions about this poorly-understood period in cosmic history: how long did it last, and which sources were responsible? The MOS component of WEAVE-LOFAR will obtain more than a million spectra of 150 MHz-selected sources, almost three orders of magnitude more than the largest existing spectroscopic surveys targeting radio sources. These large numbers of sources will lend immense statistical power to this uniformly selected and homogeneous spectroscopic data bank, offering us the chance to simultaneously model the populations of SFGs and AGN as a function of stellar mass, environment and redshift. In this way, we can shed new light on the complicated interplay between the key processes thought to shape galaxy formation and evolution. WEAVE-LOFAR spectroscopic redshifts will also enable much improved cosmological parameter determination from LoTSS, building on the earlier work by Siewert et al. (2020, Alonso et al., 2021) and Tiwari et al. (2022).

To achieve these goals, WEAVE-LOFAR has been designed to predominantly utilise WEAVE’s MOS mode, using a tiered layout. The tiers – chosen to statistically sample the redshift-luminosity plane, the changing demographics of the radio source population, and the processes driving their evolution – are as follows:

- **Wide tier**, targeting sources brighter than $\sim 10\, \text{mJy}$ at 150 MHz selected over an area of up to 10 000 deg$^2$ from LoTSS;
- **Mid tier**, targeting 150 MHz sources brighter than $\sim 1\, \text{mJy}$, including over the HETDEX spring field (Figure 20; where more than 400 deg$^2$ of the LoTSS first data release are located) and targeting fainter 150 MHz sources $> 500\, \mu\text{Jy}$ over the unique Herschel-ATLAS NGP field;
- **Deep tier**, covering an area of up to 50 deg$^2$ and targeting all radio-detected sources detected in the LoTSS Deep fields data covering the Boötes, Lockman Hole, ELAIS-N1 and NEP fields.

We emphasize that we do not make use of any selection criteria other than the 150 MHz flux limits. Given the flux limit, sources detected in the Wide tier will have low-frequency radio spectra index information from the combination of LoTSS (144 MHz) and LoLSS (54 MHz) data. In the Wide and Mid tiers we will use 1-hour integrations for every target. In the Deep tier, we will revisit those ‘hard’ sources (perhaps 30 per cent of the whole sample) that do not yield redshifts within a single 1-hour OB for up to four further hours. By doing this, we expect to obtain redshifts for 15–20 per cent of these hard sources, and in doing so, sample a part of the radio source population that would otherwise have remained completely inaccessible. Since we are relying on the prevalence of bright emission lines in our LOFAR-selected sample for achieving a high redshift success rate, it follows that for many of our targets the WEAVE LR spectra will not detect the continuum. Spectral stacking experiments – relying on the large samples coupled with the high quality and uniformity of the WEAVE LR spectra – are therefore a critical means of studying the continuum of 150 MHz sources (and all of the information it contains) as a function of stellar mass, environment, redshift and source classification.

We also have plans to use the WEAVE integral field spectrographs (mIFU and LIFU) in relatively under-subscribed weather conditions, to study individual sources in more detail, and in doing so build on the broad picture that will be produced by the MOS survey. Using the WEAVE mIFUs in low-resolution mode, we will obtain resolved spectroscopy of around 1000 bright background sources identified in the WL-Wide tier, and which have foreground neighbours that appear close in projection. This sample will enable us to identify absorption systems in the line of sight, and allow the study of the properties of the extended neutral gas reservoirs. These reservoirs can only be detected in absorption against a bright background continuum source, but they play a critical role in fueling star formation (e.g. Maddox et al. 2015; Dutta et al. 2017). We will also use the LIFU in high-resolution mode to study the details of AGN feedback in nearby cluster cores, including the relationship between the radio jets, the intracluster medium and the central cluster galaxy. Using the LIFU in HR mode, we will revisit the same targets as often as the conditions and WEAVE SCHEDULER allow, building increasingly sensitive data-cubes. With this data set, we can conduct the best-yet study of the 10$^5$ K plasma probed by coronal lines (revealing the cooling in action), as well as the kinematics of the ionised gas, and deconstruct the stellar population in these local analogues of starburst galaxies containing powerful AGN at $z > 1$.

The scope of WEAVE-LOFAR is unprecedented, and it is therefore all but guaranteed that the WEAVE-LOFAR survey will also discover some wholly unexpected phenomena within the rich legacy data set that it will produce.

### 4.8 The WEAVE-QSO Survey

The WEAVE-QSO survey (Pieri et al. 2016, and in preparation) will observe around 45 000 high-redshift quasars over an area of up to 10 000 deg$^2$ called WQ-Wide. Nestled within this, we intend to survey a denser sampling of quasars targeted with J-PAS (Javalambre Physics of the Accelerating Universe Survey; Benítez et al. 2014) data over $\sim 6000\, \text{deg}^2$. Further nested within this wide J-PAS area is a special, high-density footprint of $\sim 420\, \text{deg}^2$ in the HETDEX spring field called WQ-HighDens. All objects targeted by WEAVE-QSO will be chosen to recover spectra of quasars with $z_q > 2.2$, which is sufficiently high to provide coverage of the Lyman-α (Ly$\alpha$) forest at $z > 2$ (hereafter we use the term ‘Ly$\alpha$-forest quasars’ for these objects). The objective is to deepen our understanding of the intergalactic medium (IGM), the circumgalactic medium (CGM) and large-scale-structure cosmology through the study of intervening Ly$\alpha$ and metal-line absorption.

The science objectives of WEAVE-QSO are associated with two observational challenges: the probing of three-dimensional large-scale structures that span multiple quasar sight-lines (both statistically and in the form of maps), and the detailed study of small (extragalactic) scales in one dimension along isolated sight-lines. The former is contingent on WEAVE-QSO’s expected observations of an unrivalled number density of Ly$\alpha$-forest quasars, while the latter rests on the WEAVE Survey’s unprecedented resolution and signal-to-noise ratio among massive spectroscopic surveys. This science is
Figure 20. 150 MHz mosaic of the region surrounding the HETDEX field using LoTSS data from the second data release (red colour scale, from Martin Hardcastle, private communication). The HETDEX spring field is one of the principal areas of sky in the WEAVE-LOFAR ‘Mid’ tier. Overlaid on the map (the full-resolution version of which reaches a median noise level of 69 µJy/beam and contains > 500k sources detected at > 5σ at 150 MHz) is the pointing grid for the fields shared with the WEAVE Galactic Archaeology and WEAVE-QSO surveys, covering the whole sky north of Dec = −10° (black dots, derived using the method of Saff & Kuijlaars 1997). The blue circles indicate those WEAVE pointings on the hemisphere grid within the outline of the HETDEX survey (Hill et al. 2008, black thick line). Note that this grid differs from the one used for LoTSS on account of LOFAR’s even larger field of view. The black thick line also corresponds to the WQ-HighDens footprint boundary for WEAVE-QSO. The grey circle indicates the field of view of WEAVE in its MOS mode, to give a sense of the scale of this field.

Our projections are based on estimates of the quasar population given by Palanque-Delabrouille et al. (2016).
6000 deg$^2$ footprint, and show that the WEAVE-QSO survey is more optimal when directed towards this higher redshift window. This projection neglects the fact that DESI is boosted in the low-redshift window through the cross-correlation of lower-redshift quasars, further reinforcing the preference for higher-redshift quasars.

Consequently we choose to target faint quasars with $2.45 < z_q < 3$ for statistical measurements of 3D large-scale structure. Note that this requirement is lifted in the special high-density field of WQ-HighDens, where we target all available Ly$\alpha$-forest quasars.

The high density of quasars required for competitive 3D-large-scale structure analyses and the maximal brighter sample needed for high-quality isolated sight-line studies both require $\gtrsim 90$ per cent Ly$\alpha$-forest quasar completeness. This will be achieved with J-PAS for the majority of the WEAVE-QSO survey footprint. J-PAS is an imaging survey covering more than 8000 deg$^2$ (see Figure 19) with 56 narrow band filters, of which a coverage of $\sim 6000$ deg$^2$ of the WEAVE-QSO footprint is expected on a timescale practical for WQ target selection. Ly$\alpha$-forest quasars will be derived from this sample using a variety of machine learning methods trained and tested variety of simulated and observational data (Pérez-Rafols et al. 2020; Pérez-Rafols & Pieri 2020; Bonoli et al. 2021; Queiroz et al. 2022; Martínez-Solache et al. 2022; Rodrigues et al., in preparation; Pérez-Rafols et al., in preparation).

In addition to using J-PAS data for target selection, WEAVE-QSO will join with J-PAS to cross-correlate the Ly$\alpha$ forest with J-PAS quasars even when not targeted spectroscopically, and to explore cross-correlation with faint Ly$\alpha$ sources in J-PAS images treated as an intensity map. This high density quasar sampling and high completeness allow various IGM/CGM properties to be studied statistically which have been demonstrated in BOSS and eBOSS or tested in simulations (e.g. Blomqvist et al. 2018; Gontcho A Gontcho et al. 2018; Pérez-Rafols et al. 2018; Sorini et al. 2018, 2020; Morrison et al. 2021).

Our measurement of 3D large-scale structure is not limited to statistical estimators such as correlation functions of the Ly$\alpha$-forest. Cosmic-web mapping through large-scale structure tomography will be pursued via three distinct programmes: a wide tier (in WQ-Wide) at low density (and so mapping low resolution), a higher density tier with higher resolution (in WQ-HighDens), and one focused on rare, close groups of quasars (in WQ-Wide). For the wide tier, WEAVE-QSO occupies a novel niche as the only current or imminent survey with an area larger than 15 deg$^2$. Other relevant facilities include CLAMATO (Lee et al. 2018; 0.8 deg$^2$), PFS (Takada et al. 2014; $\sim 15$ deg$^2$), MOSAIC/ELT (Japelj et al. 2019; $< 10$ deg$^2$), MUSE (The MUSE Science Team 2019; $\sim 80$ deg$^2$). These surveys will achieve transverse lengths (the average separation between sight lines) of 2–3 cMpc h$^{-1}$, and while WEAVE-QSO will only achieve $\sim 15$–20 cMpc h$^{-1}$, it will do so up to 6000 deg$^2$ and in rare cases will reach a peak resolution as high as $\sim 1$ cMpc h$^{-1}$.

Higher average resolution ($\lesssim 15$ cMpc h$^{-1}$) is expected over the $\sim 420$ deg$^2$ of the WQ-HighDens footprint (Figure 20), where we will survey quasars densely without limits on the Ly$\alpha$-forest redshift range.
The potential IGM tomography we may derive from this sample is illustrated in the upper panels of Figure 22 and further detailed in a forthcoming WEAVE-QSO publication (Pieri et al., in preparation). The upper left panel shows a simulated H\textsc{i} distribution generated from a dark-matter simulation and the \textsc{lymas} code\textsuperscript{34} (Peirani et al. 2014), smoothed to reflect the desired resolution. This upper right panel shows a projection of WEAVE-QSO-based reconstruction of this simulation in WQ-HighDens through Wiener filtering (e.g. Pichon et al. 2001; Caucci et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2018) with a random distribution of Ly\textsc{a} forest quasars. Note that we neglect here the potential boost we may derive by incorporating the HETDEX data of Ly\textsc{a} emitters into the reconstruction.

The WQ-Wide footprint is expected to provide peak cosmic web reconstruction resolution in approximately 60 small patches where three or more quasars with \( r < 21 \) are separated by \( \sim 1 \) cMpc \( h^{-1} \). This is demonstrated in the lower panels of Figure 22. The bottom left panel shows a simulated H\textsc{i} distribution smoothed to reflect our desired peak resolution. The corresponding tomographic reconstruction provided by these WEAVE-QSO multiplexes is shown in the bottom right panel (though this is naturally not available for the entire 100 cMpc \( h^{-1} \)-wide region shown). The IGM tomography potential of WEAVE-QSO is explored further in Kraljic et al. (2022). Beyond these peak-resolution regions and the WQ-HighDens area, the resolution will vary up to around 15–20 cMpc \( h^{-1} \), making it suitable for a wide/void/non-void separation (Ozbek et al. 2016).

All of these maps of varying resolution will provide insights into the impact of environment on IGM and galaxy properties, noting that \( z > 2 \) is the epoch where the star formation rate reaches its peak (Hopkins & Beacom 2006; Madau & Dickinson 2014) where intergalactic gas accretion along filaments is critical for the formation of galaxies (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005). Furthermore a complete sample of quasars will allow the study of their connection to large scale (re)ionization of the cosmic web (e.g. Morrison et al. 2019).

Beyond the J-PAS targeted sub-area of WQ-Wide, WEAVE-QSO will observe bright quasars, and over its entire low-resolution footprint, WEAVE-QSO will obtain spectra of almost all bright (\( r \leq 21 \)) Ly\textsc{a} quasars. This constitutes up to 4000 deg\(^2\) targeted initially using a combination of \textit{Gaia}+\textit{unWISE} objects (Shu et al. 2019) and SDSS-IV DR16 (Lyke et al. 2020), with \textit{Gaia} spectroscopy to be incorporated progressively (e.g. Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022b).

With the addition of this sample, WEAVE-QSO will offer unparalleled spectral resolution (mostly \( R \approx 5000 \) but also \( R \approx 20,000 \)) with high signal-to-noise (\( > 4–7 \) per Å). Improved spectral resolution will enable the study of gas temperature and structures on smaller scales (e.g. Palanque-Delabrouille et al. 2013; Viel et al. 2013): the relationship between temperature and density in the IGM is a fundamental quantity describing the physical state of baryons during reionization. Such data will allow the study of smaller-scale power in the matter distribution, measuring neutrino masses and alternative forms of dark matter. The improved resolution provided by WEAVE-QSO will aid in breaking the degeneracy between the small-scale suppression of power caused by IGM temperature and these cosmological effects, which are currently marginalised over using extensive and expensive hydrodynamic simulations.

Galaxies and circumsolar medium regions are identified in absorption as damped Ly\textsc{a} systems (e.g. Krogager et al. 2017), Lyman-limit systems (e.g. Fumagalli et al. 2013) and strongly blended Ly\textsc{a} systems (Pieri et al. 2014, and Morrison et al., in preparation). The BOSS and eBOSS surveys demonstrate that a great deal can be learnt about such systems (e.g. Noterdaeme et al. 2012; Pieri et al. 2014; Mas-Ribas et al. 2017). Improved spectral resolution and signal-to-noise provided by WEAVE-QSO will allow greater fidelity of such systems and machine learning methods have been developed to recover them (e.g. Fumagalli et al. 2020). In particular damped systems with lower column density and/or higher redshift will be more readily identified. Furthermore narrow metal absorption lines associated with the interstellar, circumpalactic and intergalactic media will be more statistically significant, resolved and informative in WEAVE-QSO spectra. Studying the properties of such systems will be of increasing importance over the coming years given the quality and size of the WEAVE-QSO sample combined with wide-area \( z > 2 \) galaxy surveys such as HETDEX. This is a key epoch for galaxy assembly as it includes the early portion of the peak in the star-formation rate. The combination of absorber fidelity, gas temperature, improved galaxy identification, small-scale CGM effects and large-scale structure context, all at the peak of star-formation rate, will make WEAVE-QSO transformative for our understanding of galaxy formation.

In weather conditions deemed sub-optimal for our primary-science purposes, we intend to use the LIFU to target quasar pairs or groups too close to be targeted in the MOS mode. We will also probe extended emission near quasars and unresolved galaxies in front of quasars using the mIFU mode. These science goals are well adapted to poor seeing, as the LIFU fibres have twice the diameter of their MOS counterparts (see Table 2), while extended or unresolved emission need only be within the mIFU.

The WEAVE-QSO survey aims to achieve an unprecedented diversity of intergalactic-medium science goals spanning scales from sub-kpc structures in the CGM to the BAO scale. This will be achieved chiefly by exploiting WEAVE’s high spectral resolution and WEAVE-QSO’s high target density with respect to previous and contemporary spectroscopic surveys.

5 THE WEAVE SIMULATOR

During the preliminary design phase of the project, it was recognised that the spectral resolution delivered by the spectrograph would vary as a function of wavelength \textit{and} as a function of fibre position along the slit (Figure 23). After initial modelling of this effect at a low level for validation of the design, it was decided that a full image simulation would be required to provide representative input for end-to-end testing of the data-processing system. This section provides a description of such a simulator that was created in order to generate representative data for the majority of WEAVE Survey use cases as part of a full Operational Rehearsal (see Section 6 and Dalton et al. 2016b), in order to simulate the implementation of the Survey.

5.1 Point Spread Functions

In order to capture the variation in point spread function (PSF) across the field, we used \textsc{zemax}\textsuperscript{35} to generate the PSF at nine equally spaced wavelengths for each fibre in the top half of the slit for each of the five modes given in Table 3. Each PSF is generated as a 25 x 25 pixel image with 15 μm pixels, using 107 rays to ensure that the outer wings are fully sampled. The ray trace assumes a uniform circular output aperture for the fibre, which is not strictly correct but considered to be adequate for the purposes of this simulation. Internal vignetting in the spectrograph is included here. Each PSF

\textsuperscript{34} \url{http://www2.iap.fr/users/peirani/lymas/lymas.htm}

\textsuperscript{35} \url{https://www.zemax.com}
generated in this way is centred on the chief ray, and the position of
this in focal plane coordinates is recorded. These data are generated
once only for each mode using the nominal configuration of the
spectrograph optics and stored for use at runtime.

5.2 Input Fields

The simulator is driven by an input in the form of an extended OB
XML file, with additional elements for the actual conditions of the
observation (time, date, seeing and transparency), together with an
additional descriptive element for each target containing velocity
(or redshift), magnitude, bandpass, target FWHM (for extended
sources), and the appropriate spectral template to use. The input
field is parsed to determine the location and fibre allocation for each
target, and these are used to calculate the vignetting factor at the
telescope focal plane (due to the prime-focus corrector optics) and
the expected light loss at the spectrograph collimator (due to the
non-telecentricity of the input to this fibre at this field position).

5.3 Sky Background

For each OB, we use the expected date and time of observation to
calculate the elevation, moon phase and moon–target separation for
the mid-point of the OB. We then use the ESO Paranal sky simulator
(skycalc: Noll et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2013) to generate an estimate
of the sky emission and absorption spectra for this observation at
\( R = 50 000 \) from 360–970 nm, which is then degraded to match
the resolving power of WEAVE (\( R = 5000 \) for LR and \( R = 20000 \)
for HR in the MOS/mIFU modes, each further lowered by a factor
of two for the LIFU mode; see Table 3). We neglect the zodiacal
emission terms, and scale the skycalc output spectrum to the
recorded La Palma sky brightness for this date and time. The sky
spectra are resampled to 20 000 pixels across the bandpass of each
WEAVE mode to form a basis for each fibre input.

5.4 Template spectra

The template spectra referenced in the OB XML file are provided
by the science teams to generate representative samples of spectra to
test the data-processing pipelines. Each template is provided in flux
units with the assumption that it will be scaled to a given apparent
(AB) magnitude for observation. The only practical constraint on the
input spectra is that they cover the full WEAVE spectral range. For
each fibre allocated to a target, the template is read in, scaled to the
appropriate magnitude and velocity or redshift, and converted from
flux to incident photons. Finally, each spectrum is scaled to account
for vignetting/telecentricity factors described in Section 5.2, aperture
losses appropriate to the seeing extant at the time of the observations,
and the telescope aperture and the exposure time. We apply the
instrument throughput for the relevant mode, for which we adopt the
as-designed throughput estimates reported in Dalton et al. (2014).

Since the different surveys have targets with very different charac-
teristics, the simulator also allows for targets to have pre-determined
aperture losses for extended sources, and pre-determined input
fluxes (no magnitude scaling) for emission-line targets. Finally, the
input sky spectrum (scaled by the appropriate factors for each fibre)
is added, producing the final input spectrum for each fibre.

5.5 PSF resampling

For each fibre in turn, the PSF library described in Section 5.1 is
then interpolated to give an array of 20 000 PSFs over the observed
wavelength range (each centred on the effective position of the chief
ray at the appropriate wavelength). For a subset of these, we directly
calculated the same PSF in zemax to check the validity of the
interpolation. Each of these 20 000 PSFs is then resampled using a
bivariate spline evaluated over a rectangular mesh to place the
photons into the appropriate pixels of the WEAVE CCDs.

For fibres in the lower half of the slit, we use mirror-images of
the upper-half PSFs to save storage and computation time.
5.6 Output Images

When the spectra for all fibres have been added to the CCD images, the CCD bias, read-out noise and Poisson noise are all added. The images are then saved with a complete FITS header, representative of the final WEAVE images. These images can then be sent to the WEAVE SPA pipelines for processing and distribution to the science teams via WEAVE’s data archive system.

5.7 Calibration Frames

In addition to science data frames, the simulator also generates calibration data frames in the form of bias, fibre flat-field, detector flat-field and calibration arc-lamp frames. Fibre flat-fields and arcs include the same input losses at the telescope focal plane as the science data and the correct header information in order to allow the data-processing chains to function. For the flat-field lamp spectra, we assume a black-body spectrum at 3500 K. For the arc lamp, we use the ThAr lamp spectral data from the National Optical Astronomy Observatory atlas\(^{36}\), sampled at 0.002 nm/pixel over the range 360–960 nm.

6 OPERATIONAL REHEARSALS

Using the WEAVE Simulator described in the previous section, a series of exercises were conducted to simulate the implementation of the Survey. These Operational Rehearsals (OpRs) were designed to simulate and test the flow of data in various guises through and between different nodes of the WEAVE data systems (see Section 2.5 and 2.6), including the Observatory Control System (OCS), the Core Processing System (CPS), the Advanced Processing System (APS) and the WEAVE Archive System (WAS). The OpRs have also seen significant involvement of Science Team members, mainly through the work of the Survey Working Group (SWG; see Section 3.1) and the Quality Assessment Group (QAG; see Section 3.3), as well as other key individuals in charge of assembling input target catalogues.

A simplified description of the flow of data and information in the OpR context is as follows:

- input FITS catalogues are submitted to the WEAVE Automated Submission Platform (WASP), hosted at the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit (CASU), Cambridge, where all targets are assigned a ‘CNAME’ once a given catalogue has successfully passed verification tests for certain required header keywords, amongst others. The CNAME for a target is based on its right ascension and declination, and is an immutable attribute for identifying that particular target in the WEAVE data processing and archive systems;
- CNAME-containing FITS catalogues are then used by the science teams to create a series of ‘protofields’, which, for each WEAVE MOS and mIFU observations, contains a selection of potential science targets and guide stars:
  - for the MOS mode, protofields containing approximately 2000 potential science targets, as well as lists of guide stars, white dwarf stars (for calibration and the White Dwarfs survey, Section 4.3) and ‘sky’ positions if the user chooses to specify these in advance, form the input to \textsc{configure} (see Section 2.2), the output of which are configured (or ‘\textsc{configure}-output’) XML files;
  - for the mIFU mode, protofields are similar to those created for the MOS mode but contain only positions of the objects contained within the boundaries of (and typically centred on) the 20 mIFU bundles, which \textsc{configure} translates into a set of fibre positions for the individual mIFU fibres of each mIFU bundle;
  - for the LIFU mode, a protofield contains a single pointing that defines the centre of the field (along with a position angle set to optimise the guide star position on the offset LIFU guider), which \textsc{configure} translates into a set of fibre positions for the fixed LIFU fibre array;

- XML files are submitted to the WASP, which performs a series of checks on the files received, ranging from a baseline structure check against template XMLs for the checking of constituent elements, to the verification of instrumental mode and observational requirements against the CNAME-containing FITS catalogues from an earlier stage of the process;
- WASP-verified XML files are collated and sent to the OCS, which then ingests the files as OBs into its OB database;
- prior to and during each (simulated) observing night, the scheduler (WEAVE observation queue scheduler; see Section 2.5) creates a real-time queue of OBs to be executed, depending on current and predicted weather conditions one hour ahead of observing time;
- scheduled fields are ‘observed’ using the WEAVE Simulator (see Section 5);
- raw data are sent from the ‘telescope’ to CASU, where they undergo initial processing by the CPS;
- CPS-output data are transferred to the APS, hosted at the IAC, Tenerife, for further, advanced-level processing;
- outputs from the CPS and the APS are ingested by the WAS, hosted at Telescopio Nazionale Galileo, La Palma, ready for inspection and download by the QAG through the archive system.

The first Operational Rehearsal (OpR1) was an exercise centred on testing particular aspects of the data-flow model, ensuring that raw and reduced data could be moved correctly around the data-processing and data-archiving systems. File transfer and data-processing speeds were monitored, allowing weaknesses in the configuration of the systems to be identified and improved.

The second Operational Rehearsal (OpR2) comprised a series of data-flow simulations aimed at pushing data from the telescope all the way to the end user through two stages of data processing and final archiving. Several enactments of OpR2 were performed (the most prominent of these being OpR2.5; see e.g. Figure 5) following the identification and subsequent resolution of a number of issues in the data-processing pipelines, as well as in the inputs provided by the Science Team. The SWG, QAG and other core members of the individual science teams\(^{37}\) were heavily involved in OpR2 through the preparation and submission of FITS catalogues of potential science targets as well as template spectra assigned to these targets, and in using \textsc{configure} to produce configured fields in the form of XML files.

The third Operational Rehearsal (OpR3) – the latest in the series – again tested all of the previously listed steps on algorithms and pipelines for the MOS mode (upgraded in the interim) and the LIFU mode, as well as a number of other details related to node interactions. As the last of the OpRs with full involvement of the science teams before an external survey-readiness review (successfully completed in 2020 January) and before WEAVE goes on sky, OpR3 has been crucially important for ensuring that node-to-node interfaces work efficiently and seamlessly, and that the workings within each node have been set up to proceed optimally. At the time of writing, the mIFU mode is undergoing a similar dedicated exercise.

\(^{36}\) http://iraf.noao.edu/specatlas/thar/thar.html

\(^{37}\) https://ingconfluence.ing.iac.es/confluence/display/WEAV/Core+Science+Teams
6.1 Operational Rehearsal 3a (OpR3a)

OpR3a was a scheduling exercise covering a virtual period of three non-contiguous months over the course of just over one year. These three periods, named S1–S3, allowed us to test the ability of the scheduler to handle the creation of real-time queues of OBs on an hourly basis given realistic weather conditions. Each OB specified all required elements for an observation – field centre, requirements for observing conditions and instrumental setup, etc. – apart from the location of individual fibres within the field, and the scheduler was used to create nightly queues of OBs to be observed according to archival weather data at the WHT when they could be used to set the observing conditions. The WEAVE Simulator would then take, as input, a configure-output XML file, along with the observing conditions for the time of the observation and template spectra corresponding to the targets in the field, and simulate their observation by WEAVE.

Given the importance of the simulated implementation of information and data flow in preparing for the running of the WEAVE Survey, the following subsections provide further details of the key stages of OpR3, highlighting their initial goals as well as some lessons learnt.

6.2 Operational Rehearsal 3b (OpR3b)

From each of the semesters modelled in OpR3a, we selected a single week of MOS and LIFU observations (180 OBs in total) for which the target fields were then run through configure, ingested into the survey system through the WASP and then passed to the WEAVE Simulator. Additional XML elements were added to each field to specify the actual observing conditions, and to specify the spectral template and magnitude to use for each target. The data generated in this way were then uploaded to the Observatory and used to simulate the full operation of the WEAVE data-flow system through OCS, the quick-look pipeline, CPS, APS and WAS. The ‘observations’ and transfer of data from the ‘telescope’ to CASU (Cambridge) were performed at night (i.e. in ‘real time’) to emulate – and hence also to verify – the network traffic in a realistic manner. The resulting datasets were then made available to the QAG and SWG for analysis.

A key aspect of this process is that every step in the data flow should occur automatically, driven only by the observation description and calibration templates in the OB, and by information generated internally within CPS and APS, which have no prior knowledge of the input target parameters beyond the basic photometric data. Flux calibrations were generated from the white dwarf calibration stars within each field, and the sky and object fibres were subject to the variations in throughput arising from the non-telecentricity and vignetting in the optical models.

Figures 24–27 provide a few illustrative results from OpR3b (see also Figure 17). As the first end-to-end test of the complete CPS and APS pipelines, it was not expected that we would meet our science goals in the output of these systems in OpR3b. Rather, the intention was to stress-test the data-flow set-up and the pipelines themselves, which could then be used to make any necessary improvements. Through the rehearsal, a number of undesirable effects were identified and corrected in both the input fields generated by some of the surveys and in the data-processing and analysis chains, illustrating the value of conducting such an exercise in advance of the instrument commissioning phase. An example of such an effect is the noticeable curvature in the residual log g and microturbulence values as a function of fibre location on the detector arrays for WEAVE-GA.
stars shown in Figure 25, symptomatic of an uncorrected spectral resolution variation with wavelength in the high-resolution spectral mode (cf. Figure 23, which shows a similar variation as a function of fibre location for the low-resolution spectral mode). On the other hand, Figure 27 shows that the WEAVE Simulator and the WEAVE exposure time calculator (ETC), developed independently, are on average in excellent agreement for faint (WEAVE-QSO) targets, suggesting that the ETC can be used with some confidence for planning WEAVE observations.

6.3 Operational Rehearsal 3c (OpR3c)

OpR3c was a scheduling exercise covering a virtual period of 18 contiguous months. The 18 months were split into trimesters (named T1–T6), each covering three months. These six periods allowed us to test the scheduler’s OB queue creation with WEAVE’s full complement of observing modes: the LIFU, the mIFU and the two MOS plates. In essence, OpR3c was a significantly scaled-up version of OpR3a, taking lessons learnt from both OpR3a and OpR3b and attempting a close-to-reality scheduling simulation for the use of WEAVE on the WHT. As such, we also included a representative sample of OBs belonging to ‘PI time’, which entered the scheduler queue alongside the WEAVE Survey OBs, as will occur during regular Survey operations.

As well as all envisaged observing modes being available for scheduling purposes, the single main difference of OpR3c to OpR3a was in the length of the rehearsal, both in virtual and real time. Two months of ‘survey time’ were simulated almost every week, with 18 months of virtual observing time scheduled in total. Approximately every two weeks, the OISMT released the outcome of the scheduling exercise of the current trimester to the SWG, offset by one month, e.g. for the first trimester (T1), for which the SWG had submitted fields for months 1–3, the OISMT then released scheduling results for months 1–2 to the SWG, which the SWG then used to decide on their submissions for months 4–6 (i.e. T2). The SWG members were required, in consultation with their STLs and relevant science team members, to make decisions within three to four working days regarding the next set of fields to submit for the following trimester, based on the knowledge of which fields had been observed. While there is an overall observing strategy for the planned five-year
current version. From vignetting, and to issues related to precise spectral shape and redshift throughput with fibre position in the focal plane due to non-telecentricity and excellent agreement at the faint end is reassuring for prospective WEAVE users; shown. As the Simulator and ETC are completely independent codes, the measured OpR3 mock data both per spectrum (grey) and binned (black) are in Johnson filters. The results of the WEAVE ETC (v4.2.4, red) and the magnitude. While the vast majority of targets are correctly redshifted out to $z = 1$ (93.3 per cent of redshifts are correct to $|z_{\text{APS}} - z_{\text{true}}| < 0.001$), there is some evidence of emission-line mis-identification (e.g. the linear features away from the 1:1 line) and bright targets with incorrect redshifts, perhaps highlighting the need to fold in photometric redshift priors and to update the redshifting templates once data begin to arrive in earnest. The redshifting performance for the WEAVE-LOFAR survey will be discussed in more detail in a forthcoming work (Smith et al., in preparation).

### Figure 26
Redshift estimation for WEAVE-LOFAR targets from a model WL-DEEP OB observed in OpR3b, targeting 150 MHz sources brighter than 100 $\mu$Jy. The redshift estimate from the APS (derived using the off-the-shelf redrock code) is shown on the vertical axis, as a function of the true redshift (taken from the input simulation), and colour-coded by the $I$-band apparent magnitude. While the vast majority of targets are correctly redshifted out to $z = 1$ (93.3 per cent of redshifts are correct to $|z_{\text{APS}} - z_{\text{true}}| < 0.001$), there is some evidence of emission-line mis-identification (e.g. the linear features away from the 1:1 line) and bright targets with incorrect redshifts, perhaps highlighting the need to fold in photometric redshift priors and to optimise/update the redshifting templates once data begin to arrive in earnest. The redshifting performance for the WEAVE-LOFAR survey will be discussed in more detail in a forthcoming work (Smith et al., in preparation).

### Figure 27
Signal-to-noise per Å as a function of apparent magnitude, estimated from simulated WEAVE-QSO data and the WEAVE exposure time calculator (ETC). Here, magnitude and signal-to-noise ratio are both defined in Johnson filters. The results of the WEAVE ETC (v4.2.4, red) and the measured OpR3 mock data both per spectrum (grey) and binned (black) are shown. As the Simulator and ETC are completely independent codes, the excellent agreement at the faint end is reassuring for prospective WEAVE users; the deviation at the bright end is likely due to a combination of the variation of throughput with fibre position in the focal plane due to non-telecentricity and from vignetting, and to issues related to precise spectral shape and redshift of the simulated targets, neither of which the ETC takes into account in the current version.

WEAVE Survey for every individual survey, making the decision of which fields to prioritise and which specific targets should be contained within those fields requires knowledge of what has or has not – despite the OBs having already been submitted – yet been observed. The actual WEAVE Survey operations will also follow a trimesterly cycle for field submissions by the SWG, making OpR3c a realistic – if somewhat condensed and highly time-pressured – simulation of what is to come. Note that while the intensive and relatively rigid real-time schedule was set up to enable the SWG and STLs to rehearse decision-making on realistic timescales, the intervals and timing offsets later did require some flexibility and shifting at times, primarily due to the availability of those involved in the exercise.

One of the principal aims of OpR3c was to identify any missing pieces in the operational flow for WEAVE operations. The following list provides an example of the types of questions raised and issues identified, some of which were resolved in real time during OpR3c, and all of which require resolving prior to the start of WEAVE operations.

- OpR3c allowed science teams to identify which other surveys typically compete for similar observing conditions, modes, and sky positions. This in turn helped us identify new functionalities to be implemented in the scheduler, allowing for a better sampling of OBs from the OB database, thereby creating both a better balance of OBs observed across the different surveys as well as better OB selection within each survey according to its science goals. OpR3c further identified some collisions between surveys whose science requirements call for similar observing conditions, which have now been ameliorated through adjustments made to the relative, inter-survey observation-time allocations in the survey planning.

- The combined effect of the requests in right-ascension distribution, observing conditions and instrumental setup by community PI programmes cannot be predicted in advance, and neither, therefore, can the effect that this will have on their interplay with the WEAVE surveys in the OB scheduling process. OpR3c simulated the contribution of PI programmes to the scheduling process by incorporating a wide range of observing requirements (weather conditions and observing modes) that was also varied trimesterly. Although no simulation can truly emulate (or help predict) the effect of combining PI programmes and the WEAVE Survey during WEAVE operations, OpR3c has helped us identify ways in which the balancing of these components could be achieved.

- A small number of empty slots were identified in the scheduler output, indicating sky conditions that could not be used by any WEAVE survey. These tended to correspond to poor-seeing (> 2 arcsec) during bright time (where there were no OBs available from the Survey or simulated PI programmes) and came to a total of 15 one-hour slots (out of a total of ~3500) over the course of 18 months. A PI or WEAVE science programme that can utilise such conditions would therefore be a favourable complement from a scheduling perspective. WEAVE-LOFAR and WEAVE-QSO are amongst the science teams planning to use the WEAVE integral field spectrographs (mIFU and LIFU) in relatively under-subscribed weather conditions to ameliorate this issue, so that no usable telescope time is left unfilled.

- The weighting of different factors (such as seeing, sky brightness, moon distance) by the scheduler in choosing which OB should be queued requires re-evaluation and retesting. Giving higher weight to the seeing at the location of the field (which is affected by its airmass, i.e. its elevation) relative to other factors tends to disfavour those OBs that never reach low airmasses due to their declination. This biasing has been fixed in the most recent version of the scheduler.
• OBs should have expiration dates so that the OB database at the OCS (in general) contains only currently observable OBs, and to allow surveys to refresh the content of the OB database in case of changes in their plans. An expiration date of 9 months after ingestion is now set for any OB entering the database. An exception to this rule is if there are OBs in the database belonging to an already started ‘chain’ (i.e. duplicate OBs to enable a longer total exposure of the field), in which case the remaining OBs have their expiration dates removed.
• There is a need for the ability to retract OBs (prior to them being observed) or data (at different stages of the data-processing and archiving process). Implementation of the necessary protocols for this within the SPA is currently in progress.

6.4 Benefits of the Operational Rehearsals
Interactions between the SPA teams and the Science Team through the work of the SWG and QAG has been – and continues to be – crucial for identifying critical areas requiring further development within the data-processing systems (CPS and APS), while feedback from test users of the archive system has been essential in ensuring that the WAS user interface is simultaneously user-friendly and powerful. Within the Science Team, the OpRs have allowed us to identify details of specifics, as well as the order of play, for a multitude of tasks not confined to the following: putting together input target catalogues; how to specify sky positions; how to efficiently manage the configuration of dozens to hundreds of field configurations; the need to create software (codes and scripts) that could, in principle, be run by any individual with sufficient understanding of the process (avoiding single-point failures in manpower); how to deal with fields containing targets from multiple surveys. The OpRs have involved the efforts of more than 70 people, with many processes having been performed under realistic time pressure.

7 SUMMARY
In an era of ever-increasing telescope and survey sizes, following up initial observations of large numbers of objects with medium- and high-resolution spectroscopy with modestly sized telescopes has been widely identified as a vital component of modern astrophysical research. In this context, we present WEAVE, the new wide-field, massively multiplexed spectroscopic survey facility for the William Herschel Telescope. WEAVE comprises a new, 2-degree-diameter field-of-view, prime-focus corrector system with a nearly 1000-multiplex fibre positioner, 20 individually deployable mIFUs, and a single LIFU. The MOS fibres and mIFU fibre bundles are placed by two robots working in tandem at the WHT prime focus. These fibre systems feed a dual-beam spectrometer that covers 366–959 nm at $R \sim 5000$ or two shorter ranges at $R \sim 20000$.

The WEAVE Survey is a spectroscopic survey of the Northern sky, using WEAVE to answer key questions about the evolution of our own Galaxy and other galaxies, dark matter and dark energy, and is comprised of eight individual surveys.
• The WEAVE Galactic Archaeology survey will complete Gaia’s phase-space information, providing metallicities for nearly three million main-sequence turnoff and red giant stars, as well as providing detailed abundances for up to 1.5 million brighter stars in four subsurveys: the high-latitude LR survey, observing more than 1.5 million stars over nearly 9000deg$^2$ of the Northern sky; the disc-dynamics LR survey of more than a million stars along 500 sight-lines through the Galactic disc; the HR chemo-dynamical survey of more than 1.5 million stars across 5650 deg$^2$ (non-contiguous) of the Northern sky; and the open clusters survey of more than one hundred open clusters of a variety of evolutionary states in the HR mode.
• The Stellar, Circumstellar, and Interstellar Physics survey will observe $\sim 400\,000$ evolved stars and the interstellar medium in the Galactic plane, covering 1200deg$^2$ in the LR mode and regions in the Cygnus star-forming region and the Galactic Anticentre in the HR mode.
• The White Dwarfs survey will be one of the largest spectral surveys of white dwarfs ever undertaken, piggybacking on top of the other WEAVE surveys and targeting more than 50 000 white dwarfs.
• WEAVE-Apertif is an IFU survey of $\approx 400$ neutral-hydrogen-selected galaxies in the LR mode, with detailed follow-up of $\sim 100$ of these in the HR mode.
• WEAVE Galaxy Clusters is a survey of stellar populations, ionised gas properties, and stellar and ionised gas kinematics in cluster galaxies and their outskirts out to $z \sim 0.5$ using all of WEAVE’s fibre modes (MOS, mIFU and LIFU), split into the Nearby Cluster, Wide-Field, and Cosmological Cluster sub-surveys.
• The Stellar Population at intermediate redshifts Survey is a survey of detailed stellar populations and stellar kinematics in $\sim 25\,000$ individual field galaxies, the majority within $0.3 < z < 0.7$.
• WEAVE-LOFAR (WL) will obtain around one million spectra of sources selected on the basis of their activity in the LoFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS). The survey will have a wedding-cake structure with three tiers ranging from WL-Wide ($\sim 9000$deg$^2$ of the Northern Sky) to WL-Deep (up to 60deg$^2$ in the LoTSS Deep Fields), together efficiently sampling the luminosity and redshift plane, and observing statistical samples of galaxies and AGN selected in a manner that is unbiased by dust.
• WEAVE-QSO is a survey of the intergalactic medium at $z > 2.1$ and a very sensitive probe of baryonic acoustic oscillations at $z > 2.4$ using absorption lines along the line of sight to $\sim 400\,000$ quasars.

We have developed new, two-phase, dedicated data-processing pipelines for WEAVE, producing both reduced (CPS) and science-ready (APS) data, with a modular architecture allowing for additional analysis software to be developed as necessary by the science teams to be incorporated into the APS. Outputs from these pipelines populate a dedicated data archive (WAS), which will also store Contributed Data Products (CDPs). WEAVE also requires a new Observatory Control System (OCS), enabling queue-based observations, in which user-submitted XML files describing the desired telescope and spectrograph settings with MOS or m/LIFU field configurations are converted into OBs and stored in an OB management system that is sampled by the queue scheduler for use by the telescope operator and/or the support astronomer. The entire data system has been exercised in a series of Operational Rehearsals, starting with the end-to-end WEAVE instrument Simulator, which ‘observes’ synthetic data that are then passed through OCS to CPS and APS, and finally into WAS. Many lessons about the data flow, survey design, and observation scheduling have been learnt by simulating periods between three weeks (full simulated images) and 1.5 years (nightly scheduling) of the WEAVE Survey. As an example, we suggest that PI observations using poor-seeing ($> 2$ arcsec) conditions in bright time would favourably complement the WEAVE Survey programme.

The WEAVE Survey will begin observations towards the end of 2022. All processed WEAVE data and CDPs will be released to the worldwide astronomy community through the WAS annually, beginning two years after the start of the WEAVE Survey (i.e. late 2024), and astronomers in the WEAVE Survey Consortium will have access
to the data soon after the data have been taken and reduced. A Science Verification (SV) campaign immediately preceding the WEAVE Survey will test various aspects of the surveys and carry out more than a dozen programs submitted by the ING community (astronomers in the UK, the Netherlands and Spain, plus their collaborators); all SV data will be reduced and released to the ING and WEAVE community within six months of the end of the SV campaign.

WEAVE represents a significant next step forward in our understanding of the Universe around us. The planned surveys will provide data that will help answer the questions: How did our Galaxy form and the stars within it evolve? How were other galaxies assembled? What are dark matter and dark energy?
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Table A1. Common WEAVE abbreviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commonly used abbreviations in WEAVE</th>
<th>Section of paper in which defined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPS, APS Core Processing System, Advanced Processing System</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR, HR Low Resolution, High Resolution</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIFU, LIFU mini Integral Field Unit, Large Integral Field Unit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOS Multi-Object Spectroscopy</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB Observing Block</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS Observatory Control System</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OISMT On-Island Survey Management Team</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpR Operational Rehearsal</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAG Quality Assessment Group</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA Science Processing and Analysis</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL Science Team Lead</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWG Survey Working Group</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAS WEAVE Archive System</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASP WEAVE Automated Submission Platform</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAVE WHT Enhanced Area Velocity Explorer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHT William Herschel Telescope</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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